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The aim of this study was to verify the impact of ethnicity on the maximal power-vertical jump relationship. Thirty-one healthy males, sixteen Caucasian (age: 26.3 ± 3.5 years; body height: 179.1 ± 5.5 cm; body mass: 78.1 ± 9.8 kg) and fifteen Afro-Caribbean (age: 24.4 ± 2.6 years; body height: 178.9 ± 5.5 cm; body mass: 77.1 ± 10.3 kg) completed three sessions during which vertical jump height and maximal power of lower limbs were measured. The results showed that the values of vertical jump height and maximal power were higher for Afro-Caribbean participants (62.92 ± 6.7 cm and 14.70 ± 1.75 W·kg⁻¹) than for Caucasian ones (52.92 ± 4.4 cm and 12.75 ± 1.36 W·kg⁻¹). Moreover, very high reliability indices were obtained on vertical jump (e.g. 0.95 < ICC < 0.98) and maximal power performance (e.g. 0.75 < ICC < 0.97). However, multiple linear regression analysis showed that, for a given value of maximal power, the Afro-Caribbean participants jumped 8 cm higher than the Caucasians. Together, these results confirmed that ethnicity impacted the maximal power-vertical jump relationship over three sessions. In the current context of cultural diversity, the use of vertical jump performance as a predictor of muscular power should be considered with caution when dealing with populations of different ethnic origins.
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Introduction
Vertical jump tests are widely used as effective field evaluations of maximal power, a key indicator of the anaerobic metabolism. Indeed, several studies have demonstrated that maximal power of the lower limbs measured on a cycle ergometer (Pmax) is positively correlated with vertical jump height (VJ). This shows that the greater the maximal power of the lower limbs, the greater the vertical jump height (Driss et al., 1998; Vandewalle et al., 1987).

However, a number of studies have highlighted that the Pmax-VJ relationship is impacted by ethnicity (Rouis et al., 2015). For example, for a given value of VJ, Pmax of West African volleyball players was lower than Pmax of their Caucasian counterparts. Thus, the prediction of maximal power from vertical jump height appears to be biased when various ethnic groups are considered. This result is even more important today in the context of cultural diversity.

Earlier extensive studies in exercise physiology showed that ethnicity had an impact on power performance in jumping. All of these
Effects of ethnicity on the relationship between vertical jump and maximal power
on a cycle ergometer


studies found that Afro-Carribean males (Rouis et al., 2015; Zajac et al., 2000),
young boys (Babel et al., 2005; Ben Ayed et al., 2011; Ghesquière and
Eeckels, 1984; Malina, 1988), and adolescents (Burfoot, 1992) jumped higher
than their white counterparts. In addition, a greater ability of Afro-
Carribean participants has been extended more generally to locomotor
skills in preschool children, for activities such as running, hopping,
leaping or sliding (Goodway et al., 2010). These results have been explained
mainly by constitutional differences between ethnic populations, such as
differences in terms of body composition (Wagner and Heyward, 2007),
muscle-tendon properties (McCarthy et al., 2006) and muscle fiber types
(Bouchard et al., 1986).

However, little is known of the impact of
ethnicity on cycling performance, with divergent
results found in exercise physiology. While some
studies showed that Pmax of Afro-Carribean
participants was lower than that of their
Caucasian counterparts (Ben Ayed et al., 2011;
Rouis et al., 2015), others found that Pmax was
similar in both ethnic groups (Burfoot, 1992) or
higher for Caucasian compared with Afro-
Carribean participants (Zajac et al., 2000). Since
cycling is a complex cyclical activity requiring a
specific coordination of the lower limb muscles,
few authors have suggested that the contrasting
results obtained on Pmax performance might
stem from a practice effect (Ben Ayed et al., 2011;
Vandewalle et al., 1987). Nevertheless, this
hypothesis has not yet been truly tested.

The present study served as a preliminary
stage to validate this assumption. In an earlier
reliability study, the effect of practice on power
performance was observed beginning with the
second session of a test (Hopkins et al., 2001).
Therefore, it might be assumed that three sessions
of a test should be sufficient to observe an
improvement in Pmax performance might stem from a practice effect (Ben Ayed et al., 2011;
Vandewalle et al., 1987). Nevertheless, this
hypothesis has not yet been truly tested.

Participants

Thirty-one healthy and active men
(sixteen Caucasian and fifteen Afro-Caribbean),
involved in recreational sports activities four to
five hours per week (jogging, soccer), volunteered
for this study. Participants were matched for age,
body height and mass (Table 1). None of the
participants was a cyclist or a jumper. Moreover,
73% of the Caucasian participants, but only 27%
of the Afro-Caribbean participants reported
owning a bicycle. Each participant signed an
informed consent form prior to the experimental
procedures. The experimental protocol was
approved by the Institutional Review Board of the
Nanterre University. The study was conducted
according to the guidelines of the Declaration of
Helsinki.

Procedures

Since a practice effect in power tests can
be observed beginning with the second session of
a test (Hopkins et al., 2001), the participants of the
present study completed three sessions (two days
apart). Each session consisted of a vertical jump
test followed by a force-velocity test, separated by
a passive recovery period of 15 minutes.

Vertical jump test

A maximal countermovement vertical
jump (VJ) was performed according to the
procedure and the device described by
Vandewalle et al. (1987). The VJ corresponded to
the distance between the participant’s body height
and the level reached by his head at the peak of
the jump. The participants performed the vertical
jump using a countermovement of the trunk, legs
and arms, but without a run. They performed two
or three jumps with a 10 to 15 s recovery period
between the trials. Thereafter, the participants
were given 2 min to rest before jumping again
until reaching their maximal performance.

Approximately ten jumps were performed and
the highest result was recorded for further
analysis.

Force-velocity test

The force-velocity test was performed
according to the protocol proposed by
Vandewalle et al. (1987). This test consisted of
repetitive short maximal sprints (6 s each) against

Material and Methods
different braking forces. The test began with a breaking force equal to 19.6 N. This braking force was increased by 19.6 N after 5 min of recovery. The same exercise was performed again until the participants were unable to reach a peak velocity greater than 100 rpm. The participants generally performed from 6 to 8 short all-out sprints. According to Vandewalle et al. (1987), maximal power of lower limbs ($P_{\text{max}}$) was determined from the linear force-velocity relationship and was equal to:

$$P_{\text{max}} = 0.5V_0 \times 0.5F_0 = 0.25V_0 F_0$$

where $V_0$ is assumed to be an estimate of maximal velocity at zero braking force and $F_0$ is assumed to be the braking force corresponding to zero velocity (Vandewalle et al., 1987).

**Statistical analyses**

Analyses were performed using Sigma-stat software (Jandel, France). Data distribution normality was confirmed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance test.

For each session, the effect of ethnicity on the $P_{\text{max}}$-$VJ$ relationship was studied by multiple linear regression analysis between the dependent variable ($VJ$) and the independent variable ($P_{\text{max}}$) plus a dummy variable $E$ corresponding to ethnicity ($E = 1$ for Afro-Caribbean and $E = 0$ for Caucasian) according to the following model:

$$VJ = a + bP_{\text{max}} + cE + \epsilon$$

where $\epsilon$ is the error.

The effect of practice on the $VJ$ and $P_{\text{max}}$ was analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA): session (session 1, session 2, session 3) and ethnic group (Caucasian, Afro-Caribbean), with repeated measures on the first factor.

Additionally, the practice effect was studied from reliability indices using the test-retest correlation coefficient ($r$), the standard error of estimation (SEE) and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) between each session.

For all analyses, the level of significance was set at $p < .05$.

**Results**

Table 2 presents summary data for all of the dependent variables.

**Results of multiple regressions**

For each session, multiple regression analysis revealed that the relationships between $VJ$, $P_{\text{max}}$ and ethnicity were significant ($p < .001$), with the correlation coefficient ($r$) ranging from 0.68 to 0.74 (Figure 1). The $VJ$ values were also significantly correlated with both $P_{\text{max}}$ and ethnicity ($p < .001$).

Moreover, the multiple regression equations showed that, for the same $P_{\text{max}}$, the Afro-Caribbean group jumped 8 cm higher on average than the Caucasian group, regardless of the session.

**Vertical jump performance**

The two-way ANOVA performed on vertical jump performance revealed a main effect of ethnicity ($F_{1.29} = 16.13$, $p < .001$). The value of the vertical jump height of the Afro-Caribbean group ($62.92 \pm 6.7$ cm) was higher than that of the Caucasian group ($52.95 \pm 4.4$ cm). However, there was no session effect and no interaction between the session and ethnicity ($p > .05$).

The results of the test-retest correlation coefficients and the SEE and ICC values showed high reliability for the vertical jump height for both groups (i.e. $r > 0.94$, ICC $\geq 0.95$, SEE $\leq 3.98\%$) (Figure 2).

**Maximal power of lower limbs**

The two-way ANOVA showed only a significant main effect of ethnicity for $P_{\text{max}}$ ($F_{1.29} = 13.04$, $p < .001$). The results indicated that the value of $P_{\text{max}}$ for the Afro-Caribbean group ($14.70 \pm 1.75$ W·kg$^{-1}$) was greater than that of the Caucasian group ($12.75 \pm 1.36$ W·kg$^{-1}$). However, no session effect and no interaction between the session and ethnicity were found ($p > .05$).

All reliability indices showed high reliability for $P_{\text{max}}$ for both groups (i.e. $r > 0.80$, ICC $\geq 0.78$, SEE $\leq 6.32\%$) (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Based on previous studies (Rouis et al., 2015), the results of the present study indicated that ethnicity significantly impacted the $P_{\text{max}}$-$VJ$ relationship. Indeed, the results of the multiple linear regression analysis showed that, for a given value of $P_{\text{max}}$, the Afro-Caribbean participants jumped on average 8 cm higher than the Caucasians. However, the results of the present study showed no practice effect. Indeed, the results of the ANOVA showed neither a session effect nor an interaction between the session and ethnicity in all dependent variables (i.e. $P_{\text{max}}$ and $VJ$). Furthermore, the reliability indices confirmed the results of the ANOVA.
Table 1

Physical characteristics between Afro-Caribbean and Caucasian groups. Values are means ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Body height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afro-Caribbean (n=15)</td>
<td>24.4 ± 2.06</td>
<td>178.9 ± 5.54</td>
<td>77.01 ± 10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian (n=16)</td>
<td>26.3 ± 3.53</td>
<td>179.1 ± 5.55</td>
<td>78.06 ± 9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1

Relationships between maximal power (Pmax) and vertical jump (VJ) for both ethnic groups. A) session 1, B) session 2 and C) session 3. Multiple regression equations for Afro-Caribbeans (1, continuous line, black circles) and Caucasians (2, dashed line, empty circles).
Table 2
Trends of vertical jump performance (VJ) and maximal power ($P_{\text{max}}$) during the three sessions and according to the Caucasian and Afro-Caribbean groups. Values are means ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Session 1</th>
<th>Session 2</th>
<th>Session 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VJ (cm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>$52.92 ± 4.52$</td>
<td>$52.79 ± 4.23$</td>
<td>$53.14 ± 4.38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$P_{\text{max}}$ (W·kg$^{-1}$)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>$12.58 ± 1.55$</td>
<td>$12.61 ± 1.18$</td>
<td>$13.05 ± 1.35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afro-Caribbean</td>
<td>$14.61 ± 1.89$</td>
<td>$14.66 ± 1.63$</td>
<td>$14.83 ± 1.72$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p</strong></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$: level of significance for the difference between groups (Caucasian versus Afro-Caribbean); ***: $p < .001$, **: $p < .01$, *: $p < .05$.

Figure 2
Reliability indices for maximal power ($P_{\text{max}}$) and vertical jump (VJ) between sessions in Afro-Caribbean (1, black circles) and Caucasian (2, empty circles) groups. $r$: test-retest correlation coefficient, SEE: standard error of estimation, ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient. The dashed line represents the identity line.
Test-retest correlation coefficients, standard errors of estimation and intraclass correlation coefficients showed very high reliability between each session for both the Pmax and VJ performances and for both ethnic groups. Combined, these results demonstrated that neither the Caucasian nor Afro-Caribbean participants improved their performance in jumping and cycling, respectively.

Numerous studies in the field of physiology have highlighted morphological (Malina, 1988; Nelson et al., 1995), muscular (Ama et al., 1986), enzymatic (Saltin et al., 1995) and mechanical (Fukashiro et al., 2002) differences between Afro-Caribbean and Caucasian populations. Specifically, it has been demonstrated that Afro-Caribbean sedentary men and athletes have a higher percentage of fast twitch fibers and muscle stiffness than their Caucasian counterparts (Ama et al., 1986; Fukashiro et al., 2002). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that vertical jump height and maximal power are positively correlated with the percentage of fast fibres (Glenmark et al., 1994) and muscle-tendon stiffness (Driss et al., 2012; Fukashiro et al., 2002).

Recently, some authors reported specific anatomical properties such as greater tendon length of the lower limbs in Afro-Caribbean subjects compared to Caucasian subjects (Kunimasa et al., 2014; Sano et al., 2013). Indeed, the greater tendon length may positively contribute to the output from the muscle tendon complex in a stretch shortening cycle during jumping with prestretch and this feature could be responsible for better vertical jump performance in the Afro-Caribbean participants.

Therefore, although the present study did not focus on these parameters, it could be assumed that better jumping and cycling performances observed in the Afro-Caribbean group compared with the Caucasian one might stem from these constitutional differences.

However, it is interesting to note that whereas all studies showed higher vertical jump performance for Afro-Caribbean participants compared with Caucasians (Ben Ayed et al., 2011; Burfoot, 1992; Zajac et al., 2000), divergent results were obtained for maximal power. Considering Pmax, the results of the present study are consistent with those obtained in the study of Zajac et al. (2000). In this latter study, the Afro-Caribbean group’s performance in Pmax was 6.1% higher than that of the Caucasian group. In contrast, in the studies of Ben Ayed et al. (2011) and Rouis et al. (2015), Pmax of Afro-Caribbean participants was lower than that of their Caucasian counterparts. Moreover, the study of Burfoot (1992) found no ethnic difference in Pmax. These divergent results concerning the impact of ethnicity on Pmax might be interpreted as arising from a difference in the practice of cycling. However, the results obtained in the present study did not confirm this assumption as no effect of practice was observed over three sessions.

Moreover, a few studies from social science have demonstrated that cycling is an activity of white men (Steinbach et al., 2011) and that cycling barriers and opportunities varied according to ethnicity (Christie et al., 2011; Kidder, 2005). For example, young Africans in disadvantaged areas in England were more likely to report not owning or never having ridden a bicycle, compared with other ethnic groups such as whites (Christie et al., 2011). Thus, it is reasonable to postulate that the impact of ethnicity on the Pmax-VJ relationship might be explained in part by a socio-cultural factor, i.e. a practice effect.

A primary limitation of the present study relates to the number of sessions based on which a practice effect was measured. Indeed, it could be assumed that three sessions were not sufficient to detect a performance improvement in Pmax and/or the VJ. Further research is needed to understand the role of practice of cycling in the Pmax-VJ relationship on the basis of a larger-scale study integrating a greater number of sessions.

Moreover, the present study focused only on mechanical indices. It would be interesting to go further and focus on the inter-muscle coordination pattern, which is of great importance in cycling performance.

In conclusion, the results of the present study showed that, for a given value of Pmax, the Afro-Caribbean participants jumped higher than the Caucasians. This was the case regardless of the session. Furthermore, VJ and Pmax performances were similar from session 1 to session 3 and high reliability indices were found on these parameters between sessions and for
both ethnic groups. Combined, these results suggested that the impact of ethnicity on the Pmax-VJ relationship was not influenced by a practice effect over three sessions. These findings call for caution when using vertical jump tests as an accuracy predictor of Pmax when different ethnic groups are considered. Further studies with more subjects and a longer practice period are required for more conclusive results.
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