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1 Introduction

Convex functions play an important role in optimization theory, convex analysis, Minkowski space and fractal mathematics [1, 3, 8–12, 15, 16]. In [21], Youness presented E-convexity of sets and functions. However, some results given by Youness [21] seem to be incorrect by Young [20]. Chen [2] extended E-convexity to semi E-convexity and discussed some of their properties. We refer to [4, 5, 17] for more results on E-convex functions or semi E-convex.

A manifold is not a linear space and extensions of concepts and techniques from linear spaces to Riemannian manifolds are natural. There are many authors who studied generalized convex functions in Riemannian manifolds see [14, 18]. In 2012, Iqbal et al. [7] introduced and studied a new class of convex sets and functions which are called geodesic E-convex sets and geodesic E-convex functions on Riemannian manifolds. Recently, Iqbal et al. [6] introduced a new class of functions, namely geodesic semi E-convex functions. The main aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of functions, which are called geodesic semi E-b-vex (GSEB) functions, and to discuss some of their properties. We also define geodesic quasi-semi E-b-vex (GQSEB) functions and geodesic pseudo-semi E-b-vex (GPSEB) functions as generalizations of geodesic semi E-quasiconvex functions and geodesic semi E-pseudoconvex functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and properties, which will be used throughout the paper. These can be found in many books on differential geometry, such as [18].

Let \( N \) be a \( C^\infty \) \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and \( T_zN \) be the tangent space to \( N \) at \( z \). Also, assume that \( \mu_z(x_1, x_2) \) is a positive inner product on the tangent space \( T_zN \) (\( x_1, x_2 \in T_zN \)), which is given for each point.
of $N$. Then, a $C^\infty$ map $\mu: z \mapsto \mu_z$, which assigns a positive inner product $\mu_z$ to $T_z N$ for each point $z$ of $N$, is called a Riemannian metric.

The length of a piecewise $C^1$ curve $\eta : [a_1, a_2] \to N$ which is defined as follows:

$$L(\eta) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \|\dot{\eta}(x)\| dx.$$ 

We define that $d(z_1, z_2) = \inf \{L(\eta) : \eta$ is a piecewise $C^1$ curve joining $z_1$ to $z_2\}$ for any points $z_1, z_2 \in N$. $\forall X, Y \in N$ is a unique determined Riemannian connection, which is called Levi-Civita connection, on every Riemannian manifolds. Furthermore, a smooth path $\eta$ is a geodesic if and only if its tangent vector is a parallel vector field along the path $\eta$, i.e., $\eta$ satisfies the equation $\nabla_{\dot{\eta}(t)} \dot{\eta}(t) = 0$. Every path $\eta$ is joining $z_1, z_2 \in N$ where $L(\eta) = d(z_1, z_2)$ is a minimal geodesic.

Finally, assume that $(N, \mu)$ is a complete $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian connection $\nabla$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in N$ and $\eta : [0, 1] \to N$ be a geodesic joining the points $x_1$ and $x_2$, which means that $\eta_{x_1}, x_2(0) = x_2$ and $\eta_{x_1}, x_2(1) = x_1$.

## 3 Geodesic semi E-b-vex function

Firstly, let us give the following definitions:

**Definition 3.1** ([13]). A set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called $E - b - vex$ iff there is a map $E : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $b : B \times B \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $tb E(x_1) + (1 - tb) E(x_2) \in B$, $\forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1]$.

**Definition 3.2** ([13]). A function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called $E-b-vex$ on a set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ iff there is a map $E : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $B$ is a $E-b-vex$ set and

$$h(tb E(x_1) + (1 - tb) E(x_2)) \leq h(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb) h(E(x_2)), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].$$

We now replace the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a Riemannian manifold $N$ and introduce the concepts of geodesic E-b-vex sets and geodesic E-b-vex functions on a Riemannian manifold as follows:

**Definition 3.3.** Assume that $E : N \to N$, $b : B \times B \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are maps. A set $B \subseteq N$ is called geodesic $E-b-vex$ set iff there is a unique geodesic $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)$ of length $d(x_1, x_2)$, which belongs to $B$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in B$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

**Definition 3.4.** A function $h : B \to \mathbb{R}$ is called geodesic $E-b-vex$ function on a set $B \subseteq N$ iff there is a map $E : N \to N$, such that $B$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$ set and

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq h(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb) h(E(x_2)), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $E : N \to N$ such that $E(B)$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$ and $E(B) \subseteq B$. Then, a function $h : B \to \mathbb{R}$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$ on a set $B \subseteq B$ if $h$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$ on $E(B)$.

**Proposition 3.6.** Assume that $B \subseteq N$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$, then $E(B) \subseteq B$.

**Proof.** Since $B$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$, then $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) \in B$, $\forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1]$. For $t = 0$, we get $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(0) = E(x_2) \in E(B)$, then $E(B) \subseteq B$.

**Proposition 3.7.** Assume that $E(B)$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$ and $E(B) \subseteq B$. Then $B$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$.

**Proof.** Let $x_1, x_2 \in B$, then $E(x_1), E(x_2) \in E(B)$. $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) \in E(B)$ because $E(B)$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$. Then $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) \in B$ which implies that $B$ is geodesic $E-b-vex$. 


Definition 3.8. A function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on a set $B$ if there is a map $E : N \to N$ such that $B$ is a geodesic E-b-vex set and

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].$$

If the above inequality is strict for all $x_1, x_2 \in B$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $\forall t \in (0, 1)$, then $h$ is strictly geodesic semi E-b-vex.

Proposition 3.9. Assume that a function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B$. Then $h$ is geodesic semi E-b-vex on $B$ if and only if $h(1) = h(0), \forall x \in B$.

Proof. Let $h$ be a geodesic semi E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B \subseteq N$, then $\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) \in B, \forall x_1, x_2 \in B$, we have

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].$$

If $tb = 1$, then $h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1)$.

Conversely, let $h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B$, then for any $x_1, x_2 \in B$ and $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2).$$

Remark 3.10. A geodesic E-b-vex function on geodesic E-b-vex set is not necessarily a geodesic semi E-b-vex function.

Example 3.11. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(x) = |x|$ and $E(x) = ax, a \in (0, 1], \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider the geodesic $\gamma$ such that

$$\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [E(x_2) + tb(E(x_1) - E(x_2))] : x_1x_2 \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} [E(x_2) + tb(E(x_2) - E(x_1))] : x_1x_2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

If $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$, then

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) = h(x_2 + tb(x_1 - x_2)) = |(1 - tb)x_2 + tx_1| = -|(1 - tb)x_2 + tx_1|.$$

On the other hand

$$thb(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb)h(E(x_2)) = thb(ax_1) + (1 - tb)h(ax_2) = a[(1 - tb)x_2 + tx_1].$$

Hence, $h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq thb(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb)h(E(x_2)), \forall t \in [0, 1], a \in (0, 1]$. Similarly, this inequality can be held good when $x_1, x_2 < 0$.

Now if $x_1 < 0$ and $x_2 > 0$, then

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) = h(x_2 + tb(x_2 - x_1)) = |(1 + tb)x_2 - tx_1| = -|(1 + tb)x_2 - tx_1|.$$

On the other hand

$$thb(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb)h(E(x_2)) = thb(ax_1) + (1 - tb)h(ax_2) = a[(1 - tb)x_2 - tx_1].$$

It follows that

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq thb(E(x_1)) + (1 - tb)h(E(x_2))$$

iff

$$|(1 + tb)x_2 - tx_1| \leq a[(1 - tb)x_2 - tx_1]$$

iff

$$x_2(1 - tb + a(1 - t)) + x_1tb(1 - a) \leq 0$$

which is always true $\forall t \in [0, 1], a \in (0, 1]$. Similarly, this inequality can be also held good for $x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0$.

Thus $h$ is geodesic E-b-vex function on $\mathbb{R}$ and since $h(E(1)) = h(\alpha) = -\alpha > f(1) = -1$ for $\alpha = 1/2$, then from Proposition 3.9, $h$ is not geodesic semi E-b-vex.
Remark 3.12. From Proposition 3.9, it follows that geodesic E-b-vex function \( h \) on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) with the property \( h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B \) is geodesic semi E-b-vex but the converse need not be true. In the Example 3.11, if \( E(x_1) = \alpha x_1, \alpha > 1, \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \), then the function \( h(x) \) is geodesic semi E-b-vex on geodesic E-b-vex set \( \mathbb{R} \) while if \( x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1 \) and \( t \beta = \frac{1}{2} \), then \( h(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) = h(1) = -1 \) while \( t h(E(x_1)) + (1 - t)h(E(x_2)) = h(\alpha) = -\alpha, \alpha > 1 \). Hence, the function \( h \) is not geodesic E-b-vex on the geodesic E-b-vex set \( \mathbb{R} \).

Theorem 3.13. Let \( h_1: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a geodesic semi E-b-vex on geodesic E-b-set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \). If \( h_2: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing E-b-vex function such that \( \text{range}(h_1) \subset I \), then the composite function \( h_2 oh_2 \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( h_1 \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \), then

\[
h_1(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t h_1(x_1) + (1 - t)h_1(x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].
\]

Now

\[
h_2 oh_2(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq h_2 \left[ t h_1(x_1) + (1 - t)h_1(x_2) \right] = t h_2(h_1(x_1)) + (1 - t)h_2(h_1(x_2))
\]

From the above it follows that \( h_2 oh_1 \) is geodesic semi E-b-vex on \( B \).

In addition, \( h_2 oh_1 \) is strictly geodesic semi E-b-vex function by considering \( h_2 \) to be a strictly non-decreasing E-b-vex function.

Theorem 3.14. Let \( B \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) be a geodesic E-b-vex set and \( h_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, z \) be geodesic semi E-b-vex functions. Then \( h = \sum_{i=1}^{z} \mu_i h_i, \forall \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, z \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on \( B \).

Proof. Since each \( h_i \) is geodesic semi E-b-vex functions on \( B \), then

\[
h_i(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t h_i(E(x_1)) + (1 - t)h_i(E(x_2)).
\]

It follows that

\[
\mu_i h_1(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t \mu_i h_i(E(x_1)) + (1 - t)\mu_i h_i(E(x_2))
\]

or

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{z} \mu_i h_i(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t \sum_{i=1}^{z} \mu_i h_i(E(x_1)) + (1 - t)\sum_{i=1}^{z} \mu_i h_i(E(x_2)).
\]

Hence the result.

Proposition 3.15. Assume that \( \{h_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of real valued functions defined on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1) \) exists in \( \mathbb{R} \) for all \( x_1 \in B \). Assume that \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a real function defined by \( \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B \). If \( h_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in I \) are geodesic semi E-b-vex functions on \( B \), then \( h \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( h_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall i \in I \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \), then

\[
h_i(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t h_i(x_1) + (1 - t)h_i(x_2).
\]

Then

\[
\sup_{i \in I} h_i(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq \sup_{i \in I} \left[ t h_i(x_1) + (1 - t)h_i(x_2) \right] = t \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1) + (1 - t)\sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_2).
\]

This implies

\[
h(y_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(t)) \leq t h(x_1) + (1 - t)h(x_2).
\]

Hence \( h \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).
Proposition 3.16. Assume that \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \), then for any real number \( a \) the level set \( M_a = \{x_1: x_1 \in B, h(x_1) \leq a\} \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set.

Proof. For any \( x_1, x_2 \in M_a \) and \( tb \in [0, 1] \), then \( h(x_1) \leq a, h(x_2) \leq a \). Since \( h \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function, then
\[
  h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) = tba + (1 - tb)a = a.
\]
Hence, \( M_a \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set. \( \square \)

4 Generalized geodesic semi E-b-vex functions

The concept of quasi E-b-vex function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) was introduced by Mishra et al.[13] such as

Definition 4.1. The mapping \( h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is quasi semi E-b-vex on an E-v-vex set \( B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), if
\[
  h(tbE(x_1) + (1 - tb)E(x_2)) \leq \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}, \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].
\]

We generalized the above concept and define geodesic quasi E-b-vex functions on Riemannian manifold and study some of their properties.

Definition 4.2. Assume that \( B \subseteq N \) is a nonempty geodesic E-b-vex set. A function \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is called
1. Geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex iff
\[
  h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}, \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1].
\]
2. Strictly geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex iff
\[
  h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) < \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}
\]
\( \forall x_1, x_2 \in B \) with \( E(x_1) \neq E(x_2) \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \).

Proposition 4.3. Assume that \( \{h_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of real valued functions defined on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \) such that \( \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1) \) exists in \( \mathbb{R} \) for all \( x_1 \in B \). Assume that \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a real function defined by \( \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B \). If \( h_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in I \) are geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex functions on \( B \), then \( h \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( h_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall i \in I \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \), then
\[
  h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) = \sup_{i \in I} h_i(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq \max_{i \in I} \{h_i(x_1), h_i(x_2)\}
\]
\[
  = \max \left\{ \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1), \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_2) \right\} = \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}.
\]
Hence \( h \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \). \( \square \)

Proposition 4.4. Assume that \( B \subseteq N \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set. Then the function \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex if and only if for any real number \( a \) the level set \( M_a = \{x_1: x_1 \in B, h(x_1) \leq a\} \) is geodesic E-b-vex set.

Proof. Let \( h \) be a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \). Thus, \( h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} \leq a \). That implies to \( y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb) \in M_a \). Thus, the set \( M_a \) is a geodesic E-b-vex.

Conversely, let \( B \subseteq N \) be a geodesic E-b-vex set and \( M_a \) is a geodesic E-b-vex for each \( a \in \mathbb{R} \). Assume that \( a = \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} \) for each \( x_1, x_2 \in B \), then \( x_1, x_2 \in M_a \). Since \( M_a \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set, then \( h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq a = \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} \). Hence \( h \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \). \( \square \)
Proposition 4.5. Assume that \( g_i: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) are geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex functions on \( N \). Then the set \( B = \{ x_1 \in N: g_i(x_1) \leq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \} \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set.

Proof. The proof follows from the Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 4.6. Assume that \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \), then \( h \) is also a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \), then
\[
h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2)
\]
\[
\leq tb \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} + (1 - tb) \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}
\]
\[
= \max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}
\]

Hence \( h \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

In the following example, we can see that a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex may not be geodesic semi E-b-vex.

Example 4.7. Assume that \( h: B = [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
h(x_1) = \begin{cases} 
0 & : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \\
1 & : 1 < x_1 \leq 2
\end{cases}
\]
\[
E(x_1) = \begin{cases} 
1 & : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \\
2 & : 1 < x_1 \leq 2
\end{cases}
\]
and \( b(x, y, t) = 1 \).

We consider the geodesic \( \gamma \) such that
\[
\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb) = tbE(x_1) + (1 - tb)E(x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in [0, 2].
\]

It is clear that \( h \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function but it is not a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \) because, for \( x_1 = 0, x_2 = 2, t = \frac{1}{2} \), we get
\[
h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) = h\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 1 > tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

Proposition 4.8. Assume that \( h_1: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \) and \( h_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is non-decreasing function, then \( h_2oh_1 \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( h_1: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \) and \( h_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing function, then
\[
(h_2oh_1)(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) = h_2\left(h_1(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb))\right)
\]
Proposition 5.2. Assume that \( \{B_i \subseteq N \times \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of geodesic E-b-vex sets. Then their intersection \( \cap_{i \in I} B_i \) is a geodesic E-b-vex.

Proof. Let \((x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2) \in \cap_{i \in I} B_i\). Then, for each \( i \in I \), \((x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2) \in B_i \), we have
\[
\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), tba_1 + (1-t)b a_2 \right) \in B_i, \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]
Thus,
\[
\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), tba_1 + (1-t)b a_2 \right) \in \cap_{i \in I} B_i, \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]
Hence \( \cap_{i \in I} B_i \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set.

A sufficient condition for \( h \) to be a geodesic semi E-b-vex function is given in the following theorem:

Theorem 5.3. Assume that \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a real valued function on a geodesic E-b-vex set \( B \subseteq N \). If \( epi(h) \) is a geodesic E-b-vex set, then \( h \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Let \( x_1, x_2 \in B \) and \((x_1, h(x_1)), (x_2, h(x_2)) \in epi(h)\). Due to \( epi(h) \) is geodesic E-b-vex set, then
\[
\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), tba_1 + (1-t)b a_2 \right) \in epi(h),
\]
so
\[
h\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)\right) \leq t b h(x_1) + (1-t)b h(x_2).
\]
Hence \( h \) is a geodesic E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proposition 5.4. Assume that \( \{h_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a family of real valued functions which are bounded from above on a geodesic E-b-vex \( B \subseteq N \) and let their epigraphs \( epi(h_i) \) be geodesic E-b-vex sets in \( B \times \mathbb{R} \). Then, the function \( h(x_1) = \sup_{i \in I} h_i(x_1) \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Proof. Since \( epi(h_i) = \{(x_1, a_1) : x_1 \in B, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, h_i(x_1) \leq a_1\} \) are geodesic E-b-vex sets in \( B \times \mathbb{R} \). Therefore, their intersection
\[
\cap_{i \in I} epi(h_i) = \{(x_1, a_1) : x_1 \in B, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, h_i(x_1) \leq a_1, i \in I\}
\]
is also geodesic E-b-vex set in \( B \times \mathbb{R} \). Hence, by Theorem 5.3, \( h \) is geodesic semi E-b-vex function on \( B \).

Definition 5.5. Assume that \( B \subseteq N \times \mathbb{R} \), \( E: N \rightarrow N, I: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and \( b: B \times B \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \), then a set \( B \) is called a geodesic \( E \times I \)-b-convex set if \( \gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), tba_1 + (1-t)b a_2 \in B, \forall (x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2) \in B, t \in [0, 1] \)

It is easy to show that \( B \subseteq N \) is a geodesic E-b-convex set if \( B \times \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic \( E \times I \)-b-convex set.

The following theorem gives a characterization of a geodesic E-b-convex function in terms of its \( epi(h) \).

Theorem 5.6. Assume that \( B \subseteq N \) is a geodesic E-b-convex set, then \( h \) is a geodesic semi E-b-convex function on \( B \) iff \( epi(h) \) is a geodesic \( E \times I \)-b-convex function on \( B \times \mathbb{R} \).

Proof. Let \( h \) be a geodesic semi E-b-convex function on \( B \) and let \((x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2) \in epi(h), t \in [0, 1]\), then
\[
h\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)\right) \leq t b h(x_1) + (1-t)b h(x_2) \leq t a_1 + (1-t)b a_2.
\]
Thus,
\[
\left(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), t a_1 + (1-t)b a_2 \right) \in epi(h)
\]
which implies that $epi(h)$ is geodesic $E \times I$-b-vex on $B \times \mathbb{R}$.

Now, let $epi(h)$ be geodesic $E \times I$-b-vex on $B \times \mathbb{R}$, and let $x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in [0, 1]$, then $(x_1, h(x_1)), (x_2, h(x_2)) \in epi(h)$. Due to $epi(h)$ being geodesic $E \times I$-b-vex on $B \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb), tba_1 + (1 - tb)a_2) \in epi(h)$$

that is

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2)$$

which implies that $h$ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on $B$.

**Definition 5.7.** Let $B$ be a nonempty geodesic $E$-b-vex set. A function $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a geodesic pseudo semi E-b-vex on $B$ if there exists a strictly positive function $e : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h(x_1) < h(x_2) \rightarrow h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq h(x_2) + e(t - 1)z(x_1, x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in (0, 1).$$

**Proposition 5.8.** Assume that $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B \subseteq N$, then $h$ is a geodesic pseudo semi E-b-vex on $B$.

**Proof.** Let $h(x_1) < h(x_2)$ and since $h$ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function on $B$, then $\forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in (0, 1)$

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) < h(x_2) + e(t - 1)(h(x_2) - h(x_1))$$

$$= h(x_2) + e(t - 1)z(x_1, x_2)$$

where $z(x_1, x_2) = h(x_2) - h(x_1) > 0$, then $h$ is geodesic pseudo semi E-b-vex.

**Proposition 5.9.** Assume that $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic pseudo semi E-b-vex function on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B \subseteq N$, then $h$ is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on $B$.

**Proof.** Let $h(x_1) < h(x_2)$ and since $h$ is a geodesic pseudo semi E-b-vex function on $B$, then $\forall x_1, x_2 \in B, t \in (0, 1)$, then

$$h(\gamma_{E(x_1), E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq h(x_2) + e(t - 1)z(x_1, x_2) < h(x_2) = max \{h(x_1), h(x_2)\}$$

Hence $h$ is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on $B$.

Consider the following problem: $(P)$ $\text{Min } h(x_1)$ such that $x_1 \in B = \{x_1 \in N : h_i(x_1) \leq 0, i = 1, 2, ..., k\}$ are real valued functions on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B$.

We also need to the following problem $(P_E)$ $\text{Min } (h(E(x_1)))$ such that $x_1 \in B$.

**Theorem 5.10.** Assume that $B \subseteq N$ is a geodesic E-b-vex set and $h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1)$ for each $x_1 \in B$. If $\tilde{x}_1$ is a solution of the problem $(P_E)$, then $E(\tilde{x}_1)$ is a solution of the problem $(P)$.

**Proof.** Let $E(\tilde{x}_1)$ be not a solution of problem $(P)$, then there exists $x_2 \in B$ such that $h(x_2) < h(E(\tilde{x}_1))$, then $h(E(x_2)) \leq h(x_2) < h(E(\tilde{x}_1))$, which contradicts the optimality of $\tilde{x}_1$ of problem $(P_E)$.

**Theorem 5.11.** Assume that $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set $B \subseteq N$ and $\tilde{x}_1$ is a solution of the problem $(P_E)$, then $E(\tilde{x}_1)$ is a solution of problem $(P)$.

**Proof.** The proof follows from the above theorem.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let $B \subseteq N$ be a geodesic E-b-vex set, $h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a geodesic E-b-vex function on $B$ and $h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B$. If $x^0 = E(z^0) \in E(B)$ is a local minimum of the problem $(P)$, then $x^0$ is global minimum of problem $(P)$ on $B$. 
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Proof. Let \( x^0 = E(z^0) \in E(B) \) be a nonglobal minimum of the problem \((P)\) on \( B \), then there is \( x_2 \in B \) such that \( h(x_2) < h(x^0) = h(E(z^0)) \), since function \( h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is geodesic E-b-vex and \( h(E(x_1)) \leq h(x_1), \forall x_1 \in B \), then

\[
h(y_{E(z^0),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq t bh(E(z^0)) + (1 - tb)h(E(x_2)) \leq tbh(x^0) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) < tbh(x^0) + (1 - tb)h(x^0) = h(x^0)
\]

for any \( t \in (0, 1) \), which contradicts the local optimality of \( x^0 \) for problem \((P)\). Hence \( x^0 \) is a global minimum of problem \((P)\) on \( B \).

\[
\text{Theorem 5.13.} \quad \text{Assume that } h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a strictly geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set } B \subseteq N, \text{ then the global optimal solutions of problem } (P) \text{ is unique.}
\]

Proof. Let \( x_1 \neq x_2 \) be two different global optimum solutions of problem \((P)\), then \( h(x_1) = h(x_2) \). Since \( h \) is strictly geodesic semi E-b-vex on \( B \), then

\[
h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) < tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) = h(x_1), \forall t \in (0, 1)
\]

which contradicts the optimality of \( x_1 \) of problem \((P)\). Hence the global optimal solution of problem \((P)\) is unique.

\[
\text{Theorem 5.14.} \quad \text{Assume that } h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set } B \subseteq N \text{ and } a = \min_{x_1 \in B} h(x_1). \text{Then the set } G = \{x_1 \in B: h(x_1) = a\} \text{ of optimal solutions of problem } (P) \text{ is geodesic E-b-vex. If } h \text{ is strictly geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on } B, \text{ then the set } G \text{ is a singleton.}
\]

Proof. Let \( x_1, x_2 \in G, t \in [0, 1] \), then \( x_1, x_2 \in B \) and \( h(x_1) = a = h(x_2) \). Since \( h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on \( B \), then \( h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq \max\{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} = a \) which implies that \( y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb) \in G \) is geodesic E-b-vex.

Now, assume on the contrary that \( x_1 \neq x_2 \in G \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \), then \( y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb) \in B \). Since \( h \) is strictly geodesic quasi semi E-b-vex on \( B \), then

\[
h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) < \max\{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} = a.
\]

This contradicts that \( a = \min_{x_1 \in B} h(x_1) \) and hence the result.

\[
\text{Theorem 5.15.} \quad \text{Assume that } h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a geodesic semi E-b-vex on a geodesic E-b-vex set } B \subseteq N, \text{ then the set of optimal solutions of problem } (P) \text{ is a geodesic E-b-vex.}
\]

Proof. Let \( x^* \) be optimal solution of problem \((P)\) and let \( a = h(x^*) \). Assume that \( G \) is the set of optimal solutions for problem \((P)\) as follows \( G = \{x_1 \in B: h(x_1) \leq a\} \), for any \( x_1 \neq x_2 \in G \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \). Since \( h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a geodesic semi E-b-vex function, then

\[
h(y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb)) \leq tbh(x_1) + (1 - tb)h(x_2) \leq a.
\]

Thus, \( y_{E(x_1),E(x_2)}(tb) \in G \), and it follows that \( G \) is geodesic E-b-vex set.

\[
\text{Theorem 5.16.} \quad \text{Assume that } h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g_i: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \text{ are quasi semi E-b-vex on } N, \text{ then the set of optimal solutions of problem } (P) \text{ is a geodesic E-b-vex.}
\]

Proof. From Proposition 4.5, it follows that \( B \) is geodesic E-b-vex set. Hence, by Theorem 5.14, the set \( G = \{x_1 \in B: h(x_1) = a\} \) of optimal solutions of problem \((P)\) is geodesic E-b-vex.

\[
\text{Corollary 5.17.} \quad \text{If } h: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g_i: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \text{ are geodesic semi E-b-vex on } N, \text{ then the set optimal solutions of problem } (P) \text{ is geodesic E-b-vex.}
\]
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