Isoferroplatinum-pyrrhotite-troilite intergrowth as evidence of desulfurization in the Merensky Reef at Rustenburg (western Bushveld Complex, South Africa)
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ABSTRACT

Petrographic and mineralogical studies of samples of the Normal (or undisturbed) Merensky Reef from Frank Shaft No.1 at Rustenburg Platinum Mine revealed the presence of a Pt-Fe-alloy, probably isoferroplatinum (58 vol.% of total precious metal minerals), arsenides (21 vol.%), bismuthotellurides (10 vol.%), electrum (9 vol.%) and platinum group element- (PGE-) sulfides and stannides (2 vol.%), associated predominantly with base-metal- and iron-sulfides. A Pt-Fe-alloy-dominated facies has been known for considerable time from potholes and discordant bodies and has been attributed to fluid activity with high \( f_{O_2} \) and low \( f_{S_2} \). Our petrographic results indicate that the normal thin reef has also undergone hydrothermal alteration. For the first time, the rare mineral troilite (stoichiometric FeS) was found as intergrowths with masses of Pt-Fe-alloy, together with Fe-rich pyrrhotite, secondary hydrous silicates, magnetite and calcite. The observed mineral assemblage and texture is interpreted as the product of partial desulfurization, caused by migrating S-undersaturated fluids, which led to the exsolution of Pt-Fe-alloy from pyrrhotite (\( \text{Fe}_{x-1}\text{S} \)) with the latter approaching a stoichiometric composition. Overall our new observations provide convincing support for the importance of metasomatism in the secondary modification of ore mineralogy and textures even in the undisturbed Merensky Reef.
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Introduction

The Merensky Reef, a thin and laterally extensive platinum-group element (PGE)-Ni-Cu ore body in South Africa’s world famous Bushveld Igneous Complex averages at normal mining thickness (\(~90\) cm) 5.0–9.0 ppm PGE + Au, including c. 3.0–4.0 ppm Pt and 1.5–2.0 ppm Pd (Lee, 1996; Cawthorn et al., 2005). The PGE and Au are both hosted as solid solution in bisulfides, such as pentlandite, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite, and occur also as small (nm to tens-of-µm sized) discrete phases, that is platinum-group minerals (PGM) (e.g. Osbahr et al., 2013; Junge et al., 2015). While PGE proportions and ore grades of the reef are nearly constant along the whole Bushveld Complex, the PGM assemblages are, even at a local scale, highly variable. Information about the precious metal minerals, their composition, size, distribution and association, can not only contribute to the debate about the still poorly understood genesis of this style of mineralization but is also of considerable metallurgical importance for ore processing.

Numerous genetic models have been suggested to explain the Merensky Reef, including the following: (1) leaching of the cumulate pile, redistribution and upgrading of PGE concentrations by ascending late-stage magmatic Cl-bearing fluids (e.g. Ballhaus and Stumpfl, 1986; Boudreau and...
the Merensky Reef, i.e. the reef facies that has minerals in the texture and mineralogy of the ore and gangue. The objective of this study is to contribute to this discussion on the basis of detailed analyses of the PGE clusters from the magma into the cumulate (e.g. Merkle, 1992; Tredoux et al., 1995; Ballhaus and Sylvester, 2000; Helmy et al., 2013); (4) processes of PGE pre-concentration and crystallization in a staging chamber beneath the Bushveld Complex or in feeders, followed by the intrusion of a PGE-enriched crystal mush into the Rustenburg Layered Suite (Naldrett et al., 2009; Hutchinson et al., 2015 and references therein); and (5) hydrodynamic sorting of sulfides, PGM, silicates and oxides during subsidence-induced mobilization of semi-consolidated cumulates (Maier et al., 2013). In addition to the various hypotheses to explain the primary origin of the reef, numerous other processes have been suggested to account for the nature and variability of discrete PGM, from direct crystallization of PGE clusters (e.g. Tredoux et al., 1995) or laurite (e.g. Merkle, 1992; Finnigan et al., 2008), to subsolidus re-equilibration and exsolution of PGM from base metal and Fe-sulfides (e.g. Ballhaus and Ryan, 1995), to high-T fractionation of semimetal-PGE-liquids from a sulfide melt (Helmy et al., 2007; Holwell and McDonald, 2010) and other processes involving desulfurization (e.g. Peregoedova et al., 2004; Li and Ripley, 2006).

The role and significance of fluids in the formation of the ores has been a highly contentious issue. The notion of considerable hydrothermal modification and redistribution of chalcophile and siderophile elements in the Bushveld Complex has repeatedly been challenged (see reviews by Cawthorn, 1999, 2010; Barnes and Maier, 2002; Godel et al., 2007). Nevertheless, more recently the role and importance of fluids in the PGE-metallogenesis in layered intrusions has been emphasized, e.g. by Li et al. (2004, 2008), Li and Ripley (2006), Boudreau (2008), Godel and Barnes (2008), and Kanitpanyacharoen and Boudreau (2013). The objective of this study is to contribute to this discussion on the basis of detailed analyses of the texture and mineralogy of the ore and gangue minerals in the ‘normal’ (or undisturbed) facies of the Merensky Reef, i.e. the reef facies that has been traditionally considered least affected by fluid-rock interaction.

**Geological background**

The 2.055–2.056 Ga old Bushveld Igneous Complex extends over some 350 km × 450 km on surface and subsurface in the northeast of the Republic of South Africa, north of the cities of Johannesburg and Pretoria. According to the definition given by SACS (1980), the Bushveld Complex comprises four stratigraphic subdivisions: The Rashaop Granophyre and the Lebowa Granite suites, the Rooiberg Group volcanic rocks, as well as the 6–8 km thick (ultra-)mafic Rustenburg Layered Suite (RLS). This layered series, having an elliptical-shaped (Fig. 1a) outcrop (subcrop) of some 65,000 km², represents the largest layered intrusion on Earth and is characterized by a distinctive, partly-rhythmic igneous layering of dunitic, harzburgite, norite, gabbro, anorthosite and chromitite. On the basis of major lithological changes, the RLS has been traditionally subdivided into a number of zones (Fig. 1b): The Marginal Zone (0–800 m thick) comprises unlayered norite cumulates in contact to the metasedimentary host rocks, overlain by a harzburgitic-dunitic Lower Zone (800–1300 m). The Critical Zone (1300–1800 m thick) includes distinctive cyclic units and 13 major chromitite seams. It is further subdivided into a Lower Critical (mainly pyroxenite) and Upper Critical Zone (mainly norite and anorthosite). The Main Zone (3000–3400 m) is of gabbronoritic composition, lacks visible igneous layering and is overlain by the Upper Zone (2000–2800 m thick). The latter comprises well-layered ferro-gabbronorite, anorthosite and several Ti-V-rich magnetite layers. The whole sequence is capped by the Rashaop Granophyre Suite. For detailed insights into the geology, stratigraphy and mineralization of the RLS, the reader is referred to Eales and Cawthorn (1996), Lee (1996), Cawthorn et al. (2005), Kruger (2005), Naldrett et al. (2011) and Maier et al. (2013).

The Bushveld Complex was emplaced during a short interval of approximately <100,000 years (Cawthorn and Walraven, 1998) from multiple magma additions (e.g. Kruger, 2005), possibly linked to a mantle plume (Hatton, 1995) and/or a back-arc extension (Willmore et al., 2002), into the volcano-sedimentary succession of the Transvaal Supergroup within the Kaapvaal Craton. Solidification proceeded during only a
few hundred thousand years and the recent exposure of this sill-like lopolithic body at four interconnected (Webb et al., 2011) lobes (Fig. 1a) that dip gently towards a common centre (Kruger, 2005), is believed to be the result of late-magmatic subsidence (Letts et al., 2009; Cawthorn and Webb, 2013; Zeh et al., 2015).

In particular the RLS is not only of scientific but also of outstanding economic interest, as it contains 95% of total PGE reserves and, respectively, 75%, 52% and 82% of the reported resources of Pt, Pd and Rh, the globally most important source of these precious metals (Naldrett et al., 2008; US Geological Survey, 2015). Additionally the RLS also hosts the world’s largest known resources of Cr and V. Three ore bodies are currently being mined for PGE, namely the Upper Group 2 Chromitite (UG2), the Merensky Reef and the Platreef. The complex also hosts significant amounts of other metallic resources, such as Au, Ag, Ni, Cu, Co, Fe, Ti and Sn, as well as industrial minerals (dimension stone, andalusite, asbestos) (Lee, 1996).

The 2056.1 ± 0.7 Ma to 2055.3 ± 0.6 Ma Merensky Reef (Scoates et al., 2011) is, after the adjacent UG2, the largest PGE deposit known so far. It can be classified as an orthocumulate that was modified by hydrothermal alteration, deformation, post-cumulus growth and recrystallization. The ore deposit represents a stratiform, silicate-bound PGE-Ni-Cu-mineralization at the Critical Zone–Main Zone boundary, c. 2–3 km above the basal contact of the RLS (Fig. 1b), and 15 to 400 m above the stratiform UG2 layer. The ore body is, although variable, of lateral and down-dip continuity (Fig 1a) but is, in places, disturbed by so-called potholes (up to ~15 m deep, circular and also mineralized depressions with diameters that range from metres to kilometres). Broadly speaking, the Merensky Reef (i.e. the mineralized part of the Merensky Cyclic Unit) comprises a number of layers, usually with a <1 cm to several tens-of-cm-thick undulating lower chromite stringer that rests on anorthosite or norite, overlain by a feldspathic pyroxenite, harzburgite or dunite, sandwiched between another chromite seam (Lee, 1996; Naldrett et al., 2009, 2011). In places, a third chromite layer is present and the whole sequence is capped by a noritic hanging wall, which finally merges upwards into anorthosite, as a part of another unmineralized cyclic unit (called the ‘Bastard Unit’). The coarse-
grained to pegmatoidal texture of feldspathic pyroxenite in between the chromitite layers is a common feature in the southwestern Bushveld Complex (cf. Naldrett et al., 2009, 2011; Fig. 2) and originated either from the annealing of non-pegmatoidal cumulates due to pressure fluctuations, the addition of a superheated melt (Cawthorn and Boerst, 2006), or fluid-induced flux-melting and coarsening (e.g. Boudreau, 2008). Sulfides (mainly pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, pentlandite) are present at 2–3 vol.% as intercumulus minerals. The highest PGE concentrations are observed in the vicinity of chromite layers, correlate with the sulfide mineralization, and are a function of reef thickness.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, a variety of different facies types of the Merensky Reef can be distinguished, the most important of which are listed below. For the southwestern part of the Bushveld, the vertical separation of the upper and lower chromite layer decreases from southeast (near Brits) to northwest (south to the Pilanesberg Complex, see Fig. 1a). At the Impala Mine (Fig. 1a), the so-called “contact reef” comprises two superimposed chromite stringers and sulfide mineralization continues into the footwall. The pre-Merensky pyroxenite in between thickens southeastwards. At Rustenburg (Fig. 1a), the chromitite layers are each ∼1 cm thick and the pegmatoidal pyroxenite ranges from <10 cm (“thin reef”) up to 60 cm (“wide reef”) (Viljoen and Hieber, 1986). Further to the southeast (near the town Brits), the vertical separation attains >10 m with dramatically decreasing ore grades. An upper chromitite is only locally present (Naldrett et al., 2009). In the western part of Rustenburg Platinum Mines, the so-called “rolling reef” type dominates and describes an abundance of shallow potholes.

Analytical methods

This study is based on six historic hand specimens of the ∼10 cm thick pegmatoidal Merensky Reef, generally referred to as the Rustenburg “thin reef” facies or Normal Merensky Reef facies, including its ∼1 cm thick chrome stringers, as well as one sample of the noritic hanging wall, all of which were collected in the 1970’s at Rustenburg Mine (Frank Shaft No. 1). After petrographic analyses, the samples were further investigated by electron microprobe (EMP) using a JEOL JXA 8800L superprobe at the Department of Geodynamics and Geomaterials Research, Institute of Geography and Geology, University of Wuerzburg. The instrument is equipped with four wavelength-dispersive spectrometers. All measurements were carried out at 15 kV acceleration voltage and 20 nA beam current⁶. Scanning for PGM and native gold was carried out according to the recommendations by Xiao and Laplante (2004) and Rose et al. (2011) with roughly 300-fold magnification, high contrast setting and low brightness in back-scattered electron (BSE) imagining mode. Due to their large average atomic number, PGM and gold show up in strong contrast to the dark gangue. Minerals smaller than 1 μm in diameter could not be detected in BSE images. All identified precious metal minerals were logged and their grain size, expressed as equivalent circle diameter (ECD), was calculated after ECD = 2 × \sqrt{\text{area}/\pi} from their measured area in the BSE image. The PGM analytical results are only qualitative because of the small grain size, sub-microscopic intergrowths, and probable contamination by surrounding matrix. The PGE concentrations of the analysed sulfides were found to be below the lower detection limit of 0.05 wt.%. Silicates, sulfides and chromite were analysed quantitatively using the ZAF matrix correction procedure.

Results

Gangue minerals

Orthopyroxene (En₇₆.₆ to En₈₄.₅) is with 60–75 vol.% by far the dominant constituent in all layers (except the footwall). The mostly rounded-to-subhedral grains are between 2 mm and 1 cm in size and largely altered to secondary hydrous silicates and oxides. They are smaller, unaltered and of euhedral habit in the melanoritic hanging wall. Olivine (Fo₉₂ to Fo₉₄; 0.36 ± 1.3 wt.% NiO) occurs as inclusions of up to 1 cm in diameter in orthopyroxene. Plagioclase and chain-like arrangements of euhedral chromite grains are also present as inclusions in orthopyroxene. Clinopyroxene occurs as pigeonite, augite and diopside (Ca₉Mg₇₈Fe₁₆ to Ca₄₇Mg₄₅Fe₈₉, #Mg 80–86, Al₂O₃ max. 5.5 wt.%, TiO₂ max. 1.4 wt.%, Cr₂O₃ max. 1.1 wt.%). The modal proportion is <5 vol.% and it is observed either as parallel or bleb-like exsolution lamellae in orthopyroxene or as larger, anhedral oikocrysts enclosing orthopyroxene. Plagioclase is the main felsic phase (10–20 vol.%) and shows both laterally and vertically unsystematic

⁶For further details on the instrument set-up see http://www.geodynamik.geographie.uni-wuerzburg.de/geodynamik_und_geomaterialforschung/analytische_ausstattung/elektronenstrahl_mikrosonde/
variation in its composition, ranging from An_{52.6} to An_{75.4} (average An_{69}). Plagioclase has undergone moderate saussuritization and sericitization along cleavage planes and fractures and is light greenish in hand specimen. Furthermore, anhedral interstitial and Cl-bearing Ti-rich phlogopite, (K_{1.4}(Mg_{4.3}Fe_{1.0}Al_{0.2}Ti_{0.4})[Al_{2.2}Si_{5.8}O_{20}](Cl,OH)_{4}), was noted as an accessory phase in all samples, always associated with sulfides, in places enclosing pyroxene or rutile. Alteration features (5–30 vol.%), include large (>5 mm) domains of serpentine and magnetite; fragmented sulfide aggregates (mainly chalcopyrite); calcite (up to 1 mm in size) with deformation twins; Fe-Mg carbonates; as well as fine-grained felted or tuft-like talc aggregates and sulfides that are replaced by calcic amphiboles. In contrast, phlogopite and chromite seem to be unaffected by replacement reactions and the hanging wall appears entirely unaltered. Chromite shows little variation in composition, on average (n = 47) (Fe_{0.74}Mg_{0.22})(Cr_{1.10}Al_{0.48}Fe_{3+0.41})O_{4}. Two morphological types of this spinel were observed: >2 mm large sintered or ‘amoebidal’ (Vukmanovic et al., 2013) grains in the lower chromitite and euhedral (octahedral, 0.15 mm large) grains both in the lower and upper chromitite. Rutile and phlogopite were noted as inclusions in anhedral chromite crystals of the lower chromite seam.

**Sulfides**

Disseminated sulfides (~50% pyrrhotite, ~30% pentlandite, ~20% chalcopyrite) are present at 0.5–3 vol.% and occur as zoned networks from a few µm to over 2 cm in size, usually with a core of pyrrhotite, surrounded by other sulfides, or at the margins replaced by alteration products (actinolite–tremolite, oxides). Many of the sulfide aggregates display fringe-like grain boundaries (Fig. 3a, c,d). Galena (PbS) and clausthalite (PbSe), are present as rare finely-dispersed grains, pyrite as veins through sulfides in the hanging wall. Troilite (FeS) was observed in BSE images as brighter, narrow exsolution lamellae in relatively Fe-rich pyrrhotite (Fig. 3a,b), in places chevron-like, and is distinguished from Fe-rich pyrrhotite under reflected light by its more reddish-brownish colour. This mineral, which is typically known from meteorites, is actually not very rare as a terrestrial sulfide but has been mentioned in only a few studies on the Bushveld Complex (e.g. Vermaak and Hendriks, 1976; Kinloch and Peyerl, 1990; Schouwstra et al., 2000; Scoon and Mitchell, 2011). The Fe and S contents in troilite range from 62.1 to 64.2 wt.% and 35.4 to 37.3 wt.%, respectively, and the Ni content is <0.15 wt.% (Table 1). The calculated formula ranges from approximately Fe_{51}S_{49} to Fe_{49}S_{51}. Troilite was not observed in the hanging wall. Representative compositions of sulfides are provided in Table 1.

**Precious metal minerals**

The modal proportions of detected precious metal minerals (n = 508), whose average size and standard deviation is 7.9 ± 8.0 µm and median size is 5.1 µm, are as follows: 57.5 vol.% isoferronplatinum, 21.0 vol.% sperrylite, 10.0 vol.% bismuthotellurides, 9.0 vol.% electrum, 1.0 vol.% laureite, 1.0 vol.% rustenburgite, and traces of cooperite,
braggite and erlichmanite. All PGM occur adjacent to sulfide aggregates, even where they are entirely enclosed by silicates. Cooperite (PtS) and braggite ((Pt,Pd,Ni)S) both occur as relatively rare but large rotund grains, on average 8.0 ± 6.4 µm and 5.3 ± 4.5 µm, respectively, in size. They are preferentially associated with pentlandite and chalcopyrite. Cooperite and braggite are located typically at the contact between those sulfides and both primary (pyroxene, plagioclase) and secondary silicates (talc, chlorite). Sperrylite with the composition PtAs$_2$ occurs, in contrast to most other PGM, as unusually large, partly cracked or fragmented, rounded to angular grains with a mean ECD of 18.1 ± 16.6 µm. One grain was found to cover an area of 87 × 47 µm. This arsenide shows a strong spatial affinity to chalcopyrite and is never associated with pentlandite or pyrrhotite. Two observed grains with detectable amounts of Pb could be either sperrylite with submicroscopic intergrowths of galena or with some Pb incorporated in the sperrylite crystal structure. Alternatively it could be a sub-variety of palarstanide, (Pd, Pt)$_3$(Sn, As, Pb)$_2$. Laurite, (Ru, Os, Ir)S$_2$, forms a complete solid-solution series with erlichmanite, OsS$_2$, and was observed in minimal quantities almost entirely enclosed by pentlandite in all samples. The mineral is only rarely located at the contact between chalcopyrite and silicates and never associated with pyrrhotite. Laurite grains are small in size (on average 5.3 ± 4.1 µm), rarely euhedral (rhombic) but more commonly of needle-like habit. The few grains of erlichmanite observed are, on average, 2.8 ± 0.7 µm in ECD and located at the chalcopyrite-silicate interface. On the basis of the X-ray spectra, it cannot be determined whether...

Fig. 3. Backscattered electron images of isoferroplatinum (Isfp, white), intergrown with Fe-rich pyrrhotite (Po), exsolutions flames of troilite (Tro) and mosaic-like pentlandite (Pn), in contact with gangue minerals (black). Note the preferred association of isoferroplatinum with pyrrhotite rather than with pentlandite in Fig. 3f.
the mineral of the qualitative composition Pt-Bi-Te is moncheite, (Pt, Pd)(Te, Bi)2, its polymorphous equivalent merenskyite, or maslovite, (Pt, Pd)(Bi, Te). The mean size is 7.3 ± 9.0 μm but in some places large grains up to 150 μm × 10 μm were observed. Kotulskite, Pd(Te, Bi), was noted as 5.2 ± 2.9 µm sized grains. PGE-Bi-tellurides have a typical appearance of elongated, needle- or worm-like grains. In most instances they are intergrown with silicates and/or pentlandite, only rarely with chalcopyrite or pyrrhotite.

Platinum-iron-alloy is, with 387 grains, by far the most abundant PGM. On the basis of its composition, which approximates Pt3Fe, it is most probably isoferroplatinum; an unequivocal identification would require, the determination of the crystal structure (Cabri and Feather, 1975). This mineral usually occurs as euhedral, angular, and in places, ragged grains, on average 7.7 ± 6.1 µm in size. The isoferroplatinum was observed as clusters of over 100 single grains at the edges of sulfide aggregates (Fig. 3c–f). A strong preference to pyrrhotite was noted with as much as 62% of the counted Pt-Fe alloy grains being completely enclosed by pyrrhotite, 10% were found hosted by pentlandite and 7% at pentlandite-pyrrhotite grain boundaries. Only very rarely are the Pt-Fe alloy grains completely surrounded by silicates or intergrown with magnetite. They are never associated with chalcopyrite. Analogous to Fig. 3a, although less apparent due to the low brightness and contrast setting, the pyrrhotite host in proximity to isoferroplatinum shows slightly brighter exsolution lamellae of troilite (best seen in Fig. 3c and d).

In addition, one grain of rustenburgite or atokite, (Pt, Pd)3Sn, with an ECD of 16.9 µm was noted, as well as 14 grains of electrum, (Au,Ag). The grain size (ECD) of electrum was found to be 8.0 ± 19.3 μm (median ECD 2.5 µm). One grain has an exceptional size of 110 μm × 45 μm. In contrast to the PGM, electrum is typically completely enclosed by silicates in sulfide-free domains. In only two cases, AuAg was observed at the chalcopyrite-amphibole/plagioclase interface. Electrum grains, where enclosed by rustenburgite, contain considerable amounts of iridium. Two small grains (ECD of 1.1 µm and 2.0 µm) found in pentlandite, with a composition of (ReOsIrPtFe)(AsS), are probably a polyphase of rheniite (ReS2) and irarsite ((Ir,Pt)AsS).

**Interpretation and discussion**

The mineralogy and texture of the investigated samples from the Rustenburg Mine, with specific

---

**TABLE 1. Representative compositions of sulfides in the Merensky Reef.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfide</th>
<th>S (wt.%)</th>
<th>Fe (wt.%)</th>
<th>Cu (wt.%)</th>
<th>Ni (wt.%)</th>
<th>Co (wt.%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>64.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>99.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>63.47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>99.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>62.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>99.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troilite</td>
<td>36.94</td>
<td>62.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>99.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>38.21</td>
<td>59.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>98.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td>61.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>98.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>62.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>37.82</td>
<td>59.68</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>97.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>31.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>98.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>99.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>35.50</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>99.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>31.98</td>
<td>33.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>33.08</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>99.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentlandite</td>
<td>32.29</td>
<td>31.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>99.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>51.86</td>
<td>43.79</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>98.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>30.93</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>33.57</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td>33.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>33.47</td>
<td>30.49</td>
<td>33.65</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>33.91</td>
<td>30.81</td>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>97.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reference to PGM, are in broad agreement with previous studies (e.g., Vermaak and Hendriks, 1976; Kingston and El-Dosuky, 1982; Viljoen and Hieber, 1986; Kinloch and Peyerl, 1990; Schouwstra et al., 2000; Cawthorn et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004; Godel et al., 2007; Vukmanovic et al., 2013). The sulfide-PGM association is clearly a ubiquitous feature of the Merensky Reef and we take this as evidence that PGE were initially taken up by immiscible sulfide droplets. At least some needle-like inclusions of laurite in pentlandite suggest that these exsolved from the sulfide at quite low temperatures. Establishing the origin of PGE-sulfides, -arsenides and -tellurides, be they high- or low-temperature phenomena, is beyond the scope of this study and has been discussed in numerous other studies (e.g., Brenan and Andrews, 2001; Helmy et al., 2007; Finnigan et al., 2008; Holwell and McDonald, 2010; Helmy et al., 2013, and references therein). Of interest here is the proportion of isoferroplatinum, which was found in this study to be much greater than reported previously. This may be attributed to small-scale variations in modal mineralogy. Similar accumulations of isoferroplatinum hosted by pyrrhotite within the Merensky Reef were also reported by Vermaak and Hendriks (1976), Kinloch (1982), Kingston and El-Dosuky (1982) as well as Godel et al. (2007). Here, we would like to draw the attention, however, to these extraordinary PGM microstructures (Fig. 3c–f).

Pyrrhotite is known to host variable amounts (below 1 ppm up to tens of ppm) of Pt (e.g., Makovicky et al., 1986; Ballhaus and Ulmer, 1995; Ballhaus and Ryan, 1995; Godel et al., 2007; Osbahr et al., 2013), which is explained by the substitution of Pt for Fe-vacancies in the Fe$_{1-x}$S crystal lattice (Ballhaus and Ulmer, 1995). It has also been shown experimentally that the solubility of Pt decreases with increasing Fe/S ratio of the pyrrhotite (Makovicky et al., 1990; Li et al., 1996; Majzlan et al., 2002). Thus, stoichiometric FeS (troilite) is not able to incorporate any PGE (Majzlan et al., 2002; Peregoedova et al., 2004). Consequently, PGE-bearing pyrrhotite of the Merensky Reef could have released PGE in the course of desulfurization. In our opinion, this is reflected well by the observed intergrowths of isoferroplatinum with troilite and Fe-rich pyrrhotite (Fig. 3): pyrrhotite lost S by processes discussed below, thus becoming enriched in Fe and attaining, in places, troilite composition. Platinum, initially hosted by the sulfide, exsolved into discrete phases – in this case a Pt-Fe-alloy.

Some authors (e.g., Hiemstra, 1979; Merkle, 1992; Borisov and Palme 1997; Ballhaus and Sylvester, 2000; Majzlan et al., 2002; Kamnetsky et al., 2015) have suggested, however, that Pt-Fe-alloys can also crystallize directly, prior to sulfide saturation or together with sulfides, chromite or silicates, from a PGE-saturated silicate melt. Such a process is considered unlikely in the case of the samples studied for the following reason. As evident in Fig. 3f, isoferroplatinum has a preferred association with pyrrhotite rather than with pentlandite, even within polyphase sulfide aggregates. Such a co-accumulation of a large proportion of Pt-Fe only with pyrrhotite but not with other sulfides seems hardly explicable by direct Pt-Fe crystallization from a silicate melt. Instead, the observed spatial association is more easily explained by isoferroplatinum having formed after the recrystallization of the monosulfide solid solution to pentlandite and pyrrhotite at temperatures below ~650°C (Holwell and McDonald, 2010).

It has been suggested that S loss affected the Merensky and UG2 reefs. For example, Naldrett and Lehmann (1988), following the observations made by Gain (1985), have shown that non-stoichiometric chromite that crystallizes from a basaltic magma interacted with surrounding sulfides. In this case, Fe is taken up by the chromite in order to fill vacancies and S leaves the system. Although this process has been a popular explanation for the high metal/S ratios in the Merensky and UG2 reefs, it seems unlikely to be of major significance in the samples investigated for two reasons. First, the (isoferroplatinum-) pyrrhotite-troilite intergrowths are never observed in the vicinity of chromite, and second, the process described by Naldrett and Lehmann (1988) should not produce Fe-rich sulfides, as noted in the analysed samples, but Ni- and Cu-rich sulfides.

Another possibility of desulfurization in layered intrusions is the interaction with S-undersaturated fluids (Boudreau, 1988; Peregoedova et al., 2004, 2006; Li and Ripley, 2006; Godel and Barnes, 2008). This notion is favoured and agrees well with our observation of hydrothermal alteration and the isoferroplatinum-pyrrhotite-troilite intergrowths at the edges of sulfide aggregates, as it is probable that alteration and desulfurization predominantly affected the sulfide-silicate grain boundaries. We therefore suggest the following sequence of processes to have led to the observed mineral associations: crystallization of the rocks below the Merensky Unit produced a residual CO$_2$ (and Cl?)-bearing hydrous fluid. The sulfur-deficient fluid...
ascended, perhaps squeezed by tectonics or voluminous magma influxes above, and interacted with the Merensky Reef. The resulting metasomatism led to the replacement of primary magmatic minerals and to the partial desulfurization of (orthomagmatic) PGE-bearing sulfides. As already suggested, this is believed to have triggered the formation of isoferroplatinum and, in some cases, the liberation of PGM from their sulfide host. Similarly to the chromatographic model of Boudreau and Meurer (1999) and Willmore et al. (2000), the fluid could have re-dissolved into the interstitial melt or magma at the top of the cumulate pile – a process that would explain the unaltered character of the hanging wall and the upwards increase in $f_{O_2}$ (implied by troilite and magnetite found in the reef, and pyrite in the hanging wall).

Some studies (Kinloch, 1982; Kinloch and Peyerl, 1990) have assumed that the predominance of Pt-Fe-alloys over Pt-sulfides, such as cooperite/braggite, could be the result of high $f_{O_2}$ and low $f_{S_2}$ within, or in proximity to, potholes and perhaps this might also apply, locally, to the Normal Merensky Reef facies. For various reasons, Ballhaus (1988) and Boudreau (1992) further suggested that potholes represent volatile/fluid escape structures, which were released from the underlying cumulates. Our observations seem to support this hypothesis and conform to previous hypotheses that PGM, especially Pt-Fe-alloys, could also be a subsolidus crystallization product (Ballhaus and Ulmer, 1995).

Conclusions

Detailed petrographic and mineralogical studies of the Rustenburg thin reef facies of the Merensky Reef (Normal Merensky Reef facies) make it possible to draw several conclusions regarding its mineralogy and genesis:

1. A larger than expected quantity of hydrous silicates (serpentine, chlorite, epidote, talc, tremolite) but also fine-grained carbonates and some magnetite exist in the Normal Merensky Reef facies. These alteration minerals tend to replace magmatic minerals (pyroxene, plagioclase, sulfides) in the reef but are absent in the hanging wall.

2. Isoferroplatinum (Pt$_3$Fe) is the dominant PGM, instead of PGE-sulfides, -tellurides and -arsenides, as usually known for this Merensky Reef type. A Pt-Fe-alloy dominated facies is known from (hydrothermal influenced?) potholes and other cross-cutting structures.

3. Troilite (stoichiometric FeS) was found as exsolution lamellae in Fe-rich pyrrhotite (Fe$_{1-x}$S). Troilite is absent in the hanging wall, where pyrite (FeS$_2$) is part of the paragenesis.

4. Polyphase interstitial sulfide aggregates are visibly fragmented and hydrothermally altered, predominantly at their margins. Satellite grains of PGM that were originally hosted by sulfides, are now isolated (i.e. completely enclosed by secondary minerals).

5. Isoferroplatinum is intergrown with troilite and Fe-rich pyrrhotite, always located at the edges of sulfide aggregates and in contact with secondary gangue minerals. Such an assemblage has only been recognized in the most intensely hydrothermally modified domains of our samples. The rare association of Pt-Fe-alloy with magnetite is also noteworthy.

6. Textural evidence suggests that isoferroplatinum formed at relatively low temperatures, i.e. after the transition of a monosulfide solid solution into pentlandite and pyrrhotite.

On the basis of our observations and published data (Kinloch, 1982; Kinloch and Peyerl, 1990; Li et al., 2004; Li and Ripley, 2006; Godel and Barnes, 2008), we conclude that the Merensky Reef has undergone local metasomatism, not only at potholes (fluid escape structures)?, but also away from these zones of disturbance. Sulfur-undersaturated, CO$_2$-bearing hydrous fluids, perhaps released from the underlying, consolidating cumulate pile, probably migrated upwards and led to the observed alteration and partial desulfurization of PGE-bearing sulfides. Another consequence was the formation of troilite, Fe-rich pyrrhotite and some magnetite, as well as the exsolution of isoferroplatinum from an initial Pt-bearing pyrrhotite. Due to the strong spatial association, we suggest that all PGE were taken up originally by an immiscible sulfide melt. This notion is similar to the conclusions drawn by Godel and Barnes (2008) for the J-M Reef (Stillwater Complex), on the basis of isoferroplatinum-pyrrhotite/pentlandite-magnetite intergrowths and the low whole-rock S/Se ratio, which indicates a substantial loss of sulfur.

Overall, our observations emphasize the significance of fluids and hydrothermal alteration, not for the primary PGE-mineralization but for the local reconstitution of the ore minerals into the current PGM mineralogy and textures. Even in areas that were not affected by pothole formation, PGM assemblages and textures should not a priori be regarded as primary and a late-magmatic fluid-induced open system behaviour should be considered. Thus we concur with Li et al. (2004, p.183)
that “the effects of secondary hydrothermal alteration […] in the UG2 and Merensky Reef may be more significant than previously thought and should not be ignored in genetic modelling”.
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