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Strain at the internal cervical os assessed with quasi-static elastography is associated with the risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks of gestation

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the association between cervical strain assessed with quasi-static elastography and spontaneous preterm delivery.

Methods: Quasi-static elastography was used to estimate cervical strain in 545 pregnant women with singleton pregnancies from 11 weeks to 28 weeks of gestation. Cervical strain was evaluated in one sagittal plane and in the cross-sectional planes of the internal cervical os and external cervical os. The distribution of strain values was categorized into quartiles for each studied region and their association with spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks and at <37 weeks of gestation was evaluated using logistic regression.

Results: The prevalence of spontaneous preterm delivery at <37 weeks of gestation was 8.2% (n=45), and that at ≤34 weeks of gestation was 3.8% (n=21). Strain in the internal cervical os was the only elastography value associated with spontaneous preterm delivery. Women with strain values in the 3rd and 4th quartiles had a significantly higher risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks and at <37 weeks of gestation when compared to women with strain values in the lowest quartile. When adjusting for a short cervix (<25 mm) and gestational age at examination, women with strain values in the 3rd quartile maintained a significant association with spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks (OR 9.0; 95% CI, 1.1–74.0; P=0.02), whereas women with strain values in the highest quartile were marginally more likely than women with lowest quartile strain values to deliver spontaneously at <37 weeks of gestation (OR 95% CI: 2.8; [0.9–9.0]; P=0.08).

Conclusion: Increased strain in the internal cervical os is associated with higher risk of spontaneous preterm delivery both at ≤34 and <37 weeks of gestation.
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Introduction

Throughout gestation, the cervix undergoes dynamic changes in tissue composition characterized by a dynamic remodeling of the collagen network and an increased concentration of glycosaminoglycans and water content in the extracellular matrix [1–11]. These changes provide the basis for the process of ripening before the onset of labor and may reflect the elastic properties of the cervix [6, 12–23].
Different methods have been applied to evaluate the elastic properties of the cervix such as: aspiration [24–26], cervical consistency index [27, 28], and elastography [29, 30]. Elastography was first proposed by Ophir et al. [31] as an ultrasound technique able to estimate tissue displacement or deformation when an oscillatory compression is applied. Tissue displacement or strain can be tracked using Doppler techniques or cross-correlation analysis and converted to an elastic modulus as an indirect estimation of tissue stiffness [32–40]. Modalities of ultrasound elastography can be classified as: (i) quasi-static, whereby an external compression is applied to create tissue displacement and (ii) continuous, in which an acoustic impulse is produced by the ultrasound system, and the propagation of the shear-wave in the tissues is tracked by ultrafast ultrasound [41].

Elastography was first applied to the cervix to differentiate between normal and tumoral cervical tissue [42, 43]; during pregnancy, it has been used to identify women with higher probabilities for a successful induction of labor [44, 45]. Our group reported regional differences in cervical strain, and strain changes throughout pregnancy using quasi-static elastography [46]. We also reported a reduced risk for preterm delivery at <37 weeks of gestation in women with low strain in the internal cervical os [47]. The association between cervical strain and preterm delivery at <37 weeks has been recently supported by other authors [48–50]. Currently, identification of a short cervix by transvaginal ultrasound is the most powerful predictor for spontaneous preterm delivery [51–62]. The use of elastography to evaluate cervical strain has shown promising results in its association with preterm delivery; however, there is still a need for data to support the value of this technique in women who will present with moderately/early preterm delivery, and with adjustment for a short cervix. The aim of this study was to estimate whether strain or deformation obtained in different regions of the cervix is associated with spontaneous preterm delivery at <37 weeks of gestation, and with spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks of gestation [63–66], and whether this association is altered by the presence of a short cervix.

Methods

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Center for Advanced Obstetrical Care and Research [Perinatology Research Branch, an intramural program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), National Institutes of Health, and the Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit Medical Center/Hutzel Women’s Hospital, Detroit, MI]. All patients provided written informed consent and were enrolled in research protocols approved by the Human Investigation Committee of Wayne State University and the Institutional Review Board of the NICHD. For this analysis, women evaluated at 11 weeks–28 weeks of gestation with singleton pregnancies and without structural or chromosomal abnormalities were included. This range of gestational age was defined to include different gestational periods when identification of a short cervix has been associated with preterm delivery [67–71]. One cervical examination per patient was analyzed. The elastography recordings of women with a short cervix obtained before treatment with vaginal progesterone were evaluated. Patients with a cervical cerclage were not included. Spontaneous preterm delivery was considered as having resulted from the spontaneous onset of labor or spontaneous rupture of membranes at ≤34 weeks and at <37 weeks of gestation.

Ultrasound and elastography recordings

Gestational age was confirmed in all patients at or before 11 weeks of gestation by the crown-rump length measurement. Cervical length was measured using transvaginal ultrasound (Hitachi 8–4 MHz, HI Vision 900, Hitachi Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) in a sagittal plane, providing a clear image of the internal cervical os and external cervical os and complete visualization of the endocervical canal while maintaining equal size of the anterior and posterior cervical lips [72, 73]. The elastography evaluation was performed in a sagittal view of the cervix at the same anatomical plane as that used to measure cervical length, and in cross-sectional planes of the internal and external os. The elastography color box was adjusted to cover the anatomical plane of the cervix, and continuous oscillatory pressure was gently applied by the operator using the transducer without creating additional discomfort to that experienced during the routine vaginal ultrasound examination. The elastography equipment provides a press indicator that displays the average displacement of all structures localized within the color box elastogram; values range from 0 (none) to 7 (maximum). The press indicator can be considered an estimator of the oscillatory compression applied to that specific region. All measurements in this study were performed while maintaining the press indicator at a value of 3; additionally, the ultrasound probe in each image was located in the middle of the cervix and was kept at approximately the same distance from each of its lateral parts. Strain was calculated in two regions of interest for each anatomical plane. For the cross-sectional images, a circular region of interest was applied to cover the endocervical canal, and another included most of the internal or external cervical os; and for the sagittal plane, the regions of interest were adjusted according to the boundaries of the endocervix and the entire cervix (Figure 1). The measured strain within the region of interest represents the percentage of tissue displacement or deformation that resulted during the manual application of oscillatory pressure. Studies were performed by operators with more than 2 years of experience in cervical elastography and with a previously reported inter-observer correlation coefficient of 0.73, an exact agreement of 65%, and a weighted kappa of 0.46 [46].
Statistical analysis

The distribution of strain values was categorized into quartiles for each studied region, and their association with spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 and at <37 weeks of gestation was evaluated using logistic regression. Covariables considered as potential confounders included gestational age and the presence of a short cervix at examination. Chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests were used to examine differences in proportions. Statistical significance was defined as a P value <0.05. Analyses were performed using SPSS® 19 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA), SAS version 9.4 (Cary, NC, USA) and MedCalc 9.0.1.0 (Ostend, Belgium) statistical software.

Results

Characteristics of the study population

Forty-five (8.2%) women delivered preterm (<37 weeks) and 21 (3.8%) women delivered at ≤34 weeks of gestation. Clinical characteristics of the study population grouped by gestational age at delivery are presented in Table 1. Patients who delivered preterm had a shorter cervix at the time of the ultrasound scan, a higher prevalence of a short cervix (<25 mm) and previous preterm delivery, and they were more frequently identified as smokers than women who delivered at term.

Strain in different cervical areas and spontaneous preterm delivery

Logistic regression analysis showed that among elastography parameters, only strain from the internal cervical os was associated with spontaneous preterm delivery. The rates of preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks and at <37 weeks of gestation by internal os endocervical strain quartiles (a and b) and by internal os complete cervix strain quartiles (c and d) are shown in Figure 2. The lowest prevalence of spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks and at <37 weeks of gestation was observed in women with the highest strain values.
weeks of gestation was observed among patients with the lowest quartile strain values, whereas the highest prevalence was observed in patients with strain values in the 3rd quartile of the distribution. There were no appreciable differences in these associations for measurements performed for the entire cervix as opposed to the endocervical canal. Strain values obtained from the internal os endocervical canal were used for all subsequent analyses.

**Internal os cervical strain and spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks of gestation**

The magnitude of association between spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 weeks and the internal os endocervical strain quartile classifications, with and without multivariable adjustment for gestational age at examination and a short cervix (<25 mm), are presented in Table 2.

**Table 1:** Descriptive characteristics of patients presenting with spontaneous preterm delivery (sPTD) and patients delivering at term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sPTD ≤34 weeks (n=21)</th>
<th>sPTD &lt;37 weeks (n=45)</th>
<th>Delivery ≥37 weeks (n=500)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age, years (median, range)</td>
<td>24 (18–27)</td>
<td>25 (18–38)</td>
<td>24 (18–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American (n, %)</td>
<td>20 (95%)</td>
<td>44 (97%)</td>
<td>460 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (23%)</td>
<td>14 (31%)</td>
<td>90 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>13 (28%)</td>
<td>197 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (median, range)</td>
<td>27 (16–42)</td>
<td>28 (16–48)</td>
<td>24 (16–41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical length</td>
<td>30.5 (9.6%)</td>
<td>31.5 (9.2)</td>
<td>37 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of a short cervix (&lt;25 mm)</td>
<td>7 (33%)</td>
<td>12 (26%)</td>
<td>19 (3.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior preterm delivery (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>22 (48%)</td>
<td>81 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational weeks at scan (median, range)</td>
<td>19 (12–27)</td>
<td>20 (12–28)</td>
<td>17 (11–28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational weeks at delivery (median, range)</td>
<td>32 (22–34)</td>
<td>35 (22–36)</td>
<td>39 (37–41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05.

**Figure 2:** Prevalence of spontaneous preterm delivery at ≤34 and at <37 weeks of gestation by internal os endocervical strain quartile (A and B), and by internal os entire cervix strain quartile (C and D). Endocervical strain quartiles were defined as: 1st quartile ≤0.16; 2nd quartile >0.16–0.27; 3rd quartile >0.27–0.41; and 4th quartile >0.41. Entire cervix strain quartiles were defined as: 1st quartile ≤0.29; 2nd quartile <0.29–0.4; 3rd quartile >0.4–0.52; and 4th quartile >0.52.
Women with either 3rd or 4th quartile strain values were significantly more likely to deliver spontaneously at \( \leq 34 \) weeks than those with 1st quartile strain values, with and without multivariable adjustment for gestational age at the examination. After adjusting for both gestational age and a short cervix (<25 mm), women with 3rd quartile strain values were at a significantly higher risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) weeks when compared to women with 1st quartile strain values.

There was no difference in the association between strain quartile and spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) and at \( <37 \) weeks when comparing patients who were examined at <18 weeks, 18 to <24 weeks, or at 24+ weeks (P=0.93 and P=0.99, respectively).

### Internal os cervical strain and spontaneous preterm delivery at <37 weeks of gestation

The magnitude of association between preterm delivery at \( <37 \) weeks and the internal os endocervical strain quartile classifications, with and without multivariable adjustment for potentially confounding factors, are presented in Table 3. The overall pattern of association was similar to that observed for spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) weeks, yet the odds for spontaneous preterm delivery at \( <37 \) weeks were significantly lower among women with first quartile strain values compared either to those with higher (2nd, 3rd, 4th) or middle (2nd, 3rd) quartile strain values, with and without adjustment for gestational age. Yet, adjusting for both gestational age and a short cervix at examination, women with 3rd quartile strain values were at a significantly higher risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at \( <37 \) weeks, whereas women with highest quartile strain values were still at marginally higher risk (P=0.08) when each was compared to women with 1st quartile strain values.

There was no difference in the association between strain quartile and spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) and at \( <37 \) weeks when comparing patients who were examined at <18 weeks, 18 to <24 weeks, or at 24+ weeks (P=0.93 and P=0.99, respectively).

### Discussion

#### Principal findings of the study

(i) Women with strain values in the 3rd or 4th quartiles in the internal cervical os had an increased risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) weeks and at \( <37 \) weeks of gestation compared to women with lowest quartile strain values; (ii) after adjusting for gestational age and a short cervix, women with strain values in the 3rd quartile maintained significantly elevated risk for spontaneous preterm delivery, whereas those with highest quartile strain values had marginally increased risk, relative to women with lowest quartile strain values; and (iii) strain evaluated in the external os or in the sagittal plane of the cervix did not show a significant...
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This is the first study describing the association between cervical strain and preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) weeks; and it is also the first report on the magnitudes of association between cervical strain and preterm delivery adjusted for both gestational age at examination and the presence of a short cervix.

### Association between cervical strain evaluated by elastography and preterm delivery

The association of cervical strain and the risk of spontaneous preterm delivery was first reported by our group, showing that women with low strain values in the internal cervical os had a reduced risk for spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) weeks; and it is also the first report on the magnitudes of association between cervical strain and preterm delivery adjusted for both gestational age at examination and the presence of a short cervix.

### Table 3: Magnitude of association between internal os endocervical strain quartiles and spontaneous preterm delivery at \(<37\) weeks of gestation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain classification</th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model II</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model III</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher vs. lowest quartile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5–14.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.3–12.1</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.9–9.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.9–17.4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.7–15.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.4–13.8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5–6.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5–6.1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4–5.4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme vs. middle quartiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7–2.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6–2.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5–2.0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and 3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.10–0.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.10–0.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12–1.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest quartile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9–3.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8–3.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6–2.4</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest quartile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.09–0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.10–0.82</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12–1.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model I, unadjusted; Model II, adjusted for gestational age at examination; Model III, adjusted for gestational age at examination and a short cervix (\(<25\) mm). Endocervical strain cutoffs (quartiles): 1\(=<0.16\); 2\(=0.16–0.27\); 3\(=0.28–0.41\); and 4\(=>0.41\). OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval.
shear wave propagation in the proximal part (internal os) compared to the distal part (external os) in cervical samples obtained after hysterectomy. Using shear wave elastography, our group also reported a faster propagation of the shear wave in the internal cervical os, suggesting that this region is denser and stiffer than the external cervical os [79]. Changes in tissue composition of the internal os might be early manifestations of the process of cervical ripening before preterm or term labor.

Cervical regions may have distinctive tissue compositions resulting in differences in strain or deformation which may explain the lack of association between strain obtained from the external cervical os and spontaneous preterm delivery [15, 80–83]. The lack of association between strain in the sagittal plane and spontaneous preterm delivery can be related to the mixture of strain values from different areas of the cervix. Applying other methods, such as ultrasound attenuation [84–86], gray-level histogram characteristics [87, 88], or shear wave elastography [78, 79, 89], or using reference materials for strain comparisons [90], may allow for the reliable evaluation of cervical regions other than the internal os.

**Technical factors affecting ultrasound elastography evaluation**

Quasi-static elastography estimates cervical strain produced by the natural movement of the tissue or after external oscillatory compression; however, researchers use different methods for quantification, either based on the color of the elastogram [45, 50] or as a ratio of tissue displacement [91]. A uniform method to express the elastic properties of the cervix is still needed for external validation of this technique. The potential factors affecting quasi-static elastography are (i) standardization of the oscillatory compression, (ii) reproducibility among operators, and (iii) regional differences in strain. In order to overcome these technical challenges, we applied a similar oscillatory stimulus to all patients, recordings were obtained by trained operators, and the selected anatomical plane was aligned with the ultrasound probe for a homogenous distribution of pressure. The cross-sectional plane of the internal os allowed for the uniform propagation of the oscillatory compression, thereby reducing the variation in strain calculation. We have previously reported a better agreement in strain estimation assessed in the internal cervical os than in other cervical areas [46]. Good reproducibility of cervical elastography evaluations has also been reported by Swiatkowska-Freund et al. [92].

**Clinical implications of cervical elastography**

Elastography is an emerging field in ultrasound imaging; several systems already include elastography in their abdominal and vaginal probes. Elastography can be performed at the same time when cervical length is measured, and the combination of both might improve the identification of women at risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. Elastography does not increase the scanning time and does not create more discomfort than the routine transvaginal scan. It does not require a sophisticated set-up, and can be applied by trained operators. However, a clear definition of the targeted cervical areas and a uniformed quantification of strain are still needed to propose elastography for clinical use.

**Strengths and limitations**

The association between cervical strain and preterm delivery was adjusted for the presence of a short cervix; a standard protocol for applying oscillatory compression was followed; well-defined regions of interest in the cervix were analyzed; strain values were reported numerically, providing more robust estimations than qualitative evaluation of color elastograms; and magnitudes of association were adjusted for gestational age as well as short cervix. The main limitation is that small variations in the technique for applying oscillatory compression might affect the results.

**Conclusion**

Elevated strain in the internal cervical os is associated with increased risk of spontaneous preterm delivery at \( \leq 34 \) and at \(< 37 \) weeks of gestation, and these associations were independent of gestational age at examination and the presence of a short cervix in women with strain values in the third quartile of the distribution. The clinical benefit of introducing elastography in combination with cervical length measurement for identification of women at risk of spontaneous preterm delivery should be further evaluated.
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