ESTIMATING MAXIMAL HEART RATE WITH THE ‘220-AGE’ FORMULA IN ADOLESCENT FEMALE VOLLEYBALL PLAYERS: A PRELIMINARY STUDY
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ABSTRACT

Purpose. Although maximal heart rate (HRmax) is used widely to assess exercise intensity in training, there are limited data with regards to the validity of age-based prediction equations of HRmax in volleyball players. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare the measured-HRmax of two prediction equations (Fox-HRmax = 220 − age and Tanaka-HRmax = 208 − 0.7 × age) in young female volleyball players.

Methods. The study involved 47 volleyball players (age 13.39 ± 2.01 years, body mass 54.0 ± 10.8 kg, height 162.7 ± 10.2 cm) who performed a graded exercise field test (20 m shuttle run endurance test) to assess HRmax. Measured-HRmax values were compared with the Fox and Tanaka prediction equations.

Results. The results showed that mean scores for HRmax significantly differed between measured and predicted values (p < 0.001, Ũ² = 0.49). Post-hoc tests revealed that Fox-HRmax overestimated measured-HRmax (mean difference 5.7 bpm; 95% CI [3.0, 8.5]), whereas Tanaka-HRmax was similar to measured-HRmax (–2.2 bpm; 95% CI [–4.9, 0.4]). HRmax did not correlate with age (r = 0.16, p = 0.291).

Conclusions. The results of this study failed to validate the widely used ‘220−age’ formula in volleyball players. Coaches and fitness trainers should take into account that the overestimation of HRmax by the Fox equation might lead to prescribing exercise at a higher intensity than what is targeted. Therefore, the Tanaka equation appears to offer a more accurate prediction equation of HRmax than the Fox equation in young female volleyball players.
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Introduction

Prescribing optimal mode, duration, and intensity of exercise is a prerequisite for effective sports training. A daily concern of coaches and fitness trainers is whether their exercise program has the adequate intensity in order to stimulate targeted physiological adaptations. However, the prescribed exercise intensity should not exceed threshold levels as the likelihood of overtraining is increased. Heart rate (HR) is often used to assess exercise intensity, where an athlete’s actual HR is compared with exercise zones based on maximal HR (HRmax), e.g. Karvonen method [1]. Therefore, knowing HRmax is useful in determining exercise intensity. HRmax can be either assessed directly (measured) or indirectly (estimated). In the first case, a graded exercise test (GXT) is performed either in a laboratory or in the field to elicit HRmax [2], whereas for the latter, HRmax is predicted from an age-based equation.

When it is not possible to measure HRmax (e.g. to avoid the fatigue induced by maximal testing during the competitive season), its prediction from an age-based equation provides an alternative to coaches and fitness trainers. Two popular equations are those of Fox, Naughton, and Haskell (Fox-HRmax = 220 − age) [3] and Tanaka, Monahan, and Seals (Tanaka-HRmax = 208 − 0.7 × age) [4]. The validity of these equations has been examined extensively in large samples of adults [4–10] and in specific populations, e.g. healthy [4, 11], sedentary [6, 10], overweight [8], athletic [12] and individuals with intellectual disabilities [7]. The aforementioned studies used a GXT in a laboratory setting to elicit HRmax. In contrast, only few studies have been conducted in children and adolescents [9, 13, 14] or with a field protocol [2].

Although the contribution of the abovementioned literature to our understanding of HRmax prediction is important, there are several aspects that have not been fully examined and require further research. For instance, athletes and adolescents are underrepresented in this body of research. In a recent study, it was shown that athletes of speed/power sports presented similar measured-HRmax as endurance athletes and that both
had lower values than the untrained [15]. This difference between trained and untrained individuals highlights the need to examine these popular prediction equations in an athlete population. In addition, the various GXT protocols in laboratory and field conditions may elicit different values of HRmax. For instance, a study on soccer players revealed higher HRmax in a field test (i.e. multistage shuttle run test) than in a treadmill GXT [16]. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the validity of Fox-HRmax and Tanaka-HRmax equations in an athlete population. In addition, the lights the need to examine these popular prediction testing procedures as they had already performed such a test at least once in the past. After a 20 min warm-up including jogging and stretching exercises, participants performed an incremental running test on an indoor court between two lines spaced 20 m apart. Initial speed was set at 8.5 km · h⁻¹ and increased every minute by 0.5 km · h⁻¹ until exhaustion. They were instructed to adhere strictly to the set speed, which was dictated by audio signals. During the later stages of the test, participants were vigorously motivated to exert maximal effort. HR was recorded continuously during the test by a Team2 Pro (Polar Electro Oy, Finland). Measured-HRmax was defined as the highest value attained during the test.

### Material and methods

We recruited 47 female volleyball players from the region of Athens, Greece to participate in this study, which was conducted on two non-consecutive days during the same time of day (between 09:00 and 11:00) at the end of the 2012–2013 competitive season (Table 1). Inclusion criteria were: i) participation in at least 90% of their training sessions and ii) regular participation in official matches in the previous competitive season, iii) holding a valid athletic medical clearance, and iv) present a good state of health (pain and injury free and not undergoing medical treatment). Participants were instructed to refrain from drinking alcohol or caffeine-containing beverages for 24 h and eating 2 h before HRmax testing in order to reduce any measurement interference. All experimental procedures were approved by the local institutional review board and were conducted according to the ethical principles laid out in the 2013 revision of the Declaration of Helsinki.

On the first day of testing, the participants visited the laboratory for anthropometric examination. On the second day, within a week from the first session, they performed a GXT on an indoor volleyball court.

Anthropometric measures included height, body mass, and skinfold thicknesses with participants barefoot and wearing minimal clothing. An electronic weight scale (HD-351 Tanita, USA) was employed for body mass measurement (to the nearest 0.1 kg), a portable stadiometer (SECA, UK) for height (0.001 m) and a caliper (Harpenden, UK) for skinfold thickness (0.5 mm). Body mass index was calculated as the quotient of body mass (kg) to height squared (m²). Body fat percentage (bF) was estimated from the sum of 10 skinfold sites (cheek, wattle, chest I, chest II, triceps, subscapular, abdominal, suprailiac, thigh, and calf; BF = −41.32 + 12.59 × logex, where x is the sum of the 10 skinfold sites) [17].

HRmax was assessed with the widely used 20 m shuttle run test (SRT) [18]. All participants were familiar with testing procedures as they had already performed such a test at least once in the past. After a 20 min warm-up including jogging and stretching exercises, participants performed an incremental running test on an indoor court between two lines spaced 20 m apart. Initial speed was set at 8.5 km · h⁻¹ and increased every minute by 0.5 km · h⁻¹ until exhaustion. They were instructed to adhere strictly to the set speed, which was dictated by audio signals. During the later stages of the test, participants were vigorously motivated to exert maximal effort. HR was recorded continuously during the test by a Team2 Pro (Polar Electro Oy, Finland). Measured-HRmax was defined as the highest value attained during the test.

### Results

The anthropometric characteristics and aerobic capacity of participants can be seen in Table 1. The measured-HRmax and predicted-HRmax values are presented in Table 2. When using repeated measures ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction, the mean score for HRmax differed significantly between measured and predicted HRmax (5.7 bpm, 95% CI [3.0, 8.5]), while Tanaka-HRmax was similar as measured-HRmax (−2.2 bpm, 95% CI [−4.9, 0.4]). The relationship between measured-HRmax and age is depicted in Figure 1. HRmax did not correlate with age (r = 0.16, p = 0.291).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>BMI (kg · m⁻²)</th>
<th>BF (%)</th>
<th>SRT (min:s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>13.39 ± 2.01</td>
<td>54.0 ± 10.8</td>
<td>162.7 ± 10.2</td>
<td>20.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>21.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>4.51 ± 1:26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI – body mass index, BF – body fat, SRT – shuttle run test
Figures 2 and 3 show bland-Altman plots of the difference between predicted-HR\text{max} and measured-HR\text{max} for Fox-HR\text{max} and Tanaka-HR\text{max}, respectively. In general, we observed that there was overestimation at low values of HR\text{max} and underestimation at high values of HR\text{max}.

**Discussion**

The main finding of the present study was that the widely used ‘220−age’ formula to predict HR\text{max} overestimates actual HR\text{max} by ~6 bpm (~3%), whereas the Tanaka equation provides more valid results. Our findings confirmed other results finding that Fox-HR\text{max} overestimates HR\text{max} in adolescents [14]. Practically, this finding implies that adopting this widely used prediction equation in young female volleyball players leads them to work at higher intensities than what it is desired, increasing the risk of overtraining during the intense phases of training.

The participants in the present study had analogous anthropometric characteristics and body composition with other samples of Greek volleyball players [21, 22]. Compared with 15.2-year-old volleyball players [22], the participants weighed less and were shorter, and had lower BMI and BF. However, compared with 12.5-year-old volleyball players [21], they weighed less and were taller, and also had lower BMI and BF. The mean time in the shuttle run test (4 min 51 s) corresponded to a maximal running speed 10.5 km \cdot h\textsuperscript{−1}. This score is higher than the mean of age- and sex-matched populations, for instance, 10.2 km \cdot h\textsuperscript{−1} according to worldwide norms (18) and 9.9 km \cdot h\textsuperscript{−1} to English norms [23]. The level of aerobic capacity was in agreement with recent findings that showed a better level in adult female volleyball players than in general population. Compared with boys of a similar age (10–16 years) [14] who performed a GXT on treadmill, the participants in the present study achieved similar HR\text{max}. In addition, we also found similar HR\text{max} compared with another study on individuals aged 7–17 years [9].

The correlation between HR\text{max} and age was positive, small, and non-significant, which was not in agreement with the existing literature that indicates a significant, moderate to very large, negative correlation [4, 10, 11, 14]. The variation in the span of examined age groups may explain the discrepancy between this study and previous research with regard to the magnitude of the correlation. In a previous study covering a relatively short span of ages (10 to 16 years), the correlation between HR\text{max} and age was −0.10 [14], while in studies with a larger span large to very large correlations were observed (e.g. 19 to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured-HR\text{max} (bpm)</td>
<td>200.9 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox-HR\text{max} (bpm)</td>
<td>206.6 ± 2.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka-HR\text{max} (bpm)</td>
<td>198.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox-HR\text{max} – Measured-HR\text{max} (bpm)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka-HR\text{max} – Measured-HR\text{max} (bpm)</td>
<td>−2.2 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* statistically differed from measured-HR\text{max} (p < 0.001)
Therefore, achieving or maintaining an adequate level and by the findings of the present study. research which has revealed high levels of cardiorespiratory power in order to cope with fatigue during ing), volleyball players need to possess adequate cardiores Program, 21, 22, 24 and by the findings of the present study. Therefore, achieving or maintaining an adequate level of cardiorespiratory power should be a concern of those involved in the training process.

In this context, measuring or predicting HRmax contributes to monitoring exercise intensity. An issue with important practical implications is to recognize the risks that coaches and fitness trainers undertake if they choose to use a prediction equation. Using the Fox equation, which tends to overestimate HRmax, might result in prescribing an excessively high exercise intensity. Therefore, based on our findings, it is recommended to apply a lower intensity when the Fox equation is used or, instead, adopt the Tanaka equation as it appears to provide more accurate results than the Fox equation.

A limitation of the present study was that a field GXT was used to assess HRmax instead of one performed on a treadmill in a laboratory setting. The contention that a field GXT lacks the validity of laboratory testing is certainly valid since it is difficult to evaluate whether end physiological values are truly maximal (based on a plateau in oxygen uptake, post-exercise blood lactate, respiratory exchange ratio). On the other hand, a field GXT better imitates natural running movements than a test on a treadmill, where speed is dictated by the equipment, possibly explaining why it is possible to observe higher HRmax in the former than in the latter [16].

The present study focused on the assessment methods of exercise intensity, however, we acknowledge that a complex team sport such as volleyball possesses many other parameters that influence performance, including tactics [25, 26] and physical [27, 28], psychological, and cognitive characteristics [29, 30]. Another aspect that requires attention when interpreting the findings of the present study was the heterogeneity of the sample, which included volleyball players from late childhood till early adulthood. Thus, the chronic adaptations of the parasympathetic nervous system to exercise training might have varied.

Conclusions

The results of the present study failed to validate the widely used ‘220-age’ formula in volleyball players. Coaches and fitness trainers should take into account that the overestimation of HRmax by the Fox equation might lead to prescribing exercise at a higher intensity than what is targeted. Therefore, the Tanaka equation appears to offer a more accurate prediction of HRmax in young female volleyball players. These findings are useful for athletes and coaches when monitoring exercise intensity and for sports scientists involved in concomitant experimental studies.
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