Abstract: Designing photonic topological insulators (PTIs) is highly non-trivial because it requires inversion of band symmetries around the band gap, which was so far done using intuition combined with meticulous trial and error. Here we take a completely different approach: we consider the design of PTIs as an inverse design problem and use topology optimization to maximize the transmission through an edge mode past a sharp bend. Two design domains composed of two different but initially identical $C_6\nu$-symmetric unit cells define the geometrical design problem. Remarkably, the optimization results in a PTI reminiscent of the shrink-and-grow approach to quantum-spin-Hall PTIs but with notable differences in the crystal structure as well as qualitatively different band structures and with significantly improved performance as gauged by the band-gap sizes, which are at least 50% larger than in previous designs. Furthermore, we find a directional $\beta$-factor exceeding 99% and very low losses for sharp bends. Our approach allows the introduction of fabrication limitations by design and opens an avenue towards designing PTIs with hitherto-unexplored symmetry constraints.

Keywords: photonic topological insulators; photonic crystals; top-down design; topology optimization.

1 Introduction

Defects in photonic crystals (PCs), such as cavities or waveguides, enables confining light to subwavelength dimensions [1] and has been employed in a variety of studies of light-matter interaction at the nanoscale [2]. However, PCs are also very sensitive to structural disorder, which results in substantial variations in the resonance frequencies and quality of PC cavities [3] as well as backscattering-induced Anderson localization in PC waveguides [4]; thus disorder is a serious impediment for applications of PCs. Photonic topological insulators (PTIs) provide a fundamentally different approach to confinement, where light is confined as protected edge states between PCs with different band topologies, and such edge modes may be robust against backscattering [5, 6].

While PTIs have been realized in several photonic platforms featuring broken time-reversal symmetry [7–9], which allows unidirectional modes similar to the quantum Hall effect [10], these approaches require materials and geometries incompatible with inorganic semiconductors and planar technology, e.g. III–V materials for active communication devices or solid-state quantum optics and silicon photonics for chip-scale routing and optical interconnects. More recently, time-reversal-symmetric, all-dielectric, PC-based PTI designs for the photonic quantum-spin-Hall effect have been proposed [11], which were later extended to planar PTIs [12] in which a number of chiral quantum optical effects [13] have been demonstrated experimentally [14, 15]. As an alternative approach, breaking parity symmetry leads to valley-Hall PTIs [16, 17].

A key ingredient in PTI design is band inversion, i.e. the symmetry of the photonic bands must be swapped around the photonic band gap in order to induce the topological transition, resulting in the edge state. This is in general a non-trivial task, and therefore remarkably few designs are available in the literature. The starting point for all planar time-reversal-symmetry-protected quantum-spin-Hall PTI designs so far is photonic graphene, which features a doubly degenerate Dirac point, which,
up upon suitable lattice perturbations, may be gapped in a topological non-trivial way. Notably, all dielectric PC-based quantum-spin-Hall PTI designs to date employ the shrink-and-grow strategy first used by Wu and Hu [11]. Here we take an entirely different approach: we consider the design of a PTI as an inverse numerical optimization problem seeking the maximum transmission of edge states between two crystals with $C_6\nu$ symmetry by manipulating the crystal geometry. We solve this problem using density-based topology optimization (TO) [18], an optimization-based design method utilizing adjoint sensitivity analysis [19] and gradient-based optimization algorithms [20] to efficiently solve design problems with potentially billions of degrees of freedom. Density-based TO has previously been successfully applied across numerous areas, including recent optimization and design of complex structures and materials in mechanics [21–24], optics and photonics [25–28], thermo-fluidics [29], and acoustics [30, 31]. Very recently, TO was used to devise new designs of acoustic topological insulators [32], and here we apply this approach for the first time to the design of PTIs. Remarkably, our approach generates topologically non-trivial bands that resemble those obtained from the use of the shrink-and-grow strategy, although these appear spontaneously through the optimization process and not as an explicitly imposed design constraint. While our approach thus qualitatively reproduces concepts and ideas already available in the literature, the resulting unit cells are entirely different with non-trivial geometric features, which turn out to significantly improve figures of merit. The relative band gaps of the two crystal phases are 19% and 6%, we find a directional $\beta$-factor >99%, and the bending losses are extremely small.

2 Model

Designing a PTI can be viewed as a problem of determining the material configuration in two PC phases, which, due to their different Bloch-function symmetries, form a topological edge state at their interface. The starting point of our model is a geometric domain split between two phases in a checkerboard topology, as shown in Figure 1A. In a reciprocal PTI edge mode with spin-momentum locking, light impinging from P1 can scatter only to P2 and P4 but not to P3, as this would require flipping the pseudo-spin, and thus our hypothesis is that by maximizing the transmission to P2 and P4 while minimizing the transmission to P3, the TO will be forced to generate a PTI unless it is able to identify another type of structure capable of achieving the same macroscopic response. As we will show in the following, the design process indeed results in a PTI. We would like to note that we have also investigated other design problem configurations. While the use of the shrink-and-grow strategy, although these

---

Figure 1: Crystal symmetry and design problem configuration. (A) Illustration of the expected transmission for a photonic topological insulator (PTI) in our design-domain topology. Light impinging from P1 reaches a crossing between the different crystal phases; at the crossing, light cannot propagate forward but can only scatter to the left or right. (B) Symmetry constraints for a single unit cell, which in both phases is restricted to $C_6\nu$ symmetry. The designable part of the unit cell is denoted $\Omega_\nu$ (dark grey) and mirrored across the bold black line. The triangular region (light grey $+$ dark grey) is replicated by $60^\circ$ $n$, $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 5\}$ rotations to fill the hexagonal unit cell. (C) The full optimization domain showing the PC phase distribution. Light is impinging on port P1 from an excitation source whose position is indicated with a black dot highlighted by a red circle at port P1, and the intensity at ports P2–P4 is recorded. The target function maximizes the intensity at P2 and P4 while minimizing the intensity at P3. The regions containing the first and second crystal phases are outlined using orange and black, denoted Phase 1 and Phase 2, respectively. A number of subdomains, highlighted using various colours, $\Omega_{\nu}^i$, $i \in \{\text{Max, Min, BG, } j\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are explained in more detail in the main text.
We model the light propagation in the frequency domain
\[
\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(r)) - k_0^2 \mathbf{E}(r) = \mathbf{S}(r), \quad r \in \Omega,
\]
where \( \mathbf{E} \) denotes the electric field, \( k_0 = \frac{2\pi \nu}{c} \) is the free-space wave number with \( \nu \) being the frequency and \( c \) the speed of light in vacuum, \( \epsilon_r \) is the material-dependent relative permittivity, and \( \mathbf{S} \) denotes the excitation source. The model domain \( \Omega \) is truncated using a perfectly matched layer (PML) [34]. In the design process, artificial attenuation is introduced in the subdomain surrounding the hexagonal slab to prevent the \( \mathbf{E} \)-field from propagating around the outside of the slab from P1 to P2–P4. The attenuation is introduced in Eq. (1) through a complex value of the relative permittivity, \( \epsilon_r = 1 + 10i \), in the region surrounding the hexagonal slab.

The problem of designing the geometries for the two PC phases is recast as a continuous optimization problem and solved using TO, as detailed in [32] and references therein. In short, the transmission from P1 to P2 and P4 is sought to be maximized for a number of target frequencies while simultaneously minimizing the transmission from P1 to P3 and ensuring that both PC phases have bulk band gaps at the targeted frequencies. The power flow to P2–P4 as well as inside the bulk of the PC phases for a given excitation \( \mathbf{S}(r) \) and material configuration, \( \epsilon_r(r) \), is estimated by evaluating the spatially normalized integral of the Poynting vector magnitude over \( \Omega \), defined in Eq. (4). The target function, \( \Phi_{\text{Total}} \), as defined in Eq. (2), is maximized by (re)distributing silicon and air in the periodic unit cells of each crystal phase, \( \Omega_{\nu,i}, i \in \{1, 2\} \) (see Figure 1B), while ensuring that constraints enforcing band gaps in the bulk of the PC phases, given in Eq. (3), are satisfied:

\[
\max_{\nu \in (\nu_{\text{Min}} \ldots \nu_{\text{Max}})} \min_{\Omega} \Phi_{\text{Total}}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \Phi_{\text{Max},j}(\xi) - \Phi_{\text{Min},j}(\xi),
\]
\[
\text{s.t. } \Phi_{\nu,i}(\xi) \leq \gamma_i, \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}
\]

\[\text{with } \Phi_i(v, \xi) = r, \int_{\Omega_{\nu,i}} |P(E(v, \xi))| \, \text{d}r / \int_{\Omega_{\nu,i}} \, \text{d}r, \]
\[* \in \{ (\text{Max, } l), (\text{Min, } \text{BG, } j) \}, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.\]

Here \( P \) denotes the Poynting vector and \( \gamma \), a set of scaling constants. The subdomains \( \Omega_{\nu,i} \) are all shown in Figure 1C.

The target function consists of three contributions: \( \Phi_{\text{Max},1} \), \( \Phi_{\text{Max},3} \), measuring the power flow to P2 and P4 (the region \( \Omega_{\text{Max,1}} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{Max,3}} \)), and \( \Phi_{\text{Min}} \), which measures the power flow to P3 (the region \( \Omega_{\text{Min}} \)). The four constraint functions, namely \( \Phi_{\nu,i}(\xi), j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \), are computed by evaluating the power flow in the regions \( \Omega_{\nu,i} \). The choice of the target function in Eq. (2) and the configuration of \( \Omega_{\text{Max},1} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{Max},3} \) ensure that by solving the optimization problem most of the power coupled into the hexagonal slab at P1 will flow to P2 and/or P4 with only minimal power flowing to P3. The inclusion of \( \Phi_{\text{Min},2} \) in the target function ensures that light propagates to the centre of the domain in the initial steps of the optimization but has negligible influence on the final result. The choice of Eq. (3) and \( \Omega_{\nu,i} \) ensures that only a negligible amount of power flows into the bulk of the PC phase, effectively ensuring bulk band gaps in both PC phases at the targeted frequencies.

3 Results

We model a silicon slab perforated with air holes, which is implemented in two dimensions using a reduced effective permittivity of \( \epsilon_{\text{Si}} = 9.61 \) for silicon and \( \epsilon_{\text{air}} = 1 \) for air.

A lattice constant of \( a = 735 \) nm is chosen for the hexagonal unit cells (Figure 1B). The excitation \( \mathbf{S}(x) \) is chosen to be a transverse electric (TE)-polarized point source. The design problem defined by Eqs. (1)–(3) is solved for the two frequencies \( \nu_1 = 184 \) THz and \( \nu_2 = 187 \) THz (approximately corresponding to the wavelengths \( \lambda_4 = 1630 \) nm, \( \lambda_3 = 1604 \) nm) using a min/max approach [18]. The initial material distribution is chosen to be a PC structure with equilateral triangular holes and a band gap in the considered frequency range, inspired by the recent work of Barik et al. [12], see Figure 2A. Note that the starting material configuration includes a smooth transition in the dielectric function around the edges, which is a result of the filtering process used in the TO algorithm, see, e.g. [30].

The material distributions for both PC phases resulting from solving the design problem are shown in Figure 2B. It is noted that the smallest radius of curvature of the air holes in the optimized material distribution is \( 30 \) nm, which is well within the reach of modern nanofabrication technology [14]. The interface between the phases is highlighted in red, and black/white corresponds to silicon/air, respectively. From the figure it is clearly seen that a change in the shape and position of the air holes in the left PC phase has occurred as a result of the design process, while for the right PC phase a qualitative change
has occurred as the air holes of one unit cell have merged with those in the neighbouring cells.

To demonstrate the topological behaviour of the designed structure, Figure 3 shows the max-normalized electric field intensity on a logarithmic scale for excitation at $\nu = 184$ THz ($\lambda = 1630$ nm), for the initial and final material distributions. From Figure 3A, it is clearly seen that the initial PC configuration has a band gap at 184 THz, with the energy dropping several orders of magnitude as the field extends into the crystal. In contrast, for the topological edge state generated by our TO design shown in Figure 3B, most of the electric field entering at P1 propagates to either P2 or P4 while very little energy propagates to P3 ($\approx 1\%$), indicating the successful design of a backscattering-suppressing structure.

Chiral quantum optical effects are pronounced in PTI edge states due to the spin-momentum locking of the quantum-spin-Hall states. This effect is very prominent in our geometry, as shown in Figure 4A, which shows the emission intensity from emitters with opposite chirality (spin), placed at $(x_s, y_s) = (0\ nm, 70\ nm)$ relative to the centre of the unit cell of Phase 1 closest to the interface. By extracting the emitted intensity going left or right for a given emitter chirality, we extract the directional $\beta$-factors for left- and right-circularly polarized emitters as

$$\beta_k = \frac{\int |E|^2 \, d\Omega_L}{\int |E|^2 \, d(\Omega_L \cup \Omega_R)}, \ k \in \{L, R\},$$

with $\Omega_L$ and $\Omega_R$ highlighted in the bottom panel of Figure 4A using green and blue, respectively. We extract $\beta_L = \beta_R > 0.995$, corresponding to a perfectly deterministic directional light-matter interface [13] within the numerical error of our calculations.

As a demonstration of the backscattering-suppressed field propagation past sharp bends offered by the PTI, two additional slab models are constructed. The first model consists of a slab with four $120^\circ$ bends along the interface between the two PC phases; the geometry is shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4B. The second model consists of a straight line interface between the two PC phases identical to the one shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4A. For both models, a point source emitting a TE-polarized field at 184 THz is placed outside the slab on the left-hand side and aligned with the PC phase interface position. The resulting electric field intensities in the slabs are shown in the top and middle panels of Figure 4B. An inset showing a magnified image of the output is included at the top right of both panels, showing qualitatively identical intensity. To obtain a quantitative measure of the loss from traversing the four bends, the integral of $|E|^2$ in the regions, highlighted in blue in the bottom panel of Figure 4A and purple in the bottom panel of Figure 4B, is computed. Thereby, we find that the loss is less than 0.04 dB per bend.

Finally, we have calculated the band structures of both PC phases as well as the dispersion relation of the edge state. The result is presented in Figure 5, where Figure 5A shows a unit cell from each of the two PC phases while Figure 5B shows the associated band structures for the lowest six bands. The left column shows the full band structures, and the right column shows a zoom around the target frequencies with an overlay highlighting the bulk band gaps. From the band structure for Phase 1, it is seen that bands 2 and 3 as well as bands 4 and 5 experience...
a degeneracy at the $\Gamma$-point. For Phase 2, a degeneracy is only observed at the $\Gamma$-point for bands 2 and 3, whereas band 4 has a band gap on both sides. The degeneracies at the $\Gamma$-point form the foundation for the formation of the PTI edge state as the two PC phases are brought into contact. Figure 5C shows the band diagram for the supercell, obtained by imposing periodic boundary conditions on the left/right of the supercell and Neumann boundary conditions on the top/bottom. The introduction of the Neumann boundary conditions leads to a number of artificial standing wave Bloch modes becoming solutions to the eigenvalue problem solved to obtain the band structure. To verify that these modes are indeed artificial Bloch modes, we have calculated the band diagrams for each of the two PTI phases as shown in Figure 5D, and observe that these modes clearly are numerical artefacts stemming from the

Figure 5: Geometries and band structures of PTIs.
(A) The two photonic crystal (PC) phases constituting the photonic topological insulator (PTI). (B) Band structure diagrams for each individual PC phase showing the first six bands. (C) Band structures for the PTI supercell on the right, with the pseudo-spin edge modes highlighted in red and blue and the frequencies targeted in the TO process overlaid as horizontal magenta lines. (D) Band structures for the supercells, on the right, constructed from each PC crystal phase showing the appearance of the artificial standing wave Bloch modes in each phase seen in the PTI supercell band structure in (C).
resonances in each of the two phases. By filtering these artificial modes away, one arrives at a pair of counter-propagating edge modes inside the bulk band gap region, highlighted in Figure 5C using blue and red according to the pseudo-spin associated with each mode. The horizontal purple lines have been added in the diagram to denote the frequencies at which the PTI was designed. The band structure in Figure 5C clearly shows that interface edge states exist in the bulk band gap for the two PC phases. A small band gap is found in the edge states, which is due to the interface itself breaking the $C_{6v}$ symmetry [15]. The PTI has a central frequency of 188.6 THz (203.3 THz) and band gap of 11.3 THz (39.5 THz) for Phase 1 (Phase 2), which corresponds to a relative band gap width of 0.06 (0.19). This is 50% or more larger than the relative band gaps found by Barik et al. [12], which directly shows the power of employing TO to design PTIs.

4 Conclusion

We have used inverse design by TO to generate a PTI structure without imposing any geometrical constraints beyond the $C_{6v}$ symmetry. It is interesting to note that, although our algorithm is set up to maximize the transmission of light through a crystal with two different phases including a sharp bend, a number of interesting features and phenomena emerge as indirect benefits, such as larger band gaps than previous designs, extremely low bending losses, and (within the numerical error) unitary directionality of embedded emitters. None of these was a design constraint but simply emerged as a result of the TO, which is a direct testimony to the power of TO when applied to complex inverse problems. Notably, it would be rather trivial to extend our formulation to, for example, also target a widening of the bandwidth by introducing more target frequencies that are spread further apart; perhaps, even more importantly, our method may be employed to generate entirely new PTI designs based on different crystal symmetries and constraints, which is an exciting prospect for further work. In addition, our method could also be used to explore new designs for non-topological backscattering-resilient waveguides constrained by symmetry [35]. Finally, it would be interesting to explore and optimize the robustness of PTIs against general structural disorder. Here, TO could be a powerful tool towards such a goal, although it requires substantial computational power to be able to carry out statistical averaging over many disorder realizations as an integral part of the TO algorithm [36, 37].
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