A blueprint for the prevention of preterm birth: vaginal progesterone in women with a short cervix*
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Abstract

Preterm birth is the leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality worldwide, and is the most important challenge to modern obstetrics. A major obstacle has been that preterm birth is treated (implicitly or explicitly) as a single condition. Two thirds of preterm births occur after the spontaneous onset of labor, and the remaining one third after “indicated” preterm birth; however, the causes of spontaneous preterm labor and “indicated” preterm birth are different. Spontaneous preterm birth is a syndrome caused by multiple etiologies, one of which is a decline in progesterone action, which induces cervical ripening. A sonographic short cervix (identified in the midtrimester) is a powerful predictor of spontaneous preterm delivery. Randomized clinical trials and individual patient meta-analyses have shown that vaginal progesterone reduces the rate of preterm delivery at <33 weeks of gestation by 44%, along with the rate of admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, respiratory distress syndrome, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and composite neonatal morbidity/mortality score. There is no evidence that 17-α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate can reduce the rate of preterm delivery in women with a short cervix, and therefore, the compound of choice is natural progesterone (not the synthetic progestin). Routine assessment of the risk of preterm birth with cervical ultrasound coupled with vaginal progesterone for women with a short cervix is cost-effective, and the implementation of such a policy is urgently needed. Vaginal progesterone is as effective as cervical cerclage in reducing the rate of preterm delivery in women with a singleton gestation, history of preterm birth, and a short cervix (<25 mm).
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Introduction

Preterm birth has been recognized as the leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality for decades. Yet standard prenatal care does not include methods to predict or prevent spontaneous preterm birth [150]. This situation is about to change because of two developments: (1) the realization that sonographic cervical length evaluation in the midtrimester is a simple method to identify patients at risk for spontaneous preterm delivery; and (2) the evidence derived from randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses that show that vaginal progesterone is effective in preventing preterm birth in patients with a short cervix [23, 133].

Why has the prevention of preterm birth been so difficult to achieve? We believe that this is due to a “cognitive trap”: a reluctance to accept the complexity of the problem. Preterm birth is often treated (implicitly or explicitly) as if it were a single condition. An important step forward would be to reframe the problem of preterm birth to make it tractable.

The obstetric circumstances that lead to a preterm delivery are fundamentally two: (1) preterm birth that occurs after the spontaneous onset of labor (with intact or prelabor ruptured membranes); or (2) “indicated” preterm birth, which occurs because of maternal complications (such as preeclampsia) or fetal disease (such as intrauterine growth restriction) [50]. To refer to preterm birth as a single condition that could be predicted by a single test and prevented by a single intervention is a flawed concept that has resulted in unrealistic expectations and therapeutic nihilism. This article will focus on the prediction of spontaneous preterm birth with cervical length in the midtrimester and its prevention with vaginal progesterone. The lessons learned in basic and clinical research that have led to this advance can be used as a blueprint to approach other mechanisms of disease implicated in the etiology of preterm labor.
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A conceptual framework for the understanding of preterm labor

The traditional view that has governed the study of parturition is that preterm and term labor are fundamentally the same process, albeit occurring at different gestational ages [138, 141]. Indeed, preterm and term labor share a common terminal pathway, which we have defined as the anatomic, biochemical, endocrinologic, and clinical events that occur in term and preterm parturition. For example, the uterine components of the common pathway include: (1) increased uterine contractility; (2) cervical ripening; and (3) decidual membrane activation (see Figure 1).

An important difference between term and preterm labor is that the former results from "physiologic activation of the common pathway", whereas the latter results from a pathologic process ("pathologic activation that extemporaneously activates components of the common pathway") [138, 141] (see Figure 2).

The activation of the uterine components of the common pathway of parturition may be synchronous or asynchronous [134]. Synchronous activation would result in clinical spontaneous preterm labor, whereas asynchronous would result in a different clinical presentation (referred to, by some, as a phenotype). For example, predominant activation of the membranes would lead to preterm prelabor rupture of membranes (PPROM), of the cervix to cervical insufficiency, or of the myometrium to increased preterm uterine contractions (see Figure 3). The activation of each component confers a different risk for impending preterm delivery. For example, rupture of membranes is followed by the onset of labor in most cases, within a short period of time. In contrast, most patients who present with increased uterine contractility at an early gestational age deliver at term. Acute cervical insufficiency (formerly called "cervical incompetence") may lead to a late spontaneous abortion or early preterm delivery within days or weeks after diagnosis [5, 11, 76, 135]. An isolated short cervix in the midtrimester is an example of asynchronous activation of the common pathway of parturition because generally, patients do not have increased uterine contractility or evidence of ruptured membranes.

Methods are available to detect the activation of each of the components of the common pathway. Increased myometrial uterine contractility is often detected by patients and documented using external tocodynamometers [45, 80, 104, 117] or electromyography [44, 61, 78, 100, 101, 108, 152]. Cervical changes could lead to a sensation of vaginal pressure, and have been traditionally detected with digital examination to document effacement and dilatation of the cervix. Cervical sonography is a means to assess cervical changes, because a short cervix is often a sign of effacement in progress. Membrane/decidual activation can be detected subclinically by a positive fetal fibronectin [99], insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 [20, 29, 36], placental α-microglobulin-1 [90, 93], or other analytes. The degradation of extracellular matrix at the maternal-fetal interface is what allows these compounds to be detectable in cervicovaginal fluid. The most extreme form of membrane/decidual activation is ruptured membranes, which is diagnosed by speculum examination. An important concept is that activation of these processes appears clinically as an acute event, but there is now clear evidence that activation of each process has a long subclinical phase. For example, a patient with a short cervix measured at 20 weeks of gestation is at high risk (50%) for delivering a preterm neonate before 33 weeks, and the condition is clinically "silent" for weeks before the onset of preterm labor or the occurrence of PPROM [63].

The long subclinical phase allows the implementation of tests to predict preterm delivery (such as sonographic cervical length) and interventions to prevent it. During the last four decades, it has become clear that once a patient has preterm labor with advanced cervical dilatation or PPROM,
interventions are rarely effective. For example, tocolysis can delay delivery for 2–7 days [31, 38, 39, 74, 147, 169], but has not been shown to reduce the rate of preterm birth or neonatal morbidity. Antibiotics given to women with PPROM can prolong the latency period [83, 84, 115, 116] but do not reduce the rate of preterm birth, nor do they eradicate the intra-amniotic infection or prevent secondary intra-amniotic infection [53, 143]; hence, the need to identify the patient at risk for spontaneous preterm parturition before the clinical episode of preterm labor or ruptured membranes.

The mechanisms of disease implicated in the preterm parturition syndrome have been discussed elsewhere [136]; Figure 4 describes the proposed etiology of the syndrome. It is noteworthy that more than one mechanism of disease may be operative in one patient.

### Cervical length and the risk of preterm delivery

Studies of the cervix with ultrasound began in the 1980s [10, 18, 21, 33, 125, 167, 170, 171, 179]. In 1990, Andersen et al. [7] published a seminal observation in which 113 patients were evaluated with digital examination of the cervix, as well as transabdominal and transvaginal sonographically determined cervical length. Cervical length determined by transvaginal (but not transabdominal) ultrasound was predictive of preterm delivery. Importantly, such prediction occurred after adjusting for parity and obstetric history. There is a curvilinear relationship between cervical length and the likelihood of preterm delivery reported originally by Andersen et al. [7] and, subsequently, by others [63, 67, 75]. These findings have been confirmed by other investigators in low- and high-risk patients [12, 17, 37, 51, 60, 62, 63, 67, 72, 75, 76, 89, 97, 107, 123, 128, 159, 160, 165, 166, 173].

An important study by Iams et al. [75] reported the relationship between cervical length and the risk of preterm delivery in 2915 low-risk asymptomatic women who were examined at approximately 24 weeks of gestation and then at 28 weeks of gestation. The 10th percentile of cervical length was 25 mm, and this was used as a cutoff to calculate the diagnostic indices. Table 1 illustrates the diagnostic indices and positive predictive values in the study.

Subsequently, several studies were reported from the Fetal Medicine Foundation [66–68]. The first study included 2702 patients at low risk for preterm delivery examined at 23 weeks of gestation [68]. Patients with a history of preterm birth, of Afro-Caribbean origin, of young maternal age (<20 years), and low body mass index had a shorter cervix than those without these risk factors. However, when logistic regression analysis was used to examine the contribution of each risk factor to the risk of preterm delivery (<32 weeks of gestation), cervical length accounted for the risk, and the other risk factors did not contribute. These findings suggest that clinical and demographic risk factors for preterm birth may operate via a short cervix. The diagnostic indices and predictive values of sonographic cervical length are described in Table 2. Hassan et al. [63] reported a cohort study of 6877 women in which cervical sonography was performed between 14 and 24 weeks of gestation. The findings of that study also confirmed that a short cervix increased the risk of preterm delivery.
Moreover, the investigators found that the later in the midtrimester the sonographic examinations were performed (closer to 24 weeks), the greater the predictive performance of cervical length for preterm birth.

### Causes of a sonographic short cervix

A sonographic short cervix is a relatively new clinical “sign”, and therefore, there is limited information about its etiology. However, there is a body of literature about conditions associated with a short cervix. This section will review these conditions.

A short cervix is syndromic in nature and can be caused by multiple etiologies [135, 136, 138], such as (Figure 5):

1. **A suspension of progesterone action**: Progesterone is a key hormone for the maintenance of pregnancy, and a decline in progesterone action has been implicated in the control of cervical ripening [103, 162, 177] and preterm labor [111, 112, 145]. The evidence in support of a role for progesterone in cervical ripening includes: (a) administration of a progesterone receptor antagonist (RU486 or mifepristone) to women in the midtrimester and at term ripens the cervix [27, 40, 47, 119, 155, 177]; and (b) administration of progesterone receptor antagonists (RU486 or onapristone) to pregnant guinea pigs [26, 69], Old World monkeys [175], and *Tupaia belangeri* induces cervical ripening [177], and often, labor. Cervical responsiveness to progesterone antagonists increases with advancing gestational age and its effect on the cervix is not always accompanied by changes in myometrial activity [177]. Indeed, Stys et al. [157] demonstrated a functional dissociation between the effects of progesterone in the myometrium and those in the cervix. The effect of vaginal progesterone in the prevention of preterm birth is thought to be related to a pharmacologic correction of the decline in progesterone action, which manifests itself clinically as a sonographic short cervix.

2. **A congenital short cervix**: Cervical hypoplasia after *in utero* exposure to diethylstilbestrol has been reported [34, 49, 52, 98, 102, 105, 127, 151], and so has dysgenesis of the cervix (i.e., fragmented cervix with separations of segments and a thin fibrous core) [24, 35, 87, 96]. These disorders are rare, and, in most cases, of unknown etiology.

3. **Cervical surgery**: The loss of connective tissue after a cervical operation such as a conization [15, 22, 88, 118, 126] or loop electro surgical excision procedure [15, 22].

4. **Intra-amniotic infection/inflammation**: Hassan et al. [64] showed that 9% (5/57) of asymptomatic women in the midtrimester with a cervical length <25 mm without cervical dilation had microbiologically proven intra-amniotic infection. The microorganisms isolated included *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and *Fusobacterium* spp. Of interest is that in this study, patients with positive cultures for *Ureaplasma* were treated with intravenous azithromycin for 7 days and underwent a test-of-cure amniocentesis. Three of the four patients had a negative amniocentesis, thus demonstrating eradication of an intra-amniotic infection, and subsequently delivered at term.
Table 2  Diagnostic indices of sonographic cervical length in low-risk, asymptomatic pregnant women according to different cervical length cutoffs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Gestation (weeks)</th>
<th>Cutoff (mm)</th>
<th>Definition of PTD (weeks)</th>
<th>Prevalence of PTD (%)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. [7]</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&lt; 39</td>
<td>&lt; 37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongson et al. [166]</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>28–30</td>
<td>≤ 35</td>
<td>&lt; 37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iams et al. [75]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>&lt; 35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath et al. [68]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2702</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
<td>≤ 32</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassan et al. [63]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6877</td>
<td>14–24</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
<td>≤ 32</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPV = negative predictive value, PPV = positive predictive value, PTD = preterm delivery. Source: Reproduced with permission from Ref. [46].

Intra-amniotic inflammation (defined as an elevation in amniotic fluid proinflammatory cytokines or chemokines) has also been observed in women with a sonographic short cervix in the midtrimester. Kiefer et al. [85] reported an association between a cervical length ≤ 5 mm in the midtrimester and increased amniotic fluid concentrations of the chemokine monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) and the cytokine interleukin-6. These findings were subsequently confirmed in a study of 44 patients in the midtrimester with a cervical length ≤ 25 mm, in which amniotic fluid MCP-1 concentration was predictive for spontaneous preterm delivery [81]. Vaisbuch et al. [168] demonstrated intra-amniotic inflammation (defined as an amniotic fluid matrix metalloproteinase-8 concentration > 23 ng/mL) in 22% of asymptomatic patients (10/45) with a cervical length ≤ 15 mm in the midtrimester of pregnancy, an occurrence that was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Women with intra-amniotic inflammation had a shorter median diagnosis-to-delivery interval than those without this condition. Furthermore, 40% of patients with intra-amniotic inflammation delivered within 1 week of the amniocentesis [168].

The frequency of intra-amniotic infection/inflammation in patients with the clinical diagnosis of cervical insufficiency (which can be considered part of the spectrum of a disorder that shortens the cervix) is nearly 50% [92, 109, 139].

5. Cervical insufficiency: This term has replaced “cervical incompetence”, which has been defined as the inability of the uterine cervix to retain a pregnancy in the absence of contractions or labor [1]. This diagnosis is traditionally applied to patients with a history of recurrent midtrimester abortions and/or early preterm deliveries in which the basic process is thought to be “the failure of the cervix to remain closed during pregnancy” [56]. The presenting symptom is often vaginal pressure, and patients have painless cervical dilatation. There is no objective diagnostic test to identify the patient at risk for this condition, either before pregnancy or during early pregnancy; therefore, this is a clinical diagnosis [135].

6. History of a previous preterm birth: several authors have documented a relationship between a previous obstetrical history of preterm birth and cervical length [59, 76]. Iams et al. [76] reported a study of cervical length in patients with: (1) a history of “cervical incompetence”; (2) a previous preterm delivery at < 26 weeks; (3) a previous preterm delivery at 27 to 32 weeks; (4) a previous preterm delivery at 33 to 35 weeks; and (5) a control group of women with previous term delivery. A strong relationship was observed between cervical length in the index pregnancy and previous obstetrical history [76]. Similar results were reported by Guzman et al. [59], who described a strong relationship between previous obstetrical history and cervical length in the subsequent pregnancy. Specifically, the authors observed that the frequency of a short cervix (cervical length < 20 mm) or progressive shortening of the cervix to a length < 20 mm was associated with the gestational age at delivery in the previous pregnancy [59]. Collectively, these studies suggest a relationship between a history of preterm delivery and the cervical length in a subsequent pregnancy.

7. Other risk factors for a short cervix: maternal age (< 20 years; > 35 years), a low body mass index (< 19.8 kg/m²), and ethnicity (African American or Afro-Caribbean) are associated with a shorter cervical length [68]. One interpretation of these observations is that the combination of genetic and environmental factors may play a role in determining cervical length. Polymorphisms in the genes encoding for collagen I (COL1A1) and transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) have been associated with cervical insufficiency [8, 172].

In conclusion, a short cervix may be the result of multiple pathologic processes. The establishment of causality is a challenge, and a sonographic short cervix may evolve into the condition clinically referred to as “cervical insufficiency” or place the patient at risk for early spontaneous preterm birth.

**Technique for sonographic examination of the uterine cervix**

The uterine cervix can be imaged using a transabdominal, transvaginal, or transperineal approach.
Transabdominal examination

This method was the first used to examine the uterine cervix in nonpregnant and pregnant patients. However, this approach is not recommended for the following reasons:

1. Transabdominal imaging of the cervix requires that patients have a distended bladder. Andersen [6] reported that the cervix could only be visualized in 45% of pregnant women with an empty bladder. To et al. [164] demonstrated that successful visualization of the cervix is a function of urine volume within the bladder. The frequency of visualization was 42% with bladder volumes of <15 mL and 73% for volumes >150 mL. Moreover, when the cervical length was <20 mm (a cervical length associated with increased risk of preterm birth), visualization with transabdominal ultrasound occurred in only 13% of cases.

2. A distended bladder can compress and artificially lengthen the uterine cervix (Figure 6) [18, 106, 125, 164]. This seems to occur when the cervix is compliant, and therefore, may lead to the underdiagnosis of a short cervix (Figure 7).

3. The management of a waiting room with patients having a full bladder is a challenge for physicians and nurses.

4. The image quality of the uterine cervix is lower with transabdominal ultrasound than with transvaginal ultrasound because there is greater distance between the probe and the cervix (Figure 8). Occasionally, fetal parts can be close to the cervix and impair visualization of this organ.

5. A study comparing transabdominal with transvaginal ultrasound determined that 45% of patients with a cervical length of <25 mm would be missed if scanned transabdominally [70]. Consequently, the approach of scanning patients with a transabdominal ultrasound and performing transvaginal sonography only in those who have a cervical length of <30 mm would result in a high rate of false-negative diagnoses. In other words, many patients with a true short cervix would be missed if scanned only by transabdominal sonography.

Transperineal examination

The transperineal approach was developed before the availability of transvaginal probes [79] and can be used when a transvaginal probe is not available. The technique requires the placement of a transducer covered by a sheath in the sagittal plane between the labia majora. A distended bladder is not required. The main challenge is that the presence of bowel gas can impair visualization of the cervical external os [71]; therefore, it is best not to use the transperineal approach if a pelvic examination has been performed. Orientation, anatomy definition, and quality of the image are better with transvaginal ultrasonography.

Transvaginal examination

This has become the “gold standard” for the performance of sonographic cervical examinations during pregnancy (Figure 9). Patients do not need to have a distended bladder, and the definition of cervical anatomy is optimal with visualization of the cervix in all cases. Studies of acceptability indicate that >90% of women report experiencing no or only mild discomfort or embarrassment [19, 68]. Indeed, transvaginal examination is preferred to digital examination by most patients. Box 1 describes the method of transvaginal sonographic examination of the uterine cervix.

Figure 6 Transabdominal sonogram performed in a patient with a full bladder. The bladder is causing compression and artificial lengthening of the uterine cervix.

Figure 7 Same patient as in Figure 6 but with the bladder emptied and transvaginal sonography performed. Note that the true cervical length is short (15.5 mm).

Figure 8 Transabdominal ultrasound when the fetus is in a vertex presentation. Note that the image quality of the uterine cervix is poor, which is due to the greater distance between the probe and the cervix, and shadowing from the fetal head.
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Box 1

The first randomized clinical trial to examine the effects of vaginal progesterone on the prevention of preterm birth in women with a short cervix was reported by Fonseca et al. [43] on behalf of the Fetal Medicine Foundation Second
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The duration of the examination should be between 3 and 5 min.

The cervical length is measured by freezing the screen three separate times. For clinical purposes, the shortest cervical length is reported, provided the image is adequate. The examination is recorded as a video clip as well as static images.

The duration of the examination should be between 3 and 5 min.

The presence of funneling needs to be recorded. A funnel is defined as dilatation of the upper portion of the cervical canal. The width of the funnel must be at least 5 mm. The funnel can only be recognized by confirming that the walls are formed by endocervical mucosa; otherwise, the covering wall of the lower uterine segment can be erroneously considered to be part of a funnel.

The presence of “dynamic changes” needs to be noted. This feature is defined as a prolapse of the membranes through the endocervical canal. The most likely explanation for a dynamic change is a uterine contraction (symptomatic or asymptomatic) in the presence of an excessively compliant cervix.

If the cervix is curved, the “trace” function can be used to measure the cervix (if not available, cervical length can be determined by adding the sum of two straight sections).

Observe for the presence of particulate material in the amniotic cavity (“sludge”) in proximity to the cervix and whether such material is free-floating or attached to the cervix. Occasionally, it is possible to gently tap on the anterior uterine wall to determine the effect of pressure on the particulate material.

Figure 9 Transvaginal ultrasound of the uterine cervix (cervical length 39.8 mm). This is the “gold standard” for the performance of cervical examinations during pregnancy. Note that the visualization of cervical anatomy and measurement of the cervical length is optimal.

Box 1 Method of sonographic transvaginal examination.

1. Patients should be asked to empty the bladder immediately before the examination.
2. Examinations are conducted with the patient in the dorsal lithotomy position. The examining table should allow the legs to be abducted so that the transducer can be moved during the examination.
3. A disposable sheath should be used to cover the probe. Condoms are preferred to commercially available probe covers. Gel is placed between the transducer and cover, and sterile gel is also used to lubricate the surface of the sheath.
4. The ultrasound transducer should be of high resolution: 5 MHz or higher.
5. The operator introduces the endovaginal transducer into the anterior vaginal fornix to ensure a sagittal view of the cervix is obtained. The probe may need to be moved laterally or in other directions in order to optimally image the cervix.
6. The image to measure endocervical length should include the internal os, external os, and the cervical canal.
7. The endocervical length measurement should not include the lower uterine segment. A useful anatomical landmark is the endocervical glands. The lower uterine segment does not display the hypoechogenic image of the cervical glands. Including the lower uterine segment would result in a falsely longer measurement than the actual endocervical length. The anterior and posterior lips of the cervix should have the same dimensions; unequal dimensions suggest that there is excessive pressure being applied during transvaginal sonography.
8. The image used to measure endocervical length should be magnified so that the cervix will occupy at least 75% of the image.
9. Excessive pressure with the probe may elongate the cervix. To avoid this pitfall, the probe is slowly withdrawn until the image blurs, and is subsequently reapplied with an amount of pressure sufficient to restore the image. This can be avoided by confirming equal cervical widths and density of the anterior and posterior lips of the endocervical canal.
10. The cervical length is measured by freezing the screen three separate times. For clinical purposes, the shortest cervical length is reported, provided the image is adequate. The examination is recorded as a video clip as well as static images.
11. The duration of the examination should be between 3 and 5 min.
12. The presence of funneling needs to be recorded. A funnel is defined as dilatation of the upper portion of the cervical canal. The width of the funnel must be at least 5 mm. The funnel can only be recognized by confirming that the walls are formed by endocervical mucosa; otherwise, the covering wall of the lower uterine segment can be erroneously considered to be part of a funnel.
13. The presence of “dynamic changes” needs to be noted. This feature is defined as a prolapse of the membranes through the endocervical canal. The most likely explanation for a dynamic change is a uterine contraction (symptomatic or asymptomatic) in the presence of an excessively compliant cervix.
14. If the cervix is curved, the “trace” function can be used to measure the cervix (if not available, cervical length can be determined by adding the sum of two straight sections).
15. Observe for the presence of particulate material in the amniotic cavity (“sludge”) in proximity to the cervix and whether such material is free-floating or attached to the cervix. Occasionally, it is possible to gently tap on the anterior uterine wall to determine the effect of pressure on the particulate material.
of gestation. Patients were randomly allocated to receive a vaginal progesterone gel (90 mg) vs. placebo daily, starting between 20 and 23+6/7 weeks of gestation until 36+6/7 weeks of gestation, rupture of membranes, or delivery, whichever occurred first. The primary endpoint was preterm birth before 33 weeks of gestation.

Of the 465 women randomized, seven were lost to follow-up and 458 were available for analysis. Patients allocated to receive vaginal progesterone had a significantly lower rate of preterm birth before 33 weeks of gestation than those allocated to placebo (8.9% vs. 16.1%; relative risk (RR) 0.55, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.33–0.92, P = 0.02; when adjusted for pooled study site and a history of previous preterm birth, RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.33–0.89, P = 0.01). It was estimated that 14 women with a cervical length between 10 and 20 mm would need to be treated with vaginal progesterone to prevent one case of preterm birth before 33 weeks of gestation. In addition, there was a significant decrease in the rate of preterm delivery <35 and <28 weeks of gestation (see Figure 10).

Neonates born to mothers allocated to receive vaginal progesterone gel had a significantly lower frequency of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) than those allocated to placebo (3% vs. 7.6%, RR 0.39, 95% CI 0.17–0.89, P = 0.03). The number of patients needed to treat to prevent one case of RDS was 22. The reduction in RDS remained significant after adjusting for pooled study site and a history of preterm birth (RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.17–0.94, P = 0.03). The frequency of adverse events was similar in patients allocated to progesterone and placebo, and there was no evidence of a potential safety signal.

An individual patient meta-analysis is a specific type of systematic review in which the original research data from each participant in a study are obtained directly from investigators in a trial [73]. This approach is considered the “gold standard” for summarizing evidence across clinical trials because it offers several advantages, both statistically and clinically, over conventional meta-analyses that use aggregated data [156]. These advantages include standardizing and updating the data sets, verification of data quality and the appropriateness of prior analyses, improvement of consistency across trials (e.g., definition of outcomes), the ability to perform subgroup analyses that could identify groups of patients who may benefit from the intervention, and testing for interaction between patient-level covariates and treatment effects [129, 158, 161].

As there were additional studies to the two outlined above, an individual patient meta-analysis was conducted [142]. The primary objective was to determine whether the use of vaginal progesterone in asymptomatic women with a short cervix (≤ 25 mm) in the midtrimester reduces the rate of preterm birth and improves neonatal morbidity and mortality. The prespecified primary outcome was preterm birth at <33 weeks of gestation. Secondary outcomes included preterm birth at <37, <36, <35, <34, <30, and <28 weeks of gestation. Perinatal morbidity/mortality was assessed using a composite outcome (defined as the occurrence of any of the following events: RDS, intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, proven neonatal sepsis, or neonatal death); Apgar score at 5 min < 7; birth weight < 1500 and < 2500 g; admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU); use of mechanical ventilation; or congenital anomaly.

Five studies of high quality were included, with a total of 775 women and 827 infants [25, 43, 65, 121, 130]. Treatment with vaginal progesterone was associated with a significant reduction in the rate of preterm birth <33 weeks (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.42–0.80), <35 weeks (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.55–0.88), and <28 weeks (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.30–0.81) (Figure 11); RDS (RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.30–0.76); composite neonatal morbidity and mortality (RR 0.57, 95% CI 0.40–0.81); birth weight < 1500 g (RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.38–0.80); admission to NICU (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.59–0.94); and requirement for mechanical ventilation (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.44–0.98) (Figure 12) [142].

The subgroup analyses on the effect of vaginal progesterone on preventing preterm birth <33 weeks of gestation and composite neonatal morbidity/mortality yielded the following results that have clinical implications:

1. The daily dose of 90–100 mg of progesterone was equivalent to a 200-mg dose per day in both reduction of preterm birth and composite neonatal morbidity and mortality.

2. Vaginal progesterone was equally effective in women with a short cervix without a history of a previous preterm birth and those with a history of prior preterm birth in reducing preterm birth < 33 weeks of gestation and composite neonatal morbidity/mortality.

3. No differences could be demonstrated in the effect of progesterone as a function of cervical length in women with a short cervix (< 25 mm) for the prevention of preterm birth or reduction of neonatal morbidity/mortality (determined by a test of interaction).

Collectively, the evidence suggests that vaginal progesterone prevents preterm delivery at <33 weeks of gestation

Figure 10 In women with a short cervix, those receiving vaginal progesterone (vs. placebo) had a significant decrease in the rate of preterm delivery <28, <33, and <35 weeks of gestation. Source: Ref. [65].
in women with a short cervix, and that this is associated with a reduction in neonatal morbidity. Moreover, this is observed in women either without or with a history of preterm birth.

Importantly, evidence has emerged that this approach of identifying women at risk for preterm birth with universal risk assessment, and progesterone administration to those with a short cervix is cost-effective [174]. The most recent estimate indicates that $19 million per 100,000 patients screened can be saved when the cost of an ultrasound examination to determine cervical length is < $184. This would represent a net savings of $500–750 million per year in the USA alone. Based on these considerations, the state of Michigan has implemented universal cervical screening and progesterone treatment. In addition, some insurance companies provide reimbursement for cervical ultrasound and vaginal progesterone.

Does vaginal progesterone prevent preterm delivery in twin gestations? Randomized clinical trials of vaginal progesterone have studied twin gestations without considering cervical length; the results of all trials have been negative thus far [120, 130, 132, 153, 176]. However, a few studies included cervical length measurements, but this was not a criterion for eligibility in the trials. The individual patient meta-analysis described above [142] conducted a subgroup analysis in twin gestations with a cervical length of ≤ 25 mm. We have previously reported that such cervical length confers a high risk for preterm delivery [30]. In the individual patient data meta-analysis, we found that vaginal progesterone administration was associated with a nonsignificant trend toward reduction in the rate of preterm birth < 33 weeks of gestation (30.4% vs. 44.8%; RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.34–1.44). However, vaginal progesterone led to a significant reduction in composite neonatal morbidity and mortality (23.9% vs. 39.7%; RR 0.52, 95% CI 0.29–0.93). The number of twin gestations in this analysis was small (29 in the placebo group and 23 in the vaginal progesterone group). When neonatal morbidity was considered, the number of neonates was 58 in the placebo group and 46 in the vaginal progesterone group. Therefore, the difference between the trend toward a reduction in preterm delivery and the decrease in neonatal morbidity/mortality could reflect the number of subjects in the analysis. We believe that a randomized clinical trial comparing vaginal progesterone vs. placebo in women with a short cervix is urgently needed.

17α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate does not prevent preterm birth in patients with a short cervix

A recent randomized controlled trial including nulliparous women with a singleton gestation between 16 and 22 + 3/7 weeks of gestation with a cervical length of < 30 mm (10th percentile for this gestational age) were randomized to 17α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17OHP-C; 250 mg intramuscular injections weekly through 36 weeks) or an identical appearing placebo [58]. The primary outcome was preterm birth before 37 weeks. Of the 15,436 women screened, 1588 (10.3%) had a cervical length of < 30 mm. The study was stopped after 657 women had been randomized (n = 327 17OHP-C and n = 330 placebo) by the Data Safety Monitoring Board after a planned interim analysis revealed that further enrollment was unlikely to demonstrate a significant difference between the study groups. There was no difference in the frequency of preterm birth between the 17OHP-C group and the placebo group (25.1% vs. 24.2%, P = 0.80). In addition, there was no difference in the rate of preterm delivery of < 35 weeks (13.5% vs. 16.1%, P = 0.35) or at < 32 weeks (8.6% vs. 9.7%, P = 0.61). Subgroup analysis did not demonstrate any benefit from 17OHP-C in women with a cervical length of < 15 mm or at 10–20 mm [58]. Based on the observations of this study, weekly intramuscular injections of 17OHP-C cannot be recommended for nulliparous women with a short cervix of < 30 mm.

Figure 11 Patients with a short cervix allocated to receive vaginal progesterone (vs. placebo) had a significantly lower risk in the rate of preterm birth < 28, < 33, and < 35 weeks of gestation. Source: Ref. [142].

Figure 12 Infants whose mothers (with a short cervix) received vaginal progesterone (vs. placebo) had a significantly lower risk of RDS, composite neonatal morbidity and mortality, birth weight < 1500 g, admission to NICU, and requirement for mechanical ventilation. Source: Ref. [142].
The use of 17OHP-C to prevent preterm delivery in patients with a history of preterm birth

“Progestogen” is a term that includes natural and synthetic compounds with progestosterone-like action [131]. Such agents are now the mainstay for the prevention of preterm birth. Progesterone is a natural sex steroid produced by the corpus luteum and, subsequently, the placenta during pregnancy. 17-α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17OHP-C) is a synthetic progestogen. The human body does not make the caproate molecule; therefore, this molecule is added to 17-α-hydroxyprogesterone in the laboratory. The primary reason to add the caproate molecule is to prolong the half-life of the compound. Yet, this modification changes the structure of the molecule and could result in modifications of the physiologic or pharmacologic properties of the drug.

A clinical trial of 17OHP-C reported a decrease in the rate of preterm birth in patients with a prior preterm delivery [114]. The findings of such study have been questioned because of issues of efficacy and safety. For example, Keirse [82] has questioned the results based on the unexpectedly high frequency of preterm birth in the placebo group (54.9%; 84/153). He suggested that 17OHP-C may not have been effective because the rate of preterm birth in the active drug group was 36.3% (111/306) [114], akin to the baseline rate of preterm birth for a similar population [77], or the placebo group in another trial by the same investigators. Indeed, the power calculation of the 17OHP-C trial was based on the observed rates of prematurity in the “Prediction of Prematurity” study. The power calculation estimated that 37% of the women in the placebo group would deliver before 37 weeks [77, 82, 114]. Similarly, officials of the FDA analyzing this trial indicated that the rate of preterm birth in the active arm of the study (36.3%) was very similar to that in the placebo group of a similar study (http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/06/slides/2006-4227S1-index.htm; see below).

It is not well known that there was an initial study by the Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network called “17P-IF-001” – a randomized placebo-controlled study with a target enrollment of 500 subjects. The study was designed to test the effectiveness and safety of 17OHP-C in preventing preterm delivery at <37 weeks. After 150 subjects had been enrolled and treated, the study was prematurely terminated because of a recall of the study drug due to quality control issues. The rate of preterm delivery in patients allocated to placebo in that trial was 38.5% (15/39), and 43.1% (28/65) in the group allocated to 17 OHP-C – a nonsignificant result. However, the 38.5% is substantially lower than the 54.9% in the trial reporting positive results [114].

The high rate of preterm delivery in the control group has been a subject of debate, and the investigators who conducted the trial have argued that the population participating in the trial was at very high risk for preterm delivery based on obstetric history, ethnic composition, and willingness to be randomized to a painful injection on a weekly basis. It has been suggested that the latter would apply largely to highly motivated patients at substantial risk for preterm delivery. However, if this is the actual explanation for the high rate of preterm delivery in the control group, this argument will erode the external validity of the trial. Randomized clinical trials are performed so that treatment can be offered to patients who did not participate in the trial and are similar to those enrolled in the trial. For example, if the explanation is that 17OHP-C only works in African-American women with bacterial vaginosis and more than one preterm birth (which were allegedly overrepresented in the control group) who are highly motivated to receive a weekly intramuscular injection, then it is legitimate to ask whether this drug should be given to women who have a previous preterm birth but do not fit the other poor prognostic factors invoked to explain the high rate of preterm birth in the control group.

The other question with 17OHP-C is one of safety. The trial of Meis et al. reported that there was an excess of stillbirth and miscarriages in women allocated to receive 17OHP-C. However, this was not statistically significant and was not subject of commentary in the paper, in the editorial that followed, or in the subsequent articles and opinions of professional organizations. The matter was first raised by the medical officer of the FDA when reviewing the results of the trial at the Advisory Committee meeting of August 29, 2006. The FDA produced a slide indicating that women exposed to 17OHP-C in the midtrimester had a higher rate of fetal and neonatal death in the first 66 days of treatment than those allocated to placebo. This observation is what is called “a safety signal” in pharmacovigilance. The approval of the FDA of the commercial preparation of 17OHP-C includes a warning that the administration of this agent may increase the frequency of gestational diabetes and other complications, and requires physicians to inform potential patients of the numerically nonsignificant increase in the rate of stillbirth and spontaneous abortions (for details, see package insert: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/021945s000lbl.pdf). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine recommended that patients be counseled and sign an informed consent when receiving 17OHP-C (Letter to Members, Friday, April 29, 2011).

It is noteworthy that the FDA has approved the administration of 17OHP-C to prevent preterm birth in women with a prior history under Subpart H of the Code of Federal Regulations – this is a regulatory pathway used when the decision is made on the basis of a surrogate endpoint (delivery <37 weeks of gestation), and further studies are required. Another randomized clinical trial of 17OHP-C is in progress in the USA, in which women with a prior history of preterm delivery are being allocated to placebo or 17OHP-C. The primary endpoint for this trial is delivery <35 weeks of gestation, and the originally predicted date for conclusion has been moved from October 2013 to 2016. If the regulatory agency and professional organizations are really convinced of the effectiveness of 17OHP-C, one may ask whether it is ethical to randomize women with a prior preterm birth to a placebo. The issue becomes more complex in light of recent reports that 17OHP-C may increase perinatal mortality when administered
in the context of a randomized clinical trial in triplet gestations [28]. There was also a randomized clinical trial conducted in France in asymptomatic twin gestations and a short cervix in which the rate of early preterm delivery was significantly greater in patients allocated to 17OHP-C (Senat MV et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol Dec 2012; in press). If the randomized clinical trial in progress in the USA is concluded and yields negative results, the FDA has the authority to change the approval status of this agent.

The practical question is the clinical management of a patient who has begun taking weekly injections of 17OHP-C because of a prior history of preterm delivery, and then is found to have a short cervix in the midtrimester. Should that patient continue to take 17OHP-C or should that agent be stopped and the patient switched to vaginal progesterone or a cerclage? 17OHP-C has not been shown to be effective in women with a short cervix; therefore, it would not be logical to continue to administer this agent. There is also no evidence that 17OHP-C should be combined with vaginal progesterone. Indeed, it is prudent to use the lowest dose of any hormone or drug during pregnancy. The combined administration of 17OHP-C and progesterone has not been studied and therefore, cannot be recommended. In light of the safety concerns of 17OHP-C, we believe that the best course of action is to discontinue 17OHP-C and initiate treatment with vaginal progesterone.

Other interventions to prevent preterm delivery in women with a short cervix: cervical cerclage and a cervical pessary

Cervical cerclage was introduced in 1955 by VN Shirodkar [154], professor of midwifery and gynecology at the Grant Medical College in Bombay, India. The procedure was developed in response to his observation that “some women abort repeatedly between the fourth and seventh months, and no amount of rest and treatment with hormones seemed to help them in retaining the product of conception” [154].

Ian McDonald from the Royal Melbourne Hospital, reported in 1957 his experience with 70 patients who had a suture of the cervix for inevitable miscarriage [113].

Cerclage has also been used to treat patients who present with the clinical condition of “acute cervical incompetence”. The term “cervical incompetence” is now discouraged in favor of the term “cervical insufficiency”. Evidence from a randomized clinical trial in which patients who presented with a dilated cervix were randomized to emergency cerclage combined with indomethacin administration vs. expectant management suggests that these patients may benefit from an emergency cerclage [4]. In this trial, 23 women presented with a dilated cervix and membranes at or beyond a dilated external cervical os (before 27 weeks of gestation) and were treated with antibiotics and bed rest, and randomly assigned to emergency cerclage and indomethacin (n=13) or bed rest only (n=10). Preterm delivery <34 weeks of gestation was significantly less common in patients treated with an emergency cerclage and indomethacin than in patients allocated to bed rest alone [54% (7/13) vs. 100% (10/10), P=0.02]. This is the only randomized clinical trial to test the effectiveness of cervical cerclage in patients presenting with acute cervical insufficiency.

Despite the 50 years that have elapsed since the introduction of cerclage as a procedure, there is conflicting evidence about its efficacy for standard indications (i.e., prophylactic) or for some patients with a sonographic short cervix. Several randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses have been conducted to date, which have yielded the following clinical information:

1. Patients with a sonographic short cervix (defined as ≤15 mm in the midtrimester) at low risk of preterm delivery by history do not benefit from a cervical cerclage to reduce the rate of preterm delivery [163]. After screening a large number of patients, those with a cervical length ≤15 mm were randomized to either expectant management (n=126) or cerclage (n=127). The rate of preterm delivery at <33 weeks of gestation was not significantly different between the groups [expectant management group, 26% (33/126), vs. cerclage group, 22% (28/127)].

2. There is little evidence that a prophylactic cerclage in patients at high risk for preterm delivery without a sonographic short cervix can prevent preterm birth [2, 3]. The largest trial conducted before the introduction of ultrasound (organized by the Medical Research Council of the UK) [42] included patients with a history of one or more second-trimester abortions or preterm deliveries (71% of patients) and a history of a cervical operation. The criterion for enrollment in the trial was uncertainty on the part of the obstetrician as to whether to recommend a cervical cerclage. The rate of delivery <33 weeks was significantly lower in the cervical cerclage group than in the control group [cerclage, 13% vs. control group, 17%; odds ratio (OR) 0.72, 95% CI 0.53–0.97, P=0.03]. Fever attributed to intrauterine infection was more common in patients allocated to the cerclage group (6% vs. 3%; OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.08–4.16, P=0.03). The authors called for additional research about methods to identify patients who may benefit from a cerclage.

3. In contrast, there is evidence that patients with a sonographic short cervix (<25 mm) and a history of preterm birth may benefit from the placement of a cervical cerclage [16]. This evidence is derived from a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials of women with a short cervix determined by transvaginal sonography (performed before 24 weeks of gestation). Patients from five trials, which compared cerclage with expectant management, contributed to this meta-analysis [2, 14, 124, 149, 163]. The primary outcome was preterm birth <35 weeks of gestation. Patients allocated to cerclage had a lower rate of preterm birth before 35 weeks of gestation than the no cerclage group [28.4% (71/250) vs. 41.3% (105/254); RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.55–0.89]. Cerclage also reduced preterm birth before 37, 32, 28, and 24 weeks of gestation. Composite perinatal morbidity and mortality were significantly reduced (15.6% in cerclage compared to 24.8% in no cerclage groups; RR 0.64, 95% CI 0.45–0.91).
In summary, a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials of patients with a history of preterm birth and a short cervical length (<25 mm) suggests that cervical cerclage is effective in reducing the rate of preterm birth and perinatal morbidity/mortality [16]. A different meta-analysis has suggested that women with a prior spontaneous preterm birth and singleton gestation may be monitored safely with transvaginal sono-graphic cervical length measurements [13]. This policy compares favorably with a universal policy of placing a cervical cerclage in all patients with a prior history.

**Patients with a history of preterm birth and a short cervix: cervical cerclage vs. vaginal progesterone**

Patients with a history of preterm birth and a cervical length of <25 mm can be treated with either a cervical cerclage or vaginal progesterone. The results of an indirect patient meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing vaginal progesterone vs. placebo and cerclage vs. expectant management in this population of patients concluded that the efficacy of both interventions is similar (Table 3) [32]. Therefore, considerations of cost and patient/physician preference need to be taken into account. For example, the placement of a cerclage requires anesthesia and a surgical procedure, and has been associated with some complications (e.g., rupture of membranes, bleeding). Vaginal progesterone administration requires compliance with the treatment.

**Cervical pessary**

A cervical pessary has been used by some practitioners in Europe to prevent preterm birth [9]. However, most of the studies have been retrospective. Recently, a prospectively open-label randomized clinical trial was reported in which pregnant women with a cervical length of ≤25 mm, between 18 and 22 weeks of gestation, were randomly assigned to either a cervical pessary (n = 192) or expectant management (n = 193) [55]. The primary outcome was spontaneous delivery before 34 weeks of gestation. The rate of spontaneous preterm delivery before 34 weeks of gestation was less frequent in the pessary group than in the expectant management group (6% vs. 27%; OR 0.18, 95% CI 0.08–0.37, P < 0.0001). This was associated with a reduction in RDS (3% vs. 12%; OR 0.20, 95% CI 0.06–0.55, P < 0.0003) and a reduction in neonates born with a birth weight <1500 g (5% vs. 14%; OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.13–0.72, P = 0.0040) [55]. These interesting results are noteworthy, and replication of these findings is desirable.

**Table 3** Results of an indirect patient meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing vaginal progesterone vs. placebo and cerclage vs. expectant management in this population of patients concluded that the efficacy of both interventions is similar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Indirect comparison: vaginal progesterone vs. cerclage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RR (95% CI) P-value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth ≤32 weeks</td>
<td>0.70 (0.33–1.50) 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth ≤28 weeks</td>
<td>0.71 (0.27–1.88) 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth ≤35 weeks</td>
<td>0.88 (0.51–1.32) 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth ≤37 weeks</td>
<td>1.19 (0.82–1.74) 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal mortality</td>
<td>1.05 (0.30–3.64) 0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>For the test of association.

Source: Ref. [32].

**The story of a short cervix and vaginal progesterone as a blueprint for further progress to reduce preterm delivery**

The concept that preterm labor is not simply “labor before its time”, but rather the result of multiple pathologic processes that activate the common pathway of parturition has clinical and biologic implications. It is now clear that the symptoms and signs of preterm labor (i.e., uterine contractions, cervical dilatation, and/or membrane rupture) are the manifestations of an underlying process, and that symptomatic treatment has not been successful. The syndromic nature of premature labor requires identification of the mechanisms of disease, biomarkers that are specific to each pathologic process, and targeted interventions. It is noteworthy that a history of preterm birth identifies patients at risk, but does not represent a mechanism of disease.

Cervical ultrasound in the midtrimester to identify women with a short cervix, and treatment with vaginal progesterone represents the first step in which a logical framework has been employed to reduce the rate of preterm birth. This approach is anchored in the knowledge of the role of progesterone in the control of cervical ripening, and rigorous testing with randomized clinical trials. However, this approach is only one of the solutions to the prevention of preterm birth.

It is important to remember that the cervix was first imaged using ultrasound more than 30 years ago, and that it took decades to establish a convincing relationship between cervical length and the probability of spontaneous preterm delivery. The demonstration that progesterone is effective required a decade of rigorous clinical testing. The lessons that can be derived are the following: (1) The prevention of preterm birth is possible. (2) Biophysical and biochemical markers of subclinical pathologic processes need to be identified for each mechanism of disease responsible for spontaneous preterm birth. The biomarkers for one mechanism of disease (such as infection) are expected to be different from those that identify patients with maternal antifetal rejection [86, 91, 94, 95, 122, 178], etc. (3) Interventions can only be expected to be successful if they interrupt the specific pathway leading to preterm delivery. Just as progesterone is effective in patients with a short cervix, other interventions such as antimicrobial agents may only work in patients at risk for infection-induced preterm birth [41, 48, 54, 110, 137, 140, 144, 146]. (4) Clinical trials of preventive strategies need to be designed intelligently. Progress will be achieved by testing interventions that are tailored to the specific pathophysiologic process.
and administered at the right time to the subset of patients that are most likely to benefit. Testing interventions in patients who are unlikely to deliver preterm is not the way forward. We believe that accepting the complexity of the problem of preterm birth, correctly framing the scientific questions about mechanisms of disease, and setting realistic expectations are necessary to make progress. We are confident that technological and biological developments in the 21st century will help us achieve the goal of reducing the rate of preterm birth. In summary, the lessons learned from the identification of vaginal progesterone as an effective intervention to reduce the frequency of preterm birth can be used as a blueprint to meet the challenge posed by the complexity of this important set of syndromes.
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