

# Uniform Space

Roland Coghetto  
Rue de la Brasserie 5  
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** In this article, we formalize in Mizar [1] the notion of uniform space introduced by André Weil using the concepts of entourages [2].

We present some results between uniform space and pseudo metric space. We introduce the concepts of left-uniformity and right-uniformity of a topological group.

Next, we define the concept of the partition topology. Following the Vlach's works [11, 10], we define the semi-uniform space induced by a tolerance and the uniform space induced by an equivalence relation.

Finally, using mostly Gehrke, Grigorieff and Pin [4] works, a Pervin uniform space defined from the sets of the form  $((X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)) \cup (A \times A)$  is presented.

MSC: 54E15 03B35

Keywords: uniform space; uniformity; pseudo-metric space; topological group; partition topology; Pervin uniform space

MML identifier: UNIFORM3, version: 8.1.05 5.37.1275

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on  $X$  denotes a set,  $D$  denotes a partition of  $X$ ,  $T$  denotes a non empty topological group, and  $A$  denotes a subset of  $X$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1)  $A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \subseteq (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A$ .
- (2)  $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3\}$ .
- (3) Suppose  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $A = \{1\}$ . Then
  - (i)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \in (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A$ , and
  - (ii)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \notin A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (2).

- (4) Let us consider a subset  $A$  of  $X$ . Then  $(A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A))^\smile = A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .
- (5) Let us consider subsets  $P_1, P_2$  of  $D$ . If  $\bigcup P_1 = \bigcup P_2$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .
- (6) Let us consider a subset  $P$  of  $D$ . Then  $\bigcup(D \setminus P) = \bigcup D \setminus \bigcup P$ .
- (7) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X$ , and an element  $S$  of  $S_1$ . Then  $\bigcap S_1 \subseteq S$ .
- (8) Let us consider an additive group  $G$ , and subsets  $A, B, C, D$  of  $G$ . If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $C \subseteq D$ , then  $A + C \subseteq B + D$ .

Let us consider an element  $e$  of  $T$  and a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (9)  $\{e\} \cdot V$  is a neighbourhood of  $e$ .
- (10)  $V \cdot \{e\}$  is a neighbourhood of  $e$ .
- (11) Let us consider a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then  $V^{-1}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

## 2. UNIFORM SPACE

A uniform space is an upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3. From now on  $Q$  denotes a uniform space.

Now we state the propositions:

- (12)  $Q$  is a quasi-uniform space.
- (13)  $Q$  is a semi-uniform space.

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies axiom UP2 if and only if

- (Def. 1) for every element  $B_1$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exists an element  $B_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_2 \subseteq B_1^\smile$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (14) Let us consider an empty set  $X$ . Then every empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3.

One can verify that there exists a uniform space which is strict.

Now we state the proposition:

- (15) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $X = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $S_1 = \{X \times X\}$ . Then  $\langle X, S_1 \rangle$  is a uniform space.

Let us observe that there exists a strict uniform space which is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

- (16) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3. Then there exists a strict uniform space  $Q$  such that
- (i) the carrier of  $Q = X$ , and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = [\mathcal{B}]$ .

### 3. OPEN SET AND UNIFORM SPACE

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a non empty uniform space  $Q$ . Then
- (i) the carrier of the topological space induced by  $Q =$  the carrier of  $Q$ , and
  - (ii) the topology of the topological space induced by  $Q =$  the open set family of the FMTinduced by  $Q$ .
- (18) Let us consider a non empty uniform space  $Q$ , and a subset  $S$  of the FMTinduced by  $Q$ . Then  $S$  is open if and only if for every element  $x$  of  $Q$  such that  $x \in S$  holds  $S \in$  Neighborhood  $x$ .
- (19) Let us consider a non empty uniform space  $Q$ . Then the open set family of the FMTinduced by  $Q =$  the set of all  $O$  where  $O$  is an open subset of the FMTinduced by  $Q$ .

Let us consider a non empty uniform space  $Q$  and a subset  $S$  of the FMTinduced by  $Q$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (20)  $S$  is open if and only if  $S \in$  the open set family of the FMTinduced by  $Q$ .
- (21)  $S \in$  the open set family of the FMTinduced by  $Q$  if and only if for every element  $x$  of  $Q$  such that  $x \in S$  holds  $S \in$  Neighborhood  $x$ .

### 4. PSEUDO METRIC SPACE AND UNIFORM SPACE

Let  $M$  be a non empty metric structure and  $r$  be a positive real number. The functor  $\text{ent}(M, r)$  yielding a subset of (the carrier of  $M$ )  $\times$  (the carrier of  $M$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } M : \rho(x, y) \leq r\}$ .

Let  $M$  be a non empty, reflexive metric structure. Let us observe that  $\text{ent}(M, r)$  is non empty.

Let  $M$  be a non empty metric structure. The functor  $\text{ENT}(M)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of  $M$ )  $\times$  (the carrier of  $M$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 3) the set of all  $\text{ent}(M, r)$  where  $r$  is a positive real number.

The uniformity induced by  $M$  yielding a uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 4)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } M, [\text{ENT}(M)] \rangle$ .

Let  $M$  be a pseudo metric space. The uniformity induced by  $M$  yielding a non empty, strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 5)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } M, [\text{ENT}(M)] \rangle$ .

Let us consider a pseudo metric space  $M$ . Now we state the propositions:

(22) The open set family of the FMT induced by the uniformity induced by  $M =$  the open set family of  $M$ .

PROOF: Set  $X =$  the open set family of the FMT induced by the uniformity induced by  $M$ . Set  $Y =$  the open set family of  $M$ .  $X \subseteq Y$  by (18), (20), [5, (11)]. Reconsider  $t_1 = t$  as a subset of  $M$ . For every element  $x$  of the uniformity induced by  $M$  such that  $x \in t_1$  holds  $t_1 \in \text{Neighborhood } x$  by [5, (11)].  $\square$

(23) The topological space induced by the uniformity induced by  $M = M_{\text{top}}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).

### 5. UNIFORM SPACE AND TOPOLOGICAL GROUP

Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $Q$  be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor  $\text{leftU}(Q)$  yielding a subset of  $(\text{the carrier of } G) \times (\text{the carrier of } G)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\{ \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } G, y \text{ is an element of } G : x^{-1} \cdot y \in Q \}$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological group. The functor  $\text{SleftU}(T)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $(\text{the carrier of } T) \times (\text{the carrier of } T)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7) the set of all  $\text{leftU}(Q)$  where  $Q$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

The left-uniformity  $T$  yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 8)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SleftU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $Q$  be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor  $\text{rightU}(Q)$  yielding a subset of  $(\text{the carrier of } G) \times (\text{the carrier of } G)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\{ \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } G, y \text{ is an element of } G : y \cdot x^{-1} \in Q \}$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological group. The functor  $\text{SrightU}(T)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $(\text{the carrier of } T) \times (\text{the carrier of } T)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 10) the set of all  $\text{rightU}(Q)$  where  $Q$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

The right-uniformity  $T$  yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 11)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SrightU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(24) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group  $T$ , and a neighbourhood  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then  $\text{leftU}(Q) = \text{rightU}(Q)$ .

(25) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group  $T$ . Then the left-uniformity  $T =$  the right-uniformity  $T$ . The theorem is a consequence of (24).

Let  $G$  be a semi additive topological group and  $Q$  be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor  $\text{leftU}(Q)$  yielding a subset of  $(\text{the carrier of } G) \times (\text{the carrier of } G)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 12)  $\{ \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } G, y \text{ is an element of } G : -x + y \in Q \}$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor  $\text{SleftU}(T)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $(\text{the carrier of } T) \times (\text{the carrier of } T)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 13) the set of all  $\text{leftU}(Q)$  where  $Q$  is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological additive group. The left-uniformity  $T$  yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 14)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SleftU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Let  $G$  be a semi additive topological group and  $Q$  be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor  $\text{rightU}(Q)$  yielding a subset of  $(\text{the carrier of } G) \times (\text{the carrier of } G)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 15)  $\{ \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } G, y \text{ is an element of } G : y + -x \in Q \}$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor  $\text{SrightU}(T)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $(\text{the carrier of } T) \times (\text{the carrier of } T)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 16) the set of all  $\text{rightU}(Q)$  where  $Q$  is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ .

Let  $T$  be a non empty topological additive group. The right-uniformity  $T$  yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 17)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } T, [\text{SrightU}(T)] \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(26) Let us consider an Abelian semi additive topological group  $T$ , and a neighbourhood  $Q$  of  $0_T$ . Then  $\text{leftU}(Q) = \text{rightU}(Q)$ .

(27) Let us consider a non empty topological additive group  $T$ . Suppose  $T$

is Abelian. Then the left-uniformity  $T =$  the right-uniformity  $T$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

- (28) The topology of the topological space induced by the left-uniformity  $T =$  the topology of  $T$ .

PROOF: Set  $X =$  the topology of  $\text{FMT2TopSpace}$ (the FMT induced by the left-uniformity  $T$ ). Set  $Y =$  the topology of  $T$ .  $X \subseteq Y$  by (9), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\square$

- (29) The topology of the topological space induced by the right-uniformity  $T =$  the topology of  $T$ .

PROOF: Set  $X =$  the topology of  $\text{FMT2TopSpace}$ (the FMT induced by the right-uniformity  $T$ ). Set  $Y =$  the topology of  $T$ .  $X \subseteq Y$  by (10), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\square$

## 6. FUNCTION UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS

Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be uniform space structures and  $f$  be a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$ . We say that  $f$  is uniformly continuous if and only if

- (Def. 18) for every element  $V$  of the entourages  $Q_2$ , there exists an element  $Q$  of the entourages  $Q_1$  such that for every objects  $x, y$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in Q$  holds  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in V$ .

Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be non empty uniform space structures satisfying axiom U1. One can check that there exists a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$  which is uniformly continuous.

## 7. PARTITION TOPOLOGY

Now we state the propositions:

- (30) the set of all  $\cup P$  where  $P$  is a subset of  $D = \text{UniCl}(D)$ .  
 (31)  $X \in \text{UniCl}(D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (30).  
 (32) If  $D = \emptyset$ , then  $X$  is empty and  $\text{UniCl}(D) = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Let us note that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is  $\cap$ -closed and  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is union-closed and every family of subsets of  $X$  which is union-closed is also  $\cup$ -closed.

Let  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Let us note that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is closed for complement operator and  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is  $\cup$ -closed and  $\setminus$ -closed.

Now we state the proposition:

- (33)  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is a ring of sets. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

Let us consider  $X$  and  $D$ . One can verify that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  has the empty element.

Let  $X$  be a set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Let us observe that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

(34)  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is a field of subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Observe that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is  $\sigma$ -additive and  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplicative.

Now we state the proposition:

(35)  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Observe that  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is closed for countable unions and closed for countable meets.

Now we state the proposition:

(36) Let us consider a non empty set  $\Omega$ , and a partition  $D$  of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\text{UniCl}(D)$  is a Dynkin system of  $\Omega$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . The partition topology  $D$  yielding a topological space is defined by the term

(Def. 19)  $\langle X, \text{UniCl}(D) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(37) Every open subset of the partition topology  $D$  is closed.

(38) Every closed subset of the partition topology  $D$  is open.

(39) Let us consider a subset  $S$  of the partition topology  $D$ . Then  $S$  is open if and only if  $S$  is closed.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $D$  be a partition of  $X$ . Observe that the partition topology  $D$  is non empty.

Let us consider a non empty set  $X$  and a partition  $D$  of  $X$ . Now we state the propositions:

(40)  $\text{LC}(\text{the partition topology } D) = \text{UniCl}(D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (31).

(41)  $\text{OpenClosedSet}(\text{the partition topology } D) = \text{the topology of the partition topology } D$ . The theorem is a consequence of (37).

## 8. UNIFORM SPACE AND PARTITION TOPOLOGY

In the sequel  $R$  denotes a binary relation on  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $R$  be a binary relation on  $X$ . The functor  $\rho(R)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 20)  $\{S, \text{ where } S \text{ is a subset of } X \times X : R \subseteq S\}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

$$(42) \quad [\rho(R)] = \rho(R).$$

$$(43) \quad [\{R\}] = \rho(R).$$

$$(44) \quad \rho(R) \text{ is upper and } \cap\text{-closed.}$$

Let us consider  $X$  and  $R$ . Observe that  $\rho(R)$  is quasi-basis.

Now we state the propositions:

(45) Let us consider a total, reflexive binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP1.

(46) Let us consider a symmetric binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP2.

(47) Let us consider a total, transitive binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP3.

Let  $X$  be a set and  $R$  be a binary relation on  $X$ . The uniformity induced by  $R$  yielding an upper,  $\cap$ -closed, strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 21)  $\langle X, \rho(R) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(48) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and a total, reflexive binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then the uniformity induced by  $R$  satisfies axiom U1. The theorem is a consequence of (45).

(49) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and a symmetric binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then the uniformity induced by  $R$  satisfies axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (46).

(50) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and a total, transitive binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then the uniformity induced by  $R$  satisfies axiom U3. The theorem is a consequence of (47).

Let  $X$  be a set and  $R$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . Note that the uniformity induced by  $R$  yields a strict semi-uniform space. Now we state the proposition:

(51) Let us consider a set  $X$ , and an equivalence relation  $R$  of  $X$ . Then the uniformity induced by  $R$  is a uniform space.

Let  $X$  be a set and  $R$  be an equivalence relation of  $X$ . Observe that the uniformity induced by  $R$  yields a strict uniform space. Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $R$  be a tolerance of  $X$ . Let us note that the uniformity induced by  $R$  is non empty and every non empty uniform space is topological.

Let  $Q$  be a non empty uniform space. The functor  ${}^{\textcircled{Q}}$  yielding a topological, non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1 is defined by the term



(Def. 22)  $Q$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (52) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and an equivalence relation  $R$  of  $X$ . Then the topological space induced by  $\textcircled{R}$ (the uniformity induced by  $R$ ) = the partition topology Classes  $R$ . The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (18).

9. UNIFORMITY INDUCED BY A TOLERANCE OR BY AN EQUIVALENCE

Now we state the proposition:

- (53) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure  $Q$ . Suppose  $\bigcap$ (the entourages  $Q$ )  $\in$  the entourages  $Q$ . Then there exists a binary relation  $R$  on the carrier of  $Q$  such that
- (i)  $\bigcap$ (the entourages  $Q$ ) =  $R$ , and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $R = \bigcap$ (the entourages  $Q$ ) as a binary relation on the carrier of  $Q$ .  $\rho(R) \subseteq$  the entourages  $Q$ . The entourages  $Q \subseteq \rho(R)$  by [7, (3)].  $\square$

Let  $Q$  be a uniform space structure. The functor  $\text{Uniformity2InternalRel}(Q)$  yielding a binary relation on the carrier of  $Q$  is defined by the term

(Def. 23)  $\bigcap$ (the entourages  $Q$ ).

The functor  $\text{UniformSpaceStr2RelStr}(Q)$  yielding a relational structure is defined by the term

(Def. 24)  $\langle$ the carrier of  $Q$ ,  $\text{Uniformity2InternalRel}(Q)\rangle$ .

Let  $R_1$  be a relational structure. The functor  $\text{InternalRel2Uniformity}(R_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of (the carrier of  $R_1$ )  $\times$  (the carrier of  $R_1$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 25)  $\{R$ , where  $R$  is a binary relation on the carrier of  $R_1$  : the internal relation of  $R_1 \subseteq R\}$ .

The functor  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(R_1)$  yielding a strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 26)  $\langle$ the carrier of  $R_1$ ,  $\text{InternalRel2Uniformity}(R_1)\rangle$ .

The functor  $\text{InternalRel2Element}(R_1)$  yielding an element of the entourages  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(R_1)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 27) the internal relation of  $R_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (54) Let us consider a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Then  $\bigcap \rho(R) = R$ .

- (55) Let us consider a strict relational structure  $R_1$ . Then  $\text{UniformSpaceStr2-RelStr}(\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(R_1)) = R_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (56) Let us consider a uniform space structure  $Q$ . Then
- (i) the carrier of  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(\text{UniformSpaceStr2RelStr}(Q)) =$  the carrier of  $Q$ , and
  - (ii) the entourages  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(\text{UniformSpaceStr2RelStr}(Q)) = \rho(\cap(\text{the entourages } Q))$ .
- (57) Let us consider a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . If  $S_1 = \rho(R)$ , then  $S_1 \subseteq \rho(\cap S_1)$ .
- (58) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . If  $\cap S_1 \in S_1$ , then  $\rho(\cap S_1) \subseteq S_1$ .
- (59) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Suppose  $R \in S_1$  and  $S_1 = \rho(R)$  and  $\cap S_1 \in S_1$ . Then  $\rho(\cap S_1) = S_1$ .
- (60) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure  $Q$ . Suppose there exists a binary relation  $R$  on the carrier of  $Q$  such that the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\cap(\text{the entourages } Q) \in$  the entourages  $Q$ . Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\cap(\text{the entourages } Q))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (58).
- (61) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure  $Q$ , and a binary relation  $R$  on the carrier of  $Q$ . Suppose the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\cap(\text{the entourages } Q) \in$  the entourages  $Q$ . Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\cap(\text{the entourages } Q))$ .

Let us consider a tolerance  $R$  of  $X$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (62) (i) the uniformity induced by  $R$  is a semi-uniform space, and
- (ii) the entourages the uniformity induced by  $R = \rho(R)$ , and
  - (iii)  $\cap(\text{the entourages the uniformity induced by } R) = R$ .
- (63)  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(\text{UniformSpaceStr2RelStr}(\text{the uniformity induced by } R)) =$  the uniformity induced by  $R$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (64) Let us consider an equivalence relation  $R$  of  $X$ . Then  $\text{RelStr2UniformSpaceStr}(\text{UniformSpaceStr2RelStr}(\text{the uniformity induced by } R)) =$  the uniformity induced by  $R$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54).

10. UNIFORM PERVIN SPACE

Let  $X$  be a set,  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ , and  $A$  be an element of  $S_1$ . The functor  $\text{Block}(A)$  yielding a subset of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 28)  $(X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \cup A \times A$ .

From now on  $S_1$  denotes a family of subsets of  $X$  and  $A$  denotes an element of  $S_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(65) If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{Block}(A) = X \times X$ .

(66) Suppose  $X$  is not empty. Then  $\text{Block}(A) = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : x \in A \text{ iff } y \in A\}$ .

PROOF: Set  $S = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : x \in A \text{ iff } y \in A\}$ .  $\text{Block}(A) \subseteq S$  by [3, (87)].  $S \subseteq \text{Block}(A)$  by [3, (87)].  $\square$

(67) (i)  $\text{id}_X \subseteq \text{Block}(A)$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Block}(A) \cdot \text{Block}(A) \subseteq \text{Block}(A)$ .

Let  $X$  be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ . The functor  $\text{Blocks}(S_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 29) the set of all  $\text{Block}(A)$  where  $A$  is an element of  $S_1$ .

Let us observe that  $\text{Blocks}(S_1)$  is non empty.

The functor  $\text{FMCBLOCKS}(S_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 30)  $\text{FinMeetCl}(\text{Blocks}(S_1))$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(68)  $\text{FMCBLOCKS}(S_1)$  is  $\cap$ -closed.

(69)  $\text{FMCBLOCKS}(S_1)$  is quasi-basis. The theorem is a consequence of (68).

(70)  $\text{FMCBLOCKS}(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP1.

(71) Let us consider an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . If  $R = \text{Block}(A)$ , then  $R^\sim = \text{Block}(A)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (65) and (4).

(72) Let us consider a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$ . Suppose  $R$  is an element of  $\text{Blocks}(S_1)$ . Then  $R^\sim$  is an element of  $\text{Blocks}(S_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

Let us consider a non empty family  $Y$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Now we state the propositions:

(73) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $Y[\sim] = Y$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

- (74) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $(\bigcap Y)^\smile = \bigcap Y [\sim]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (71).
- (75) If  $Y \subseteq \text{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $\bigcap Y = (\bigcap Y)^\smile$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (74).
- (76)  $\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP2. The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (75).
- (77)  $\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP3. The theorem is a consequence of (67).

Let  $X$  be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ . The Pervin uniform space of  $S_1$  yielding a strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 31)  $\langle X, [\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)] \rangle$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author wants to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her work, to make the presentation more readable.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pał, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. *General Topology: Chapters 1–4*. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [4] Mai Gehrke, Serge Grigorieff, and Jean-Éric Pin. A topological approach to recognition. In *Automata, Languages and Programming*, pages 151–162. Springer, 2010.
- [5] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [6] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [7] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [8] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [9] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):855–864, 1990.
- [10] Milan Vlach. Algebraic and topological aspects of rough set theory. In *Fourth International Workshop on Computational Intelligence & Applications, IEEE SMC Hiroshima Chapter, Hiroshima University, Japan, December 10&11, 2008*.
- [11] Milan Vlach. Topologies of approximation spaces of rough set theory. In *Interval/Probabilistic Uncertainty and Non-Classical Logics*, pages 176–186. Springer, 2008.

Received June 30, 2016