

# Analysis of Algorithms: An Example of a Sort Algorithm

Grzegorz Bancerek  
Association of Mizar Users  
Białystok, Poland

**Summary.** We analyse three algorithms: exponentiation by squaring, calculation of maximum, and sorting by exchanging in terms of program algebra over an algebra.

MML identifier: AOFA\_A01, version: 8.0.01 5.5.1167

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [37], [1], [2], [17], [3], [4], [13], [18], [34], [23], [29], [19], [20], [15], [5], [33], [6], [27], [38], [28], [30], [14], [7], [8], [31], [16], [24], [26], [35], [9], [21], [32], [39], [36], [10], [11], [25], [12], and [22].

## 1. EXPONENTIATION BY SQUARING REVISITED

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) (i)  $1 \bmod 2 = 1$ , and  
(ii)  $2 \bmod 2 = 0$ .
- (2) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , a sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$ , and a set  $a$ . Suppose  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{B})(s)$ . Then  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(s)$ .
- (3) Let us consider a non empty set  $I$ , sets  $a, b, c$ , and an element  $i$  of  $I$ . Then  $c \in (i\text{-singleton } a)(b)$  if and only if  $b = i$  and  $c = a$ .
- (4) Let us consider a non empty set  $I$ , sets  $a, b, c, d$ , and elements  $i, j$  of  $I$ . Then  $c \in (i\text{-singleton } a \cup j\text{-singleton } d)(b)$  if and only if  $b = i$  and  $c = a$  or  $b = j$  and  $c = d$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is integer if and only if

(Def. 1) There exists an image  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a boolean correct algebra over  $\Sigma$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{Im id}_\alpha =$  the algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (6) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ . Then every non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  is an image of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5). PROOF:  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -image.  $\square$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. One can verify that there exists a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is integer.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an integer non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Note that there exists an image of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is boolean correct.

Let us note that there exists a boolean correct image of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Now we state the proposition:

- (7) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ , a set  $a$ , and a sort symbol  $r$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $o$  is of type  $a \rightarrow r$ . Then
  - (i)  $\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{A})$  is a function from  $(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})^\#(a)$  into  $(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(r)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})^\#(a)$ , and
  - (iii)  $\text{Result}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(r)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Observe that every non-empty subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is boolean correct.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Note that every non-empty subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Let us observe that  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  is integer as a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (8) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{B}_1$  over  $\Sigma$ , and a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_2$  over  $\Sigma$ . Suppose

- (i) the algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_1 =$  the algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_2$ , and
- (ii) the algebra of  $\mathfrak{B}_1 =$  the algebra of  $\mathfrak{B}_2$ .

Let us consider a many sorted function  $h_1$  from  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  into  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  and a many sorted function  $h_2$  from  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  into  $\mathfrak{B}_2$ . Suppose

- (iii)  $h_1 = h_2$ , and
- (iv)  $h_1$  is an epimorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}_1$ .

Then  $h_2$  is an epimorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  onto  $\mathfrak{B}_2$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Let us note that there exists an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  non-empty free variable algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is vf-free and integer.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a non empty non void many sorted signature. Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . The functor  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})$  yielding a non-empty generator set of  $\mathfrak{T}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\text{FreeGenerator}(X)$ .

Let  $X_0$  be a countable non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X_0$  non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Let us observe that  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})$  is  $\text{Equations}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{T})$ -free and non-empty.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$ , and  $G$  be a generator set of  $\mathfrak{T}$ . We say that  $G$  is basic if and only if

(Def. 3)  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}) \subseteq G$ .

Let  $s$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  and  $x$  be an element of  $G(s)$ . We say that  $x$  is pure if and only if

(Def. 4)  $x \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}))(s)$ .

Observe that  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})$  is basic.

Note that there exists a non-empty generator set of  $\mathfrak{T}$  which is basic.

Let  $G$  be a basic generator set of  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $s$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ . One can check that there exists an element of  $G(s)$  which is pure.

Now we state the proposition:

- (9) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty many sorted set  $X$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra  $\mathfrak{T}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a basic generator set  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$ , a sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$ , and a set  $a$ . Then  $a$  is a pure element of  $G(s)$  if and only if  $a \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}))(s)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a non empty non void many sorted signature,  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$ , and  $G$  be a generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$ . We say that  $G$  is basic if and only if

(Def. 5) The generators of  $G$  are basic.

Observe that there exists a generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$  which is basic.

Let  $G$  be a basic generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$ . Note that the generators of  $G$  are basic.

In this paper  $\Sigma$  denotes a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1,  $X$  denotes a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$  denotes a vf-free including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  integer non-empty free variable algebra over  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$  denotes a boolean correct non-empty image of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1,  $G$  denotes a basic generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  denotes a if-while algebra over the generators of  $G$ ,  $I$  denotes an integer sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ ,  $x, y, z, m$  denote pure elements of (the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ),  $b$  denotes a pure element of (the generators of  $G$ )(the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ ),  $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ ,  $P$  denotes an algorithm of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $s, s_1, s_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ).

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . The functor  $\text{false}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\neg \text{true}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

In this paper  $f$  denotes an execution function of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ).

Now we state the proposition:

$$(10) \quad \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \text{false}.$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature,  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$ ,  $G$  be a generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $b$  be an element of (the generators of  $G$ )(the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ ),  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an image of  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a pre-if-while algebra,  $f$  be an execution function of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ),  $s$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ), and  $P$  be an algorithm of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Note that the functor  $f(s, P)$  yields an element of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ). Let  $\Sigma$  be a non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ ,  $G$  be a non-empty generator set of  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $s$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ , and  $x$  be an element of  $G(s)$ . The functor  ${}^{\textcircled{x}}$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $s$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $x$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{T}, G, \mathfrak{A}, b, I, \tau_1$ , and  $\tau_2$ . The functors  $b \text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})$  and  $b \text{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})$  yielding algorithms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are defined by the terms, respectively.

(Def. 8)  $b :=_{\mathfrak{A}}(\text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2))$ .

(Def. 9)  $b :=_{\mathfrak{A}}(\neg \text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2))$ .

The functor  $2_{\mathfrak{X}}^I$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{X}$  from  $I$  is defined by the term

(Def. 10)  $1_{\mathfrak{X}}^I + 1_{\mathfrak{X}}^I$ .

Let us consider  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $b$ . Let us consider  $\tau$ . The functors  $\tau$  is odd( $b, \mathfrak{A}$ ) and  $\tau$  is even( $b, \mathfrak{A}$ ) yielding algorithms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are defined by the terms, respectively.

(Def. 11)  $b \text{gt}(\tau \text{ mod } 2_{\mathfrak{X}}^I, 0_{\mathfrak{X}}^I, \mathfrak{A})$ .

(Def. 12)  $b \text{leq}(\tau \text{ mod } 2_{\mathfrak{X}}^I, 0_{\mathfrak{X}}^I, \mathfrak{A})$ .

Let us consider  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Let us consider  $s$ . Let  $x$  be an element of (the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ). Let us note that  $s(I)(x)$  is integer.

Let us consider  $\tau$ . Let us note that  $\tau$  value at( $\mathfrak{C}, s$ ) is integer.

In the sequel  $u$  denotes a many sorted function from FreeGenerator( $\mathfrak{X}$ ) into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $I$ ,  $u$ , and  $\tau$ . One can verify that  $\tau$  value at( $\mathfrak{C}, u$ ) is integer.

Let us consider  $G$ . Let us consider  $s$ . Let  $\tau$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{X}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that  $\tau$  value at( $\mathfrak{C}, s$ ) is boolean.

Let us consider  $u$ . One can check that  $\tau$  value at( $\mathfrak{C}, u$ ) is boolean.

Let us consider an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Now we state the propositions:

(11) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(1)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then

(i)  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(1)$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \emptyset$ , and

(iii) the result sort of  $o = \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma$ .

(12) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(2)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then

(i)  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(2)$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma \rangle$ , and

(iii) the result sort of  $o = \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma$ .

(13) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(3)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then

(i)  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(3)$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma, \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma \rangle$ , and

(iii) the result sort of  $o = \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma$ .

(14) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(4)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then

(i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \emptyset$ , and

(ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .

(15) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(5)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then

(i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \emptyset$ , and

(ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .

- (16) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(6)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (17) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(7)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle I, I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (18) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(8)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle I, I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (19) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(9)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle I, I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (20) Suppose  $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(10)(\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle I, I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = \text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma$ .
- (21) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \emptyset$ . Let us consider an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = \{\emptyset\}$ .
- (22) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle a \rangle$ . Let us consider an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = \prod \langle (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(a) \rangle$ .
- (23) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , sort symbols  $a, b$  of  $\Sigma$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Let us consider an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = \prod \langle (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(a), (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(b) \rangle$ .
- (24) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , sort symbols  $a, b, c$  of  $\Sigma$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ . Let us consider an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = \prod \langle (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(a), (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(b), (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(c) \rangle$ .
- (25) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s$ , a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle s \rangle$ . Let us consider an element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A})$ . If  $p = \langle a \rangle$ , then  $h\#p = \langle h(s)(a) \rangle$ .

- (26) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , sort symbols  $s_1, s_2$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s_1$ , an element  $b$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s_2$ , a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ . Let us consider an element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A})$ . Suppose  $p = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Then  $h\#p = \langle h(s_1)(a), h(s_2)(b) \rangle$ .
- (27) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$ , non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , sort symbols  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s_1$ , an element  $b$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s_2$ , an element  $c$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $s_3$ , a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\text{Arity}(o) = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$ . Let us consider an element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A})$ . Suppose  $p = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ . Then  $h\#p = \langle h(s_1)(a), h(s_2)(b), h(s_3)(c) \rangle$ .

Let us consider a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{T}$  into  $\mathfrak{C}$ , a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$ , and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $a$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (28) If  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{T}$  into  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  
then  $\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, h \upharpoonright \text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})) = h(a)(\tau)$ .
- (29) Suppose  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{T}$  into  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $s = h \upharpoonright$  the generators  
of  $G$ . Then  $\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = h(a)(\tau)$ .
- (30)  $\text{true}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \text{true}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11) and  
(21).
- (31) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  
 $\neg\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \neg(\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of  
(29), (12), (22), and (25).
- (32) Let us consider a boolean set  $a$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean  
sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\neg\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \neg a$  if and only if  $\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = a$ .  
The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (33) Let us consider an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$  and a  
boolean set  $x$ . Then  $\neg a = \neg x$  if and only if  $a = x$ .
- (34)  $\text{false}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \text{false}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (31) and  
(30).
- (35) Let us consider elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $(\tau_1 \wedge$   
 $\tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)) \wedge (\tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is  
a consequence of (29), (13), (23), and (26).
- (36)  $0_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (21).
- (37)  $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (21).
- (38)  $(-\tau)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = -\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of  
(16), (22), and (25).
- (39)  $(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) + \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . The theorem  
is a consequence of (17), (23), and (26).
- (40)  $2_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (37) and (39).

- (41)  $(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) - \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (39) and (38).
- (42)  $(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)) \cdot (\tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29), (18), (23), and (26).
- (43)  $(\tau_1 \text{ div } \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \text{ div } \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19), (23), and (26).
- (44)  $(\tau_1 \text{ mod } \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \text{ mod } \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (41), (42), and (43).
- (45)  $\text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \text{leq}(\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s), \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (20), (23), and (26).
- (46)  $\text{true}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \text{true}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (21).
- (47) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\neg\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \neg(\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (12), (22), and (25).
- (48) Let us consider a boolean set  $a$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\neg\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \neg a$  if and only if  $\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = a$ . The theorem is a consequence of (47).
- (49)  $\text{false}_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \text{false}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (47) and (46).
- (50) Let us consider elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)) \wedge (\tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (13), (23), and (26).
- (51)  $0_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (21).
- (52)  $1_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (21).
- (53)  $(-\tau)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = -\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16), (22), and (25).
- (54)  $(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) + \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17), (23), and (26).
- (55)  $2_{\mathfrak{T}}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (52) and (54).
- (56)  $(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) - \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54) and (53).
- (57)  $(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)) \cdot (\tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (18), (23), and (26).
- (58)  $(\tau_1 \text{ div } \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) \text{ div } \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19), (23), and (26).
- (59)  $(\tau_1 \text{ mod } \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) \text{ mod } \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (56), (57), and (58).



- (60)  $\text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \text{leq}(\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u), \tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$ .  
The theorem is a consequence of (20), (23), and (26).
- (61) Let us consider a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $x$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ). Then  $\text{@}x$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = s(a)(x)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29).
- (62) Let us consider a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$ , a pure element  $x$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ), and a many sorted function  $u$  from  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})$  into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $\text{@}x$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = u(a)(x)$ .

Let us consider integers  $i, j$  and elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  from  $I$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (63) If  $a = i$  and  $b = j$ , then  $a - b = i - j$ .
- (64) If  $a = i$  and  $b = j$  and  $j \neq 0$ , then  $a \bmod b = i \bmod j$ .
- (65) Suppose  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported and  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then let us consider a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$ , a pure element  $x$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ), and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $a$ . Then
- (i)  $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(a)(x) = \tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ , and
  - (ii) for every pure element  $z$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ) such that  $z \neq x$  holds  $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(a)(z) = s(a)(z)$ , and
  - (iii) for every sort symbol  $b$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $a \neq b$  for every pure element  $z$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $b$ ),  $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(b)(z) = s(b)(z)$ .
- (66) Suppose  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported and  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then
- (i)  $\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) < \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$  iff  $f(s, b \text{gt}(\tau_2, \tau_1, \mathfrak{A})) \in \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$  (the generators of  $G$ ), and
  - (ii)  $\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \leq \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$  iff  $f(s, b \text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})) \in \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$  (the generators of  $G$ ), and
  - (iii) for every  $x$ ,  $f(s, b \text{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(x) = s(I)(x)$  and  $f(s, b \text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(x) = s(I)(x)$ , and
  - (iv) for every pure element  $c$  of (the generators of  $G$ )((the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ )) such that  $c \neq b$  holds  $f(s, b \text{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(c) = s((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(c)$  and  $f(s, b \text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(c) = s((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(c)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (31), (45), and (33).

Let  $i, j$  be real numbers and  $a, b$  be boolean sets. One can verify that  $(i > j \rightarrow a, b)$  is boolean.

Now we state the proposition:

- (67) Suppose  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported and  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then
- (i)  $f(s, \tau \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}))((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(b) = \tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \bmod 2$ , and

- (ii)  $f(s, \tau \text{ is even}(b, \mathfrak{A}))(\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma)(b) = (\tau \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s) + 1) \bmod 2$ , and
- (iii) for every  $z$ ,  $f(s, \tau \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(z) = s(I)(z)$  and  $f(s, \tau \text{ is even}(b, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(z) = s(I)(z)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (36), (40), (64), (31), (45), (44), and (1).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $G$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is elementary if and only if

(Def. 13)  $\text{rng the assignments of } \mathfrak{A} \subseteq \text{ElementaryInstructions}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (68) Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  is elementary. Then let us consider a sort symbol  $a$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $x$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ), and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $a$ . Then  $x :=_{\mathfrak{A}} \tau \in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$ , and  $G$ . One can verify that there exists a strict if-while algebra over the generators of  $G$  which is elementary.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an elementary if-while algebra over the generators of  $G$ ,  $a$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ ,  $x$  be an element of (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ), and  $\tau$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $a$ . Let us observe that  $x :=_{\mathfrak{A}} \tau$  is absolutely-terminating.

Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

```

y :=\mathfrak{A}} 1\mathfrak{T}};
while bgt(\mathfrak{A}m, 0\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}) do
  if \mathfrak{A}m is odd(b, \mathfrak{A}) then
    y :=\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}y · \mathfrak{A}x
  fi;
  m :=\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}m div 2\mathfrak{T}};
  x :=\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}x · \mathfrak{A}x
done
```

Then we state the propositions:

- (69) Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the generators of  $G$  and an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose
  - (i)  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported, and
  - (ii)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution $_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and
  - (iii) there exists a function  $d$  such that  $d(x) = 1$  and  $d(y) = 2$  and  $d(m) = 3$ .

Then  $\Gamma$  is terminating w.r.t.  $f$  and  $\{s : s(I)(m) \geq 0\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (66), (36), (61), (65), (40), and (43). PROOF: Set  $ST = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ). Set  $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Set  $P = \{s : s(I)(m) \geq 0\}$ . Set  $W = \text{bgt}(\sup{\mathfrak{A}}m, 0_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A})$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}$ (element of  $ST$ ) =  $\$_1(I)(m) (\in \mathbb{N})$ . Define  $\mathcal{R}$ [element of  $ST$ ]  $\equiv \$_1(I)(m) >$

0. Set  $K = \text{if } @m \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}) \text{ then}(y := \mathfrak{A}(@y \cdot @x))$ .  
 Set  $J = (K; m := \mathfrak{A}(@m \text{ div } 2^{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{T}}}); x := \mathfrak{A}(@x \cdot @x))$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $W$  and  $f$ . For every element  $s$  of  $ST$  such that  $s \in P$  and  $f(f(s, J), W) \in TV$  holds  $f(s, J) \in P$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $y := \mathfrak{A}(1^{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{T}}})$  and  $f$ . For every  $s$  such that  $f(s, W) \in P$  holds iteration of  $f$  started in  $J; W$  terminates w.r.t.  $f(s, W)$ .  $\square$

- (70) Suppose  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported and there exists a function  $d$  such that  $d(b) = 0$  and  $d(x) = 1$  and  $d(y) = 2$  and  $d(m) = 3$ . Then let us consider an element  $s$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $n = s(I)(m)$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution $_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , then  $f(s, \Gamma)(I)(y) = s(I)(x)^n$ . The theorem is a consequence of (65), (66), (36), (61), (37), (40), (43), (67), (10), and (42). PROOF: Set  $\Sigma = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ). Set  $W = \mathfrak{T}$ . Set  $g = f$ . Set  $\mathfrak{T} = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\text{the generators of } G)$ . Set  $s_0 = f(s, y := \mathfrak{A}(1^{\frac{I}{W}}))$ . Define  $\mathcal{R}[\text{element of } \Sigma] \equiv \$_1(I)(m) > 0$ . Set  $\mathfrak{C} = b \text{ gt}(@m, 0^{\frac{I}{W}}, \mathfrak{A})$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \Sigma] \equiv s(I)(x)^n = \$_1(I)(y) \cdot \$_1(I)(x)^{\$_1(I)(m)}$  and  $\$_1(I)(m) \geq 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \Sigma) = \$_1(I)(m) (\in \mathbb{N})$ . Set  $I = \text{if } @m \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}) \text{ then}(y := \mathfrak{A}(@y \cdot @x))$ .  
 Set  $J = (I; m := \mathfrak{A}(@m \text{ div } 2^{\frac{Y}{W}})); x := \mathfrak{A}(@x \cdot @x)$ . For every element  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[(g(s, \mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)]$  and  $g(s, \mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{T}$  iff  $\mathcal{R}[(g(s, \mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)]$ . Set  $s_1 = g(s_0, \mathfrak{C})$ . For every element  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{R}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{R}[(g(s, J; \mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)]$  iff  $g(s, J; \mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathcal{F}((g(s, J; \mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)) < \mathcal{F}(s)$ . Set  $q = s$ . For every element  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[s]$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathcal{R}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[(g(s, J) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)]$ .  $\square$

## 2. CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM

Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $X^\omega$ , and  $x$  be a natural number. Let us observe that  $f(x)$  is transfinite sequence-like finite function-like and relation-like.

Let us note that every finite sequence of elements of  $X^\omega$  is function yielding.

Let  $i$  be a natural number,  $f$  be an  $i$ -based finite array, and  $a, x$  be sets. Note that  $f + \cdot (a, x)$  is  $i$ -based finite and segmental.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $f$  be an  $X$ -valued function,  $a$  be a set, and  $x$  be an element of  $X$ . Let us observe that  $f + \cdot (a, x)$  is  $X$ -valued.

The scheme *Sch1* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{X}$  and a natural number  $j$  and a set  $\mathfrak{B}$  and a ternary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set and a unary functor  $\mathfrak{A}$  yielding a set and states that

- (Sch. 1) There exists a finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathcal{X}^\omega$  such that  $\text{len } f = j$  and  $f(1) = \mathfrak{B}$  or  $j = 0$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i < j$  holds  $f(i + 1) = \mathcal{F}(f(i), i, \mathfrak{A}(i))$

provided

- for every 0-based finite array  $a$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i < j$  for every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a, i, x)$  is a 0-based finite array of  $\mathcal{X}$  and
- $\mathfrak{B}$  is a 0-based finite array of  $\mathcal{X}$  and
- for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < j$  holds  $\mathfrak{A}(i) \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (71) Let us consider a non empty non void boolean signature  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, sets  $J, L$ , and a sort symbol  $K$  of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11) is of type  $\langle J, L \rangle \rightarrow K$ . Then
- (i)  $J =$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$ , and
  - (ii) for every integer sort symbol  $I$  of  $\Sigma$ , the array sort of  $\Sigma \neq I$ .
- (72) Let us consider a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature  $\Sigma$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, an integer sort symbol  $I$  of  $\Sigma$ , a boolean correct non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, and elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $I$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $\text{init.array}(a, b) = \emptyset$ .
- (73) Let us consider an 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and an integer sort symbol  $I$  of  $\Sigma$ . Then
- (i) the array sort of  $\Sigma \neq I$ , and
  - (ii) (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11) is of type  $\langle \text{the array sort of } \Sigma, I \rangle \rightarrow I$ , and
  - (iii) (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 1) is of type  $\langle \text{the array sort of } \Sigma, I, I \rangle \rightarrow \text{the array sort of } \Sigma$ , and
  - (iv) (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 2) is of type  $\langle \text{the array sort of } \Sigma \rangle \rightarrow I$ , and
  - (v) (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 3) is of type  $\langle I, I \rangle \rightarrow \text{the array sort of } \Sigma$ .
- (74) Let us consider a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1, an integer sort symbol  $I$  of  $\Sigma$ , and a boolean correct non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Then
- (i) (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ) =  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$ , and

- (ii) for every elements  $i, j$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $I$  such that  $i$  is a non negative integer holds  $\text{init.array}(i, j) = i \mapsto j$ , and
- (iii) for every element  $a$  of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ),  $\text{length}_I a = \bar{a}$  and for every element  $i$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $I$  and for every function  $f$  such that  $f = a$  and  $i \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $a(i) = f(i)$  and for every element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $I$ ,  $a_{i \leftarrow x} = f + \cdot (i, x)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (71).

Let  $a$  be a 0-based finite array. Observe that  $\text{length } a$  is finite.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Observe that every non-empty subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  has arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is integer array if and only if

- (Def. 14) There exists an image  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a boolean correct algebra over  $\Sigma$  with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  is integer array as a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ .

Note that every non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is integer array is also integer.

One can check that there exists an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  non-empty strict free variable algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is vf-free and integer array.

One can check that there exists a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is integer array.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an integer array non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Observe that there exists a boolean correct image of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

In this paper  $\Sigma$  denotes a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1,  $X$  denotes a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}$  denotes a vf-free including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  integer array non-empty free variable algebra over  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$  denotes a boolean correct non-empty image of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1,  $G$  denotes a basic generator system over  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $\mathfrak{T}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  denotes a if-while algebra over the generators of  $G$ ,  $I$  denotes an integer sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ ,  $x, y, m, i$  denote pure elements of (the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ),  $M, N$

denote pure elements of (the generators of  $G$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ),  $b$  denotes a pure element of (the generators of  $G$ )(the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ ), and  $s, s_1$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1. Observe that every element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ) is relation-like and function-like.

Note that every element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ) is finite and transfinite sequence-like.

Let us consider an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (75) Suppose  $o =$  (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11)( $\in$  (the carrier' of  $\Sigma$ )). Then
- (i) Arity( $o$ ) =  $\langle$ the array sort of  $\Sigma, I$  $\rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (76) Suppose  $o =$  (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(12)( $\in$  (the carrier' of  $\Sigma$ )). Then
- (i) Arity( $o$ ) =  $\langle$ the array sort of  $\Sigma, I, I$  $\rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o =$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$ .
- (77) Suppose  $o =$  (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(13)( $\in$  (the carrier' of  $\Sigma$ )). Then
- (i) Arity( $o$ ) =  $\langle$ the array sort of  $\Sigma$  $\rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o = I$ .
- (78) Suppose  $o =$  (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(14)( $\in$  (the carrier' of  $\Sigma$ )). Then
- (i) Arity( $o$ ) =  $\langle I, I \rangle$ , and
  - (ii) the result sort of  $o =$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$ .
- (79) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $\tau_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$ .  
Then  $\tau(\tau_1)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))(\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29), (75), (23), and (26).
- (80) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$  and elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$ . Then  $\tau_{\tau_1 \leftarrow \tau_2}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))_{\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \leftarrow \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29), (76), (24), and (27).
- (81) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{length}_I \tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \text{length}_I(\tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29), (77), (22), and (25).
- (82) Let us consider elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$ . Then  $\text{init.array}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \text{init.array}(\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s), \tau_2$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29), (78), (23), and (26).

In the sequel  $u$  denotes a many sorted function from  $\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{F})$  into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(83) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $\tau_1$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ .

Then  $\tau(\tau_1)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))(\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (75), (23), and (26).

(84) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$  and elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ .

Then  $\tau_{\tau_1 \leftarrow \tau_2}$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))_{\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u) \leftarrow \tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u)}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (76), (24), and (27).

(85) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\text{length}_I \tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \text{length}_I(\tau \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (77), (22), and (25).

(86) Let us consider elements  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ . Then  $\text{init.array}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \text{init.array}(\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u), \tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (78), (23), and (26).

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{T}$ , and  $I$ . Let  $i$  be an integer. The functor  $i_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$  is defined by

(Def. 15) There exists a function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{T})(I)$  such that

(i)  $it = f(i)$ , and

(ii)  $f(0) = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$ , and

(iii) for every natural number  $j$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$  such that  $f(j) = \tau$  holds  $f(j+1) = \tau + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  and  $f(-(j+1)) = -(\tau + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(87)  $0_{\mathfrak{T}}^I = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$ .

(88) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Then

(i)  $(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^I = n_{\mathfrak{T}}^I + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$ , and

(ii)  $-(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^I = -(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$ .

(89)  $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^I + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$ . The theorem is a consequence of (88) and (87).

(90) Let us consider an integer  $i$ . Then  $i_{\mathfrak{T}}^I$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = i$ . The theorem is a consequence of (87), (36), (37), (88), (39), and (38).

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{T}, G, I$ , and  $M$ . Let  $i$  be an integer. The functor  $M(i, I)$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$  is defined by the term

(Def. 16)  $({}^{\circ}M)(i_{\mathfrak{T}}^I)$ .

Let us consider  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $s$ . Note that  $s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  is function-like and relation-like.

Note that  $s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  is finite transfinite sequence-like and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued.

Observe that  $\text{rng}(s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M))$  is finite and integer-membered.

Let us consider an integer  $j$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (91) Suppose  $j \in \text{dom}(s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M))$  and  $M(j, I) \in (\text{the generators of } G)(I)$ . Then  $s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)(j) = s(I)(M(j, I))$ .
- (92) Suppose  $j \in \text{dom}(s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M))$  and  $({}^{\textcircled{a}}M)({}^{\textcircled{a}}i) \in (\text{the generators of } G)(I)$  and  $j = {}^{\textcircled{a}}i$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . Then  $(s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M))({}^{\textcircled{a}}i \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s)) = s(I)((({}^{\textcircled{a}}M)({}^{\textcircled{a}}i)))$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty set. One can verify that  $X^\omega$  is infinite.

Now we state the propositions:

- (93) Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

```

 $m := \mathfrak{A} 0_{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}};$ 
for  $i := \mathfrak{A} 1_{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}}$  until  $\text{bgt}(\text{length}_I {}^{\textcircled{a}}M, {}^{\textcircled{a}}i, \mathfrak{A})$  step  $i := \mathfrak{A} {}^{\textcircled{a}}i + 1_{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}}$ 
do
  if  $\text{bgt}(({}^{\textcircled{a}}M)({}^{\textcircled{a}}i), ({}^{\textcircled{a}}M)({}^{\textcircled{a}}m), \mathfrak{A})$  then
     $m := \mathfrak{A} {}^{\textcircled{a}}i$ 
  fi
done

```

Let us consider an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and
- (ii)  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported, and
- (iii)  $i \neq m$ , and
- (iv)  $s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $f(s, \Gamma)(I)(m) = n$ . Let us consider a non empty finite integer-membered set  $X$ . Suppose  $X = \text{rng}(s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M))$ . Then  $M(n, I)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \max X$ . The theorem is a consequence of (65), (36), (37), (74), (71), (66), (81), (61), (39), (79), and (90). PROOF: Set  $ST = \mathfrak{C}\text{-States}(\text{the generators of } G)$ . Define  $\mathcal{R}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M) = \$_1(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$ . Reconsider  $sm = s$  as a many sorted function from the generators of  $G$  into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Reconsider  $z = sm(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  as a 0-based finite array of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv \mathcal{R}[\$_1]$  and  $\$_1(I)(i), \$_1(I)(m) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\$_1(I)(i) \leq \text{len } z$  and  $\$_1(I)(m) < \$_1(I)(i)$  and  $\$_1(I)(m) < \text{len } z$  and for every integer  $mx$  such that  $mx = \$_1(I)(m)$  for every natural number  $j$  such that  $j < \$_1(I)(i)$  holds  $z(j) \leq z(mx)$ . Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv \mathcal{R}[\$_1]$  and  $\$_1(I)(i) < \text{length}_I {}^{\textcircled{a}}M$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . Set  $s_0 = s$ . Set  $s_1 = f(s, m := \mathfrak{A}(0_{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}}))$ . Set  $s_2 = f(s_1, i := \mathfrak{A}(1_{\frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}}))$ . Consider  $J1, K1, L1$  being elements of  $\Sigma$  such that  $L1 = 1$  and  $K1 = 1$  and  $J1 \neq L1$  and  $J1 \neq K1$  and (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11) is of type  $\langle J1, K1 \rangle \rightarrow L1$  and (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 1) is of type  $\langle J1, K1, L1 \rangle \rightarrow J1$  and (the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 2) is of type  $\langle J1 \rangle \rightarrow K1$  and



(the connectives of  $\Sigma$ )(11 + 3) is of type  $\langle K1, L1 \rangle \rightarrow J1$ .  $\mathcal{P}[s_2]$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}$ (element of  $ST$ ) =  $(\text{len}(s_0(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)) - \$_1(I)(i))(\in \mathbb{N})$ .  $f(s_2, W) \in TV$  iff  $\mathcal{Q}[f(s_2, W)]$ . Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

```
J;
K;
W
```

For every element  $s$  of  $ST$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{Q}[f(s, \Gamma)]$  iff  $f(s, \Gamma) \in TV$  and  $\mathcal{F}(f(s, \Gamma)) < \mathcal{F}(s)$ . For every element  $s$  of  $ST$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[s]$  and  $s \in TV$  and  $\mathcal{Q}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[f(s, J; K)]$ . For every element  $s$  of  $ST$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[s]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[f(s, W)]$  and  $f(s, W) \in TV$  iff  $\mathcal{Q}[f(s, W)]$ .  $M(n, I)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$  is an upper bound of  $X$ . For every upper bound  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $M(n, I)$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \leq x$ .  $\square$

(94) Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

```
J;
i :=  $\mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} + 1 \frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}$ 
```

Now let  $\Delta$  denotes the program

```
for i :=  $\mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} \tau_0$  until bgt( $\tau_1, \textcircled{i}, \mathfrak{A}$ ) step i :=  $\mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} + 1 \frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}$  do
  J
done
```

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the generators of  $G$  and an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and
- (ii)  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported.

Let us consider elements  $\tau_0, \tau_1$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ , an algorithm  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and a set  $P$ . Suppose

- (iii)  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $i := \mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} \tau_0$  and  $f$ , invariant w.r.t.  $\text{bgt}(\tau_1, \textcircled{i}, \mathfrak{A})$  and  $f$ , invariant w.r.t.  $i := \mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} + 1 \frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}$  and  $f$ , and invariant w.r.t.  $J$  and  $f$ , and
- (iv)  $J$  is terminating w.r.t.  $f$  and  $P$ , and
- (v) for every  $s$ ,  $f(s, J)(I)(i) = s(I)(i)$  and  $f(s, \text{bgt}(\tau_1, \textcircled{i}, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(i) = s(I)(i)$  and  $\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, \text{bgt}(\tau_1, \textcircled{i}, \mathfrak{A}))) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$  and  $\tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, \Gamma)) = \tau_1$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ .

Then  $\Delta$  is terminating w.r.t.  $f$  and  $P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (61), (66), (65), (39), and (37). PROOF: Set  $W = \text{bgt}(\tau_1, \textcircled{i}, \mathfrak{A})$ . Set  $L = i := \mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} + 1 \frac{I}{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Set  $K = i := \mathfrak{A}^{\textcircled{i}} \tau_0$ . Set  $ST = \mathfrak{C}\text{-States}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Set  $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

$J;$ $L;$ $W$
---------------------

For every  $s$  such that  $f(s, W) \in P$  holds iteration of  $f$  started in  $\Gamma$  terminates w.r.t.  $f(s, W)$ .  $\square$

(95) Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

$m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} 0_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}};$ <b>for</b> $i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} 1_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}}$ <b>until</b> $bgt(\text{length}_I @M, @i, \mathfrak{A})$ <b>step</b> $i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} @i + 1_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}}$ <b>do</b> <b>if</b> $bgt((@M)(@i), (@M)(@m), \mathfrak{A})$ <b>then</b> $m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} @i$ <b>fi</b> <b>done</b>
---

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the generators of  $G$  and an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States (the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$  (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and
- (ii)  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported, and
- (iii)  $i \neq m$ .

Then  $\Gamma$  is terminating w.r.t.  $f$  and  $\{s : s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M) \neq \emptyset\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (74), (73), (65), (61), (81), and (94). **PROOF:** Set  $J = m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} 0_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}}$ . Set  $K = i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} 1_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}}$ . Set  $W = bgt(\text{length}_I @M, @i, \mathfrak{A})$ . Set  $L = i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} (@i + 1_{\frac{I}{\Sigma}})$ . Set  $N = bgt((@M)(@i), (@M)(@m), \mathfrak{A})$ . Set  $O = m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} (@i)$ . Set  $a = \text{the array sort of } \Sigma$ . Set  $P = \{s : s(a)(M) \neq \emptyset\}$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $J$  and  $f$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $K$  and  $f$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $W$  and  $f$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $L$  and  $f$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $N$  and  $f$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t.  $O$  and  $f$ . Set  $ST = \mathfrak{C}\text{-States}(\text{the generators of } G)$ . Set  $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\text{the generators of } G)$ .  $P$  is invariant w.r.t. if  $N$  then  $O$  and  $f$ . Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

<b>if</b> $N$ <b>then</b> $O$ <b>fi</b> ; $L$
--

For every  $s$ ,  $f(s, \text{if } N \text{ then } O)(I)(i) = s(I)(i)$  and  $f(s, W)(I)(i) = s(I)(i)$  and  $\text{length}_I @M$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, W)) = \text{length}_I @M$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$  and  $\text{length}_I @M$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, \Gamma)) = \text{length}_I @M$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ .  $\square$

## 3. SORTING BY EXCHANGING

In this paper  $i_1, i_2$  denote pure elements of (the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ).

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{F}$ , and  $G$ . We say that  $G$  is integer array if and only if

- (Def. 17) (i)  $\{({}^{\textcircled{M}})(\tau)$  where  $\tau$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$  : not contradiction $\} \subseteq$   
(the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ), and
- (ii) for every  $M$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$  and for every element  $g$  of  $G$  from  $I$  such that  $g = ({}^{\textcircled{M}})(\tau)$  there exists  $x$  such that  $x \notin$   
( $\text{vf } \tau$ )( $I$ ) and  $\text{supp-var } g = x$  and ( $\text{supp-term } g$ )(the array sort of  
 $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ) =  $({}^{\textcircled{M}})_{\tau \leftarrow \textcircled{x}}$  and for every sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every  
 $y$  such that  $y \in (\text{vf } g)(s)$  and if  $s =$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$ , then  $y \neq M$   
holds ( $\text{supp-term } g$ )( $s$ )( $y$ ) =  $y$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (96) If  $G$  is integer array, then for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $I$ ,  $({}^{\textcircled{M}})(\tau) \in$   
(the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ).

The functor  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$  yielding a strict real non empty poset is defined by the term

- (Def. 18) RealPoset  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{F}$ , and  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an elementary if-while algebra over the generators of  $G$ ,  $a$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ , and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{F}$  from  $a$ . Assume  $\tau_1 \in$  (the generators of  $G$ )( $a$ ). The functor  $\tau_1 :=_{\mathfrak{A}} \tau_2$  yielding an absolutely-terminating algorithm of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined by the term

- (Def. 19) (The assignments of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )( $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ ).

Now we state the proposition:

- (97) Let us consider a countable non-empty many sorted set  $X$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , a vf-free including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  integer array non-empty free variable algebra  $\mathfrak{F}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a basic generator system  $G$  over  $\Sigma, X$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , a pure element  $M$  of (the generators of  $G$ )(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ), and pure elements  $i, x$  of (the generators of  $G$ )( $I$ ). Then  $({}^{\textcircled{M}})(\textcircled{i}) \neq x$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73), (79), (61), and (74).

Let  $\Sigma$  be a non empty non void many sorted signature and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a disjoint valued algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Note that the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is disjoint valued.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is array degenerated if and only if

- (Def. 20) There exists  $I$  and there exists an element  $M$  of  
(FreeGenerator( $\mathfrak{F}$ ))(the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ) and there exists an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$   
from  $I$  such that  $({}^{\textcircled{M}})(\tau) \neq \text{Sym}((\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(11)(\in (\text{the carrier}$   
of  $\Sigma)), X)$ -tree( $\langle M, \tau \rangle$ ).

Observe that  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  is non array degenerated.

Observe that there exists an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$  which is non array degenerated.

Now we state the propositions:

- (98) Suppose  $\mathfrak{T}$  is non array degenerated. Then  $\text{vf}((^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}) = I$ -singleton  $i \cup$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )-singleton  $M$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73).  
 PROOF: Set  $\tau = (^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}$ . Reconsider  $N = M$  as an element of  $(\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}))$ (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ). Consider  $m$  being a set such that  $m \in X$ (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ) and  $M =$  the root tree of  $\langle m, \text{the array sort of } \Sigma \rangle$ . Consider  $j$  being a set such that  $j \in X(I)$  and  $i =$  the root tree of  $\langle j, I \rangle$ .  $\{M\} = (\text{vf } \tau)$ (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ ).  $\{i\} = (\text{vf } \tau)(I)$ . For every sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $s \neq$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$  and  $s \neq I$  holds  $\emptyset = (\text{vf } \tau)(s)$ .  $\square$
- (99) Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the generators of  $G$  and an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose
- (i)  $G$  is integer array and  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported, and
  - (ii)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution $_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ ( $\mathfrak{A}$ ), and
  - (iii)  $X$  is countable, and
  - (iv)  $\mathfrak{T}$  is non array degenerated.

Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{T}$  from  $I$ . Then  $f(s, (^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})} :=_{\mathfrak{A}} \tau) = f(s, M :=_{\mathfrak{A}} ((^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}_{\leftarrow \tau}))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (96), (98), (97), (4), (3), (62), (73), (61), (84), (65), and (80). PROOF: Reconsider  $H = \text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T})$  as a many sorted subset of the generators of  $G$ . Set  $v = \tau$  value at  $(\mathfrak{C}, s)$ . Reconsider  $p = (^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}$  as an element of  $G$  from  $I$ . Reconsider  $g = s$  as a many sorted function from the generators of  $G$  into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Reconsider  $g1 = f(s, (^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})} :=_{\mathfrak{A}} \tau)$ ,  $g2 = f(s, M :=_{\mathfrak{A}} ((^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}_{\leftarrow \tau}))$  as a many sorted function from the generators of  $G$  into the sorts of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Reconsider  $Mi = (^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}$  as an element of  $(\text{the generators of } G)(I)$ . Reconsider  $m = M$  as an element of  $G$  from the array sort of  $\Sigma$ . Consider  $x$  such that  $x \notin (\text{vf } ^{\textcircled{i}})(I)$  and  $\text{supp-var } p = x$  and  $(\text{supp-term } p)$ (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ) =  $(^{\textcircled{M}})^{(\textcircled{i})}_{\leftarrow \textcircled{x}}$  and for every sort symbol  $s$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every  $y$  such that  $y \in (\text{vf } p)(s)$  and if  $s =$  the array sort of  $\Sigma$ , then  $y \neq M$  holds  $(\text{supp-term } p)(s)(y) = y$ .  $g1 = g2$ .  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \mathfrak{T}, G, \mathfrak{C}, s,$  and  $b$ . Let us observe that  $s((\text{the boolean sort of } \Sigma))(b)$  is boolean.

Now we state the proposition:

- (100) Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

```

while  $J$  do
   $y := \mathfrak{A}(@M)(@i_1)$ ;
   $(@M)(@i_1) := \mathfrak{A}(@M)(@i_2)$ ;
   $(@M)(@i_2) := \mathfrak{A}^@y$ 
done

```

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the generators of  $G$  and an execution function  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States (the generators of  $G$ ) and  $\text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$  (the generators of  $G$ ). Suppose

- (i)  $G$  is integer array and  $\mathfrak{C}$ -supported, and
- (ii)  $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{T}$  is non array degenerated, and
- (iv)  $X$  is countable.

Let us consider an algorithm  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose

- (v)  $f(s, J)$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ) =  $s$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ), and
- (vi) for every array  $D$  of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$  such that  $D = s$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ) holds if  $D \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f(s, J)(I)(i_1)$ ,  $f(s, J)(I)(i_2) \in \text{dom } D$  and if inversions  $D \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\{f(s, J)(I)(i_1), f(s, J)(I)(i_2)\} \in \text{inversions } D$  and  $f(s, J)$  ((the boolean sort of  $\Sigma$ ))( $b$ ) = *true* iff inversions  $D \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us consider a 0-based finite array  $D$  of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$ . Suppose

- (vii)  $D = s$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ), and
- (viii)  $y \neq i_1$ , and
- (ix)  $y \neq i_2$ .

Then

- (x)  $f(s, \Gamma)$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ) is an ascending permutation of  $D$ , and
- (xi) if  $J$  is absolutely-terminating, then  $\Gamma$  is terminating w.r.t.  $f$  and  $\{s_1 : s_1$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ )  $\neq \emptyset\}$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (73), (10), (61), (65), (99), (80), (74), and (79). PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}$  (natural number, element of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -States (the generators of  $G$ )) =  $f(\$_2, ((J; y := \mathfrak{A}(@M)(@i_1)); (@M)(@i_1) := \mathfrak{A}(@M)(@i_2)); (@M)(@i_2) := \mathfrak{A}^@y))$ . Set  $ST = \mathfrak{C}\text{-States}$  (the generators of  $G$ ). Consider  $g$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $ST$  such that  $g(0) = s$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $g(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(i, (g(i) \text{ qua element of } ST))$ . Define  $\mathcal{G}$  (element) =  $g(\$_1 (\in \mathbb{N}))$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ ). Consider  $h$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  such that for every element  $i$  such that  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $h(i) = \mathcal{G}(i)$ . For every ordinal number  $a$  such that  $a \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $h(a)$  is an array of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$ . Set  $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$  (the generators of  $G$ ). Consider  $s_1$  such that  $s = s_1$  and  $s_1$  (the array sort of  $\Sigma$ )( $M$ )  $\neq \emptyset$ . Reconsider

$D = s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  as a 0-based finite non empty array of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$ . Consider  $g$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $ST$  such that  $g(0) = s$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $g(i + 1) = \mathcal{F}(i, (g(i) \text{ qua element of } ST))$ . Define  $\mathcal{G}(\text{element}) = g(\$_1(\in \mathbb{N}))(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$ . Consider  $h$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  such that for every element  $i$  such that  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $h(i) = \mathcal{G}(i)$ . For every ordinal number  $a$  such that  $a \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $h(a)$  is an array of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$ . Define  $\mathfrak{T}[\text{natural number}] \equiv h(\$_1) \neq \emptyset$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathfrak{T}[i]$  holds  $\mathfrak{T}[i + 1]$ . For every natural number  $a$  and for every array  $R$  of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leq \rangle$  such that  $R = h(a)$  for every  $s$  such that  $g(a) = s$  there exist sets  $x, y$  such that  $x = f(s, J)(I)(i_1)$  and  $y = f(s, J)(I)(i_2)$  and  $x, y \in \text{dom } R$  and  $h(a + 1) = \text{Swap}(R, x, y)$ . Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number}] \equiv h(\$_1)$  is a permutation of  $D$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv g(\$_1)(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  is an ascending permutation of  $D$ . There exists a natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$ . Consider  $\mathfrak{B}$  being a natural number such that  $\mathcal{P}[\mathfrak{B}]$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathfrak{B} \leq i$ . Reconsider  $c = h \upharpoonright \text{succ } \mathfrak{B}$  as an array of  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$ . Set  $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_c}$  (the generators of  $G$ ). Define  $\mathcal{H}(\text{natural number}) = f(g(\$_1 - 1), J)$ . Consider  $r$  being a finite sequence such that  $\text{len } r = \mathfrak{B} + 1$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } r$  holds  $r(i) = \mathcal{H}(i)$ .  $\text{rng } r \subseteq ST$ . Reconsider  $R = g(\mathfrak{B})(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M)$  as an ascending permutation of  $D$ . Now let  $\Gamma$  denotes the program

$ \begin{aligned} y &:= \mathfrak{a}^{(@M)}(@i_1); \\ (@M)(@i_1) &:= \mathfrak{a}^{(@M)}(@i_2); \\ (@M)(@i_2) &:= \mathfrak{a}^y; \\ J \end{aligned} $
--

For every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i < \text{len } r$  holds  $r(i) \in TV$  and  $r(i + 1) = f(r(i), \Gamma)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Mizar analysis of algorithms: Preliminaries. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(3):87–110, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0011-x.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Program algebra over an algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(4):309–341, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0037-6.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sorting by exchanging. *Formalized Mathematics*, 19(2):93–102, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0015-4.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):279–287, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Free term algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(3):239–256, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0029-6.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Terms over many sorted universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):191–198, 1996.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):397–402, 1991.

- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [13] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [14] Grzegorz Bancerek and Artur Korniłowicz. Yet another construction of free algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):779–785, 2001.
- [15] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [16] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):47–54, 1996.
- [17] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [18] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [19] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [20] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [21] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [22] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [23] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [24] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):61–65, 1996.
- [25] Jarosław Kotowicz, Beata Madras, and Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Basic notation of universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):251–253, 1992.
- [26] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [27] Takashi Mitsuishi and Grzegorz Bancerek. Lattice of fuzzy sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):393–398, 2003.
- [28] Beata Perkowska. Free many sorted universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):67–74, 1996.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. A scheme for extensions of homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):205–209, 1996.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [33] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [34] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [35] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski – Zorn lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):387–393, 1990.
- [36] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [37] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):825–829, 2001.
- [38] Edmund Woronowicz. Many argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.
- [39] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received November 9, 2012