

# **Uniform Space**

Roland Coghetto Rue de la Brasserie 5 7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** In this article, we formalize in Mizar [1] the notion of uniform space introduced by André Weil using the concepts of entourages [2].

We present some results between uniform space and pseudo metric space. We introduce the concepts of left-uniformity and right-uniformity of a topological group.

Next, we define the concept of the partition topology. Following the Vlach's works [11, 10], we define the semi-uniform space induced by a tolerance and the uniform space induced by an equivalence relation.

Finally, using mostly Gehrke, Grigorieff and Pin [4] works, a Pervin uniform space defined from the sets of the form  $((X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)) \cup (A \times A)$  is presented.

MSC: 54E15 03B35

Keywords: uniform space; uniformity; pseudo-metric space; topological group; partition topology; Pervin uniform space

MML identifier: UNIFORM3, version: 8.1.05 5.37.1275

#### **1.** Preliminaries

From now on X denotes a set, D denotes a partition of X, T denotes a non empty topological group, and A denotes a subset of X.

Now we state the propositions:

(1) 
$$A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \subseteq (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A.$$

(2)  $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3\}.$ 

(3) Suppose 
$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}$$
 and  $A = \{1\}$ . Then

- (i)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \in (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A$ , and
- (ii)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \notin A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .

215

C 2016 University of Białystok CC-BY-SA License ver. 3.0 or later ISSN 1426-2630(Print), 1898-9934(Online)

Unauthenticated Download Date | 9/20/17 2:35 AM The theorem is a consequence of (2).

- (4) Let us consider a subset A of X. Then  $(A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A))^{\smile} = A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .
- (5) Let us consider subsets  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  of D. If  $\bigcup P_1 = \bigcup P_2$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .
- (6) Let us consider a subset P of D. Then  $\bigcup (D \setminus P) = \bigcup D \setminus \bigcup P$ .
- (7) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of X, and an element S of  $S_1$ . Then  $\bigcap S_1 \subseteq S$ .
- (8) Let us consider an additive group G, and subsets A, B, C, D of G. If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $C \subseteq D$ , then  $A + C \subseteq B + D$ .

Let us consider an element e of T and a neighbourhood V of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (9)  $\{e\} \cdot V$  is a neighbourhood of e.
- (10)  $V \cdot \{e\}$  is a neighbourhood of e.
- (11) Let us consider a neighbourhood V of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then  $V^{-1}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

#### 2. UNIFORM SPACE

A uniform space is an upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3. From now on Q denotes a uniform space.

Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Q is a quasi-uniform space.
- (13) Q is a semi-uniform space.

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies axiom UP2 if and only if

(Def. 1) for every element  $B_1$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exists an element  $B_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_2 \subseteq B_1 \check{}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(14) Let us consider an empty set X. Then every empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3.

One can verify that there exists a uniform space which is strict.

Now we state the proposition:

(15) Let us consider a set X, and a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $X = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $S_1 = \{X \times X\}$ . Then  $\langle X, S_1 \rangle$  is a uniform space.

Let us observe that there exists a strict uniform space which is non empty. Now we state the proposition:

- (16) Let us consider a set X, and a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3. Then there exists a strict uniform space Q such that
  - (i) the carrier of Q = X, and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = [\mathcal{B}]$ .

## 3. Open Set and Uniform Space

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q. Then
  - (i) the carrier of the topological space induced by Q = the carrier of Q, and
  - (ii) the topology of the topological space induced by Q = the open set family of the FMT induced by Q.
- (18) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q, and a subset S of the FMTinduced by Q. Then S is open if and only if for every element x of Q such that  $x \in S$  holds  $S \in$ Neighborhood x.
- (19) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q. Then the open set family of the FMT induced by Q = the set of all O where O is an open subset of the FMT induced by Q.

Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q and a subset S of the FMT induced by Q. Now we state the propositions:

- (20) S is open if and only if  $S \in$  the open set family of the FMT induced by Q.
- (21)  $S \in$  the open set family of the FMT induced by Q if and only if for every element x of Q such that  $x \in S$  holds  $S \in$  Neighborhood x.
  - 4. PSEUDO METRIC SPACE AND UNIFORM SPACE

Let M be a non empty metric structure and r be a positive real number. The functor ent(M, r) yielding a subset of (the carrier of M) × (the carrier of M) is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x, y are elements of  $M : \rho(x, y) \leq r\}$ .

Let M be a non empty, reflexive metric structure. Let us observe that ent(M, r) is non empty.

Let M be a non empty metric structure. The functor ENT(M) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of M) × (the carrier of M) is defined by the term (Def. 3) the set of all ent(M, r) where r is a positive real number.

The uniformity induced by M yielding a uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 4)  $\langle$  the carrier of M, [ENT(M)] $\rangle$ .

Let M be a pseudo metric space. The uniformity induced by M yielding a non empty, strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 5)  $\langle$  the carrier of M, [ENT(M)] $\rangle$ .

Let us consider a pseudo metric space M. Now we state the propositions:

(22) The open set family of the FMT induced by the uniformity induced by M = the open set family of M. PROOF: Set X = the open set family of the FMT induced by the uniformity

induced by M. Set Y = the open set family of M.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (18), (20), [5, (11)]. Reconsider  $t_1 = t$  as a subset of M. For every element x of the uniformity induced by M such that  $x \in t_1$  holds  $t_1 \in$  Neighborhood x by [5, (11)].  $\Box$ 

(23) The topological space induced by the uniformity induced by  $M = M_{\text{top}}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).

5. Uniform Space and Topological Group

Let G be a topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor leftU(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G : x^{-1} \cdot y \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty topological group. The functor SleftU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term

(Def. 7) the set of all leftU(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

The left-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 8)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SleftU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Let G be a topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor rightU(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G : y \cdot x^{-1} \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty topological group. The functor SrightU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term (Def. 10) the set of all right U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

The right-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 11) (the carrier of T, [SrightU(T)]).

Now we state the propositions:

- (24) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group T, and a neighbourhood Q of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then leftU(Q) = rightU(Q).
- (25) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group T. Then the left-uniformity T = the right-uniformity T. The theorem is a consequence of (24).

Let G be a semi additive topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor leftU(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

(Def. 12)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G : -x + y \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor SleftU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term

(Def. 13) the set of all left U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ .

Let T be a non empty topological additive group. The left-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 14)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SleftU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Let G be a semi additive topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor right U(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

(Def. 15)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G : y + -x \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor SrightU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term

(Def. 16) the set of all right U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ .

Let T be a non empty topological additive group. The right-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 17) (the carrier of T, [SrightU(T)]).

Now we state the propositions:

- (26) Let us consider an Abelian semi additive topological group T, and a neighbourhood Q of  $0_T$ . Then leftU(Q) = rightU(Q).
- (27) Let us consider a non empty topological additive group T. Suppose T

is Abelian. Then the left-uniformity T = the right-uniformity T. The theorem is a consequence of (26).

- (28) The topology of the topological space induced by the left-uniformity T = the topology of T. PROOF: Set X = the topology of FMT2TopSpace(the FMTinduced by the left-uniformity T). Set Y = the topology of T.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (9), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\Box$
- (29) The topology of the topological space induced by the right-uniformity T = the topology of T. PROOF: Set X = the topology of FMT2TopSpace(the FMTinduced by the right-uniformity T). Set Y = the topology of T.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (10), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\Box$

## 6. FUNCTION UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS

Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  be uniform space structures and f be a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$ . We say that f is uniformly continuous if and only if

(Def. 18) for every element V of the entourages  $Q_2$ , there exists an element Q of the entourages  $Q_1$  such that for every objects x, y such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in Q$  holds  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in V$ .

Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  be non empty uniform space structures satisfying axiom U1. One can check that there exists a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$  which is uniformly continuous.

## 7. PARTITION TOPOLOGY

Now we state the propositions:

- (30) the set of all  $\bigcup P$  where P is a subset of D = UniCl(D).
- (31)  $X \in \text{UniCl}(D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (30).
- (32) If  $D = \emptyset$ , then X is empty and UniCl $(D) = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Let us note that UniCl(D) is  $\cap$ -closed and UniCl(D) is union-closed and every family of subsets of X which is union-closed is also  $\cup$ -closed.

Let D be a partition of X. Let us note that UniCl(D) is closed for complement operator and UniCl(D) is  $\cup$ -closed and  $\backslash$ -closed.

Now we state the proposition:

(33) UniCl(D) is a ring of sets. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

Let us consider X and D. One can verify that UniCl(D) has the empty element.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Let us observe that UniCl(D) is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

(34) UniCl(D) is a field of subsets of X.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Observe that UniCl(D) is  $\sigma$ -additive and UniCl(D) is  $\sigma$ -multiplicative.

Now we state the proposition:

(35) UniCl(D) is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of X.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Observe that UniCl(D) is closed for countable unions and closed for countable meets.

Now we state the proposition:

(36) Let us consider a non empty set  $\Omega$ , and a partition D of  $\Omega$ . Then UniCl(D) is a Dynkin system of  $\Omega$ .

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. The partition topology D yielding a topological space is defined by the term

(Def. 19)  $\langle X, \text{UniCl}(D) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (37) Every open subset of the partition topology D is closed.
- (38) Every closed subset of the partition topology D is open.
- (39) Let us consider a subset S of the partition topology D. Then S is open if and only if S is closed.

Let X be a non empty set and D be a partition of X. Observe that the partition topology D is non empty.

Let us consider a non empty set X and a partition D of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (40) LC(the partition topology D) = UniCl(D). The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (31).
- (41) OpenClosedSet(the partition topology D) = the topology of the partition topology D. The theorem is a consequence of (37).

# 8. Uniform Space and Partition Topology

In the sequel R denotes a binary relation on X.

Let X be a set and R be a binary relation on X. The functor  $\rho(R)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term (Def. 20)  $\{S, \text{ where } S \text{ is a subset of } X \times X : R \subseteq S\}.$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- (42)  $[\rho(R)] = \rho(R).$
- (43)  $[\{R\}] = \rho(R).$
- (44)  $\rho(R)$  is upper and  $\cap$ -closed. Let us consider X and R. Observe that  $\rho(R)$  is quasi-basis. Now we state the propositions:
- (45) Let us consider a total, reflexive binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP1.
- (46) Let us consider a symmetric binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP2.
- (47) Let us consider a total, transitive binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP3.

Let X be a set and R be a binary relation on X. The uniformity induced by R yielding an upper,  $\cap$ -closed, strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 21)  $\langle X, \rho(R) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (48) Let us consider a set X, and a total, reflexive binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U1. The theorem is a consequence of (45).
- (49) Let us consider a set X, and a symmetric binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (46).
- (50) Let us consider a set X, and a total, transitive binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U3. The theorem is a consequence of (47).

Let X be a set and R be a tolerance of X. Note that the uniformity induced by R yields a strict semi-uniform space. Now we state the proposition:

(51) Let us consider a set X, and an equivalence relation R of X. Then the uniformity induced by R is a uniform space.

Let X be a set and R be an equivalence relation of X. Observe that the uniformity induced by R yields a strict uniform space. Let X be a non empty set and R be a tolerance of X. Let us note that the uniformity induced by R is non empty and every non empty uniform space is topological.

Let Q be a non empty uniform space. The functor  ${}^{@}Q$  yielding a topological, non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1 is defined by the term (Def. 22) Q.

Now we state the proposition:

- (52) Let us consider a non empty set X, and an equivalence relation R of X. Then the topological space induced by <sup>@</sup>(the uniformity induced by R) = the partition topology Classes R. The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (18).
  - 9. Uniformity Induced by a Tolerance or by an Equivalence

Now we state the proposition:

- (53) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q. Suppose  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)  $\in$  the entourages Q. Then there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of Q such that
  - (i)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q) = R, and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $R = \bigcap$  (the entourages Q) as a binary relation on the carrier of Q.  $\rho(R) \subseteq$  the entourages Q. The entourages  $Q \subseteq \rho(R)$  by [7, (3)].  $\Box$ 

Let Q be a uniform space structure. The functor Uniformity2InternalRel(Q) yielding a binary relation on the carrier of Q is defined by the term

(Def. 23)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q).

The functor Uniform SpaceStr2RelStr(Q) yielding a relational structure is defined by the term

(Def. 24)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } Q, \text{Uniformity2InternalRel}(Q) \rangle$ .

Let  $R_1$  be a relational structure. The functor InternalRel2Uniformity $(R_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of (the carrier of  $R_1$ ) × (the carrier of  $R_1$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 25) {R, where R is a binary relation on the carrier of  $R_1$ : the internal relation of  $R_1 \subseteq R$ }.

The functor RelStr2UniformSpaceStr $(R_1)$  yielding a strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 26)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } R_1, \text{InternalRel2Uniformity}(R_1) \rangle$ .

The functor InternalRel2Element $(R_1)$  yielding an element of the entourages RelStr2UniformSpaceStr $(R_1)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 27) the internal relation of  $R_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(54) Let us consider a binary relation R on X. Then  $\bigcap \rho(R) = R$ .

- (55) Let us consider a strict relational structure  $R_1$ . Then UniformSpaceStr2– RelStr(RelStr2UniformSpaceStr $(R_1)$ ) =  $R_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (56) Let us consider a uniform space structure Q. Then
  - (i) the carrier of RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(Q)) = the carrier of Q, and
  - (ii) the entourages RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(Q)) =  $\rho(\cap$ (the entourages Q)).
- (57) Let us consider a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation R on X. If  $S_1 = \rho(R)$ , then  $S_1 \subseteq \rho(\bigcap S_1)$ .
- (58) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . If  $\bigcap S_1 \in S_1$ , then  $\rho(\bigcap S_1) \subseteq S_1$ .
- (59) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation R on X. Suppose  $R \in S_1$  and  $S_1 = \rho(R)$  and  $\bigcap S_1 \in S_1$ . Then  $\rho(\bigcap S_1) = S_1$ .
- (60) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q. Suppose there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of Q such that the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$ and  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)  $\in$  the entourages Q. Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)). The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (58).
- (61) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q, and a binary relation R on the carrier of Q. Suppose the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\bigcap$  (the entourages  $Q) \in$  the entourages Q. Then the entourage  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\rho(R) = \rho(R)$  and  $\rho(R) = \rho(R)$  and  $\rho(R) = \rho(R)$  and  $\rho(R) = \rho(R)$ .

Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\bigcap(\text{the entourages } Q))$ .

Let us consider a tolerance R of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (62) (i) the uniformity induced by R is a semi-uniform space, and
  - (ii) the entourages the uniformity induced by  $R = \rho(R)$ , and
  - (iii)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages the uniformity induced by R) = R.
- (63) RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(the uniformity induced by R)) = the uniformity induced by R. The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (64) Let us consider an equivalence relation R of X. Then RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(the uniformity induced by R)) = the uniformity induced by R. The theorem is a consequence of (54).

## 10. UNIFORM PERVIN SPACE

Let X be a set,  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X, and A be an element of  $S_1$ . The functor Block(A) yielding a subset of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 28)  $(X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \cup A \times A$ .

From now on  $S_1$  denotes a family of subsets of X and A denotes an element of  $S_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (65) If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $\operatorname{Block}(A) = X \times X$ .
- (66) Suppose X is not empty. Then  $\text{Block}(A) = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : x \in A \text{ iff } y \in A \}.$

PROOF: Set  $S = \{ \langle x, y \rangle$ , where x, y are elements of  $X : x \in A$  iff  $y \in A \}$ . Block $(A) \subseteq S$  by [3, (87)].  $S \subseteq$  Block(A) by [3, (87)].  $\Box$ 

(67) (i)  $\operatorname{id}_X \subseteq \operatorname{Block}(A)$ , and

(ii)  $\operatorname{Block}(A) \cdot \operatorname{Block}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Block}(A)$ .

Let X be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X. The functor Blocks $(S_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 29) the set of all Block(A) where A is an element of  $S_1$ .

Let us observe that  $Blocks(S_1)$  is non empty.

The functor FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 30) FinMeetCl(Blocks $(S_1)$ ).

Now we state the propositions:

- (68) FMCBlocks $(S_1)$  is  $\cap$ -closed.
- (69)  $\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)$  is quasi-basis. The theorem is a consequence of (68).
- (70) FMCBlocks $(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP1.
- (71) Let us consider an element A of  $S_1$ , and a binary relation R on X. If R = Block(A), then  $R^{\sim} = \text{Block}(A)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (65) and (4).
- (72) Let us consider a binary relation R on X. Suppose R is an element of  $\operatorname{Blocks}(S_1)$ . Then  $R^{\sim}$  is an element of  $\operatorname{Blocks}(S_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

Let us consider a non empty family Y of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Now we state the propositions:

(73) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $Y[\sim] = Y$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

- (74) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $(\bigcap Y)^{\sim} = \bigcap Y [\sim]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (71).
- (75) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $\bigcap Y = (\bigcap Y)^{\smile}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (74).
- (76)  $\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP2. The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (75).
- (77) FMCBlocks $(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP3. The theorem is a consequence of (67).

Let X be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X. The Pervin uniform space of  $S_1$  yielding a strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 31)  $\langle X, [FMCBlocks(S_1)] \rangle$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author wants to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her work, to make the presentation more readable.

#### References

- Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. General Topology: Chapters 1–4. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [4] Mai Gehrke, Serge Grigorieff, and Jean-Éric Pin. A topological approach to recognition. In Automata, Languages and Programming, pages 151–162. Springer, 2010.
- [5] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):607-610, 1990.
- [6] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [7] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [8] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821-827, 1990.
- [9] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5): 855–864, 1990.
- [10] Milan Vlach. Algebraic and topological aspects of rough set theory. In Fourth International Workshop on Computational Intelligence & Applications, IEEE SMC Hiroshima Chapter, Hiroshima University, Japan, December 10&11, 2008.
- [11] Milan Vlach. Topologies of approximation spaces of rough set theory. In Interval/Probabilistic Uncertainty and Non-Classical Logics, pages 176–186. Springer, 2008.

Received June 30, 2016