

# Polynomially Bounded Sequences and Polynomial Sequences

Hiroyuki Okazaki  
Shinshu University  
Nagano, Japan

Yuichi Futa  
Japan Advanced Institute  
of Science and Technology  
Ishikawa, Japan

**Summary.** In this article, we formalize polynomially bounded sequences that plays an important role in computational complexity theory. Class P is a fundamental computational complexity class that contains all polynomial-time decision problems [11], [12]. It takes polynomially bounded amount of computation time to solve polynomial-time decision problems by the deterministic Turing machine. Moreover we formalize polynomial sequences [5].

MSC: 03D15 68Q15 03B35

Keywords: computational complexity; polynomial time

MML identifier: ASYMPY.2, version: 8.1.04 5.32.1246

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [26], [18], [16], [17], [6], [22], [10], [7], [8], [24], [14], [1], [2], [3], [13], [20], [27], [28], [21], [25], and [9].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider natural numbers  $m, k$ . If  $1 \leq m$ , then  $1 \leq m^k$ .

Let us consider natural numbers  $m, n$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (2)  $m \leq m^{n+1}$ .  
(3) If  $2 \leq m$ , then  $n + 1 \leq m^n$ .

(4) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ . Then  $2 \cdot k \leq 2^k$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv 2 \cdot \mathbb{N}_1 \leq 2^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$  by [20, (25)], [24, (5)], [1, (14)], (2). For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [1, Sch. 2].  $\square$

(5) Let us consider natural numbers  $k, n$ . If  $k \leq n$ , then  $n+k \leq 2^n$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \mathbb{N}_1 + k + k \leq 2^{\mathbb{N}_1+k}$ .  $2 \cdot k \leq 2^k$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$  by [20, (27), (25), (24)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [1, Sch. 2].  $\square$

(6) Let us consider natural numbers  $k, m$ . If  $2 \cdot k + 1 \leq m$ , then  $2^k \leq 2^m/m$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5).

(7) Let us consider real numbers  $a, b, c$ . If  $1 < a$  and  $0 < b \leq c$ , then  $\log_a b \leq \log_a c$ .

Let us consider a natural number  $n$  and a real number  $a$ . Now we state the propositions:

(8) If  $1 < a$ , then  $a^n < a^{n+1}$ .

(9) If  $1 \leq a$ , then  $a^n \leq a^{n+1}$ .

(10) There exists a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

(i)  $\text{dom } g = ]0, +\infty[$ , and

(ii) for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g(x) = \log_2 x$ , and

(iii)  $g$  is differentiable on  $]0, +\infty[$ , and

(iv) for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g$  is differentiable in  $x$  and  $g'(x) = \log_2 e/x$  and  $0 < g'(x)$ .

PROOF: Set  $g = \log_2 e \cdot (\text{the function } \ln)$ . For every real number  $d$  such that  $d \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g(d) = \log_2 d$  by [20, (56)]. For every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g$  is differentiable in  $x$  and  $g'(x) = \log_2 e/x$  and  $0 < g'(x)$  by [23, (18)], [22, (15)], [20, (57)], [23, (11)].  $\square$

(11) There exists a partial function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

(i)  $]e, +\infty[ = \text{dom } f$ , and

(ii) for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(x) = x/\log_2 x$ , and

(iii)  $f$  is differentiable on  $]e, +\infty[$ , and

(iv) for every real number  $x_0$  such that  $x_0 \in ]e, +\infty[$  holds  $0 \leq f'(x_0)$ , and

(v)  $f$  is non-decreasing.

PROOF: Consider  $g$  being a partial function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{dom } g = ]0, +\infty[$  and for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g(x) = \log_2 x$  and  $g$  is differentiable on  $]0, +\infty[$  and for every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  holds  $g$  is differentiable in  $x$  and  $g'(x) = \log_2 e/x$  and  $0 < g'(x)$ . Set  $g_0 = g]e, +\infty[$ . For every object  $x$  such that  $x \in ]e, +\infty[$  holds  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  by [23, (11)]. Set  $f = \text{id}_{\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}/g_0} \cdot g_0^{-1}(\{0\}) = \emptyset$  by [23, (11)], [7, (49)], [4, (10)], [20, (52)]. For every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(x) = x/\log_2 x$  by [7, (49)]. For every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]e, +\infty[$  holds  $f$  is differentiable in  $x$  and  $f'(x) = \log_2 x - \log_2 e/(\log_2 x)^2$  by [23, (11)], [7, (49)], [4, (10)], [20, (52)]. For every real number  $x$  such that  $x \in ]e, +\infty[$  holds  $0 \leq f'(x)$  by [20, (57)], [23, (11)].  $\square$

- (12) Let us consider real numbers  $x, y$ . If  $e < x \leq y$ , then  $x/\log_2 x \leq y/\log_2 y$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11).
- (13) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ . Suppose  $e < k$ . Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^k \leq n/\log_2 n$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (6).

Let us consider a natural number  $x$ . Let us assume that  $1 < x$ .

- (14) There exists a natural number  $N$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $4 < n/\log_x n$ .
- (15) There exist natural numbers  $N, c$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $n^x \leq c \cdot x^n$ .
- (16) Let us consider a natural number  $x$ . Suppose  $1 < x$ . Then there exist no natural numbers  $N, c$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^n \leq c \cdot n^x$ .

PROOF: Consider  $N$  being a natural number such that there exists a natural number  $c$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^n \leq c \cdot n^x$ .  $N \neq 0$  by [20, (42), (24)]. Consider  $c$  being a natural number such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^n \leq c \cdot n^x$ . There exists an element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $N \leq n$  and  $0 < n - (x/4)$  by [24, (6), (3)]. Consider  $n$  being an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $N \leq n$  and  $0 < n - (x/4)$ .  $0 < c$  by [20, (34)]. For every natural number  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k$  holds  $2^{k \cdot n} \leq c \cdot (k \cdot n)^x$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k$  holds  $k \cdot n \leq \log_2 c + x \cdot \log_2 k + x \cdot \log_2 n$  by [20, (34)], (7), [20, (55), (52), (53)]. Consider  $Z$  being an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for every natural number  $k$  such that  $Z \leq k$  holds  $4 < k/\log_2 k$ . There exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $Z \leq k$  and  $\log_2 c + x \cdot \log_2 n/n - (x/4) \leq k$  by [24, (6), (3)]. There exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $Z \leq k$  and  $\log_2 c + x \cdot \log_2 n/n - (x/4) \leq k$  and  $1 < k$  by [1, (11)]. Consider  $k$  being a natural number such that  $Z \leq k$  and  $1 < k$  and  $\log_2 c + x \cdot \log_2 n/n - (x/4) \leq k$ .  $\square$

(17) Let us consider natural numbers  $a, b$ . If  $a \leq b$ , then  $\{n^a\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in O(\{n^b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

(18) Let us consider a natural number  $x$ . Suppose  $1 < x$ . Then there exist no natural numbers  $N, c$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $x^n \leq c \cdot n^x$ .

PROOF: There exist natural numbers  $N, c$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^n \leq c \cdot n^x$  by [24, (7)].  $\square$

(19) Let us consider a non negative real number  $a$ , and a natural number  $n$ . If  $1 \leq n$ , then  $0 < \{n^a\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ .

## 2. POLYNOMIALLY BOUNDED SEQUENCES

Let  $p$  be a sequence of real numbers. We say that  $p$  is polynomially bounded if and only if

(Def. 1) there exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $p \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(20) Let us consider a sequence  $f$  of real numbers. Suppose  $f$  is not polynomially bounded. Let us consider a natural number  $k$ . Then  $f \notin O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

(21) Let us consider a sequence  $f$  of real numbers. Suppose for every natural number  $k$ ,  $f \notin O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Then  $f$  is not polynomially bounded.

(22) Let us consider a positive real number  $a$ . Then  $\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is positive.

Let us consider a real number  $a$ . Now we state the propositions:

(23) If  $1 \leq a$ , then  $\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is non-decreasing. The theorem is a consequence of (9).

(24) If  $1 < a$ , then  $\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing. The theorem is a consequence of (8).

(25) Let us consider a natural number  $a$ . If  $1 < a$ , then  $\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not polynomially bounded.

PROOF: Consider  $k$  being a natural number such that  $\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Reconsider  $f = \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as an eventually positive sequence of real numbers. Reconsider  $t = \{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as an eventually non-negative sequence of real numbers.  $t \in O(f)$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $1 \leq n$  holds  $0 < f(n)$ . Consider  $c$  being a real number such that  $c > 0$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq 1$  holds  $(\{a^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(n) \leq c \cdot \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \geq 1$  holds  $2^n \leq c \cdot n^k$  by [24, (7)]. There exist natural numbers  $N, b$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $2^n \leq b \cdot n^k$  by [24, (3)].  $\square$

3. POLYNOMIAL SEQUENCES

Now we state the proposition:

- (26) Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a sequence  $y$  of real numbers. Then
- (i)  $x \cdot y$  is a finite transfinite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{dom}(x \cdot y) = \text{dom } x$ , and
  - (iii) for every object  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } x$  holds  $(x \cdot y)(i) = x(i) \cdot y(i)$ .

Let  $x$  be a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $y$  be a sequence of real numbers. Observe that the functor  $x \cdot y$  yields a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the proposition:

- (27) Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and natural numbers  $x, i$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } d$ . Then  $(d \cdot \{x^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(i) = d(i) \cdot x^i$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let  $c$  be a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c)$  yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 2) for every natural number  $x$ ,  $it(x) = \sum(c \cdot \{x^{1 \cdot n + 0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a natural number  $k$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Suppose  $\text{len } d = k + 1$ . Then there exists a real number  $a$  and there exists a finite 0-sequence  $d_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists a sequence  $y$  of real numbers such that  $\text{len } d_1 = k$  and  $d_1 = d \upharpoonright k$  and  $a = d(k)$  and  $d = d_1 \hat{\ } \langle a \rangle$  and  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d) = \text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d_1) + y$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $y(i) = a \cdot i^k$ . PROOF: Consider  $a$  being a real number,  $d_1$  being a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } d_1 = k$  and  $d_1 = d \upharpoonright k$  and  $a = d(k)$  and  $d = d_1 \hat{\ } \langle a \rangle$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = a \cdot i^k$ . Consider  $y$  being a sequence of real numbers such that for every natural number  $x$ ,  $y(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$  from [15, Sch. 1]. For every element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d))(x) = (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d_1) + y)(x)$  by (26), [1, (13), (44)], (27).  $\square$
- (29) If  $\text{len } d = 1$ , then there exists a real number  $a$  such that  $a = d(0)$  and for every natural number  $x$ ,  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d))(x) = a$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).
- (30) If  $\text{len } d = 1$  and  $d$  is non-negative yielding, then  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d) \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29).
- (31) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , a real number  $a$ , and a sequence  $y$  of real numbers. Suppose  $0 \leq a$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $y(i) = a \cdot i^k$ . Then  $y \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

(32) Let us consider natural numbers  $k, n$ . If  $k \leq n$ , then  $O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq O(\{n^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

PROOF: Consider  $i$  being a natural number such that  $n = k + i$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq O(\{n^{(k+\$1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . For every natural number  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[x]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[x + 1]$ . For every natural number  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[x]$  from [1, Sch. 2].  $\square$

(33) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , and a non-negative yielding finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } c = k + 1$ . Then  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c) \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  for every non-negative yielding finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } c = \$1 + 1$  holds  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c) \in O(\{n^{\$1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .  $\mathcal{P}[0]$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by (28), [7, (47)], [1, (13), (39)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [1, Sch. 2].  $\square$

(34) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , and a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $\text{len } d = \text{len } c$ , and
- (ii) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $d(i) = |c(i)|$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = |c(\$1)| (\in \mathbb{R})$ . Consider  $d$  being a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } d = \text{len } c$  and for every natural number  $j$  such that  $j \in \text{len } c$  holds  $d(j) = \mathcal{F}(j)$  from [18, Sch. 1].  $\square$

(35) Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } d = \text{len } c$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $d(i) = |c(i)|$ . Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Then  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n) \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d))(n)$ .

PROOF:  $\text{dom}(d \cdot \{x^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \text{dom } d$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(c \cdot \{x^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  holds  $(c \cdot \{x^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(i) \leq (d \cdot \{x^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})(i)$  by (26), (27), [19, (4)].  $\square$

(36) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , and a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } c = k + 1$  and  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c)$  is eventually nonnegative. Then  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c) \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .

PROOF: Consider  $d$  being a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } d = \text{len } c$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $d(i) = |c(i)|$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $0 \leq d(i)$  by [6, (46)]. For every real number  $r$  such that  $r \in \text{rng } d$  holds  $0 \leq r$ .  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d) \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Consider  $t$  being an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d) = t$  and there exists a real number  $c$  and there exists an element  $N$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $c > 0$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  holds  $t(n) \leq c \cdot \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$  and  $t(n) \geq 0$ . Consider  $N_1$  being a natural number such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N_1 \leq n$  holds  $0 \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n)$ .

Consider  $a$  being a real number,  $N_2$  being an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $a > 0$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N_2$  holds  $t(n) \leq a \cdot \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$  and  $t(n) \geq 0$ . Set  $N = N_1 + N_2$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  holds  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n) \leq a \cdot \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$  and  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n) \geq 0$  by [1, (11)], (35).  $\square$

- (37) Let us consider natural numbers  $k, n$ . If  $0 < n$ , then  $n \cdot \{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n) = \{n^{(k+1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ .
- (38) Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } c = 0$ . Let us consider a natural number  $x$ . Then  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(x) = 0$ .
- (39) Let us consider an eventually nonnegative sequence  $f$  of real numbers, and a natural number  $k$ . Suppose  $f \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ . Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that for every natural number  $n$  such that  $N \leq n$  holds  $f(n) \leq \{n^{(k+1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(n)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (37).
- (40) Let us consider a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a finite 0-sequence  $a_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $a_1 = |c|$ , and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n) \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(a_1))(n)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $a_1 = |c|$  as a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set  $m_1 = c \cdot \{n^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Set  $m_2 = a_1 \cdot \{n^{1-n+0}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For every natural number  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } m_1$  holds  $m_1(x) \leq m_2(x)$  by [19, (4)].  $\square$

- (41) Let us consider finite 0-sequences  $c, a_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $a_1 = |c|$ . Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Then  $|(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(n)| \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(a_1))(n)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  for every finite 0-sequences  $c, a_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } c = \$_1$  and  $a_1 = |c|$  for every natural number  $x$ ,  $|(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c))(x)| \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(a_1))(x)$ .  $\mathcal{P}[0]$  by (26), [6, (44)]. For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by (28), [7, (47)], [15, (7)], [6, (56), (65)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [1, Sch. 2].  $\square$

- (42) Let us consider a real number  $a$ . Suppose  $0 < a$ . Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , and a non-negative yielding finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } d = k$ . Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that for every natural number  $x$  such that  $N \leq x$  for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $d(i) \cdot x^i \cdot k \leq a \cdot x^k$ .

PROOF: For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } d$  holds  $0 \leq d(i)$  by [7, (3)].  $\square$

- (43) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , a real number  $a$ , and a sequence  $y$  of real numbers. Suppose  $0 < a$  and  $\text{len } d = k$  and for every natural number  $x$ ,  $y(x) = a \cdot x^k$ . Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that for every natural number  $x$  such that  $N \leq x$  holds

$|(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d))(x)| \leq y(x)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (38), (42), (26), (27), and (41).

- (44) Let us consider a natural number  $k$ , and a finite 0-sequence  $d$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } d = k+1$  and  $0 < d(k)$ . Then  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d)$  is eventually nonnegative. PROOF: Consider  $a$  being a real number,  $d_1$  being a finite 0-sequence of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $y$  being a sequence of real numbers such that  $\text{len } d_1 = k$  and  $d_1 = d \upharpoonright k$  and  $a = d(k)$  and  $d = d_1 \hat{\ } \langle a \rangle$  and  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d) = \text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d_1) + y$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $y(i) = a \cdot i^k$ . Consider  $N$  being a natural number such that for every natural number  $i$  such that  $N \leq i$  holds  $|(\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d_1))(i)| \leq y(i)$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $N \leq i$  holds  $0 \leq (\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(d))(i)$  by [19, (4)], [15, (7)].  $\square$

Let us consider a natural number  $k$  and a finite 0-sequence  $c$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let us assume that  $\text{len } c = k+1$  and  $0 < c(k)$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (45)  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c) \in O(\{n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ .  
 (46)  $\text{Seq}_{\text{poly}}(c)$  is polynomially bounded. The theorem is a consequence of (36) and (44).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors would also like to express their gratitude to Prof. Yasunari Shidama for his support and encouragement.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Increasing and continuous ordinal sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):711–714, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Two programs for **SCM**. Part I – preliminaries. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):69–72, 1993.
- [5] E.J. Barbeau. *Polynomials*. Springer, 2003.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [11] Jon Kleinberg and Eva Tardos. *Algorithm Design*. Addison-Wesley, 2005.
- [12] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 1: Fundamental Algorithms, Third Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 1997.
- [13] Artur Korniłowicz. On the real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):181–187, 2005.
- [14] Jarosław Kotowicz. The limit of a real function at infinity. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):17–28, 1991.



- [15] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [16] Richard Krueger, Piotr Rudnicki, and Paul Shelley. Asymptotic notation. Part I: Theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):135–142, 2001.
- [17] Richard Krueger, Piotr Rudnicki, and Paul Shelley. Asymptotic notation. Part II: Examples and problems. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):143–154, 2001.
- [18] Yatsuka Nakamura and Hisashi Ito. Basic properties and concept of selected subsequence of zero based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(3):283–288, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0034-y.
- [19] Jan Popiołek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [20] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Real exponents and logarithms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):213–216, 1991.
- [21] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Series. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):449–452, 1991.
- [22] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Real function differentiability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):797–801, 1990.
- [23] Yasunari Shidama. The Taylor expansions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):195–200, 2004.
- [24] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [26] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):825–829, 2001.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

*Received June 30, 2015*

---