Introduction

Radon ($^{222}\text{Rn}$) activity concentrations in thermal waters, consequently also in spas, differ in a rather wide range. In general, the main source of radon in thermal baths is the thermal water, which comes from deep springs, and this can contain high radon concentrations which accumulate in those areas in which therapy is carried out. $^{222}\text{Rn}$ concentrations in some thermal waters can exceed 1000 Bq·m$^{-3}$ [1]. The use of thermal water in the balneotherapy process contributes to radon release from the water into the indoor air of the workplace. Radon concentration can reach high values due to large volumes of water used. In spas, the professional staff are exposed for a longer time than visitors and effective doses they get may vary – from several units to tens mSv per year [2, 3].

Several radon surveys in spas were performed in Europe to assess the indoor radon levels and radon exposure. Radon measurements in thermal waters of Lądek Zdrój in Poland showed values from 122 Bq/l to 1284 Bq/l [4]. Radon concentrations of thermal waters in the spas of Lesvos Island in Greece were found in the range 10 and 304 Bq/l [5]. Radon measurements in the air and thermal water of nine Croatian spas were performed and radon concentrations in the air and water of the swimming pools were obtained in the range of 10.9–109 Bq·m$^{-3}$ and 0.73–18.6 Bq/l, respectively [6]. Radon measurement in five Slovenian spas was carried out. Indoor radon was obtained in the range of 15–279 Bq·m$^{-3}$.
Measurements of indoor radon concentration in air and in water in spas are carried out in Niška Banja in Serbia [8] and in Jáchymov in the Czech Republic [9]. In the Niška Banja spa, the radon concentrations in the air and in water were obtained in the range of 140–2810 Bq·m⁻³ and 24.5–648 Bq/l, respectively [8]. In Jáchymov, the results showed indoor radon concentration up to 8951 Bq·m⁻³ and radon in water was 6725 Bq/l [9].

In Visegrad (V4) countries (Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia), there is a long tradition of using thermal waters in the spa treatment. In the framework of the Visegrad Fund, the project “Radon in thermal waters and radon risk in chosen thermal water spas in V4 countries” has been carried out. The aims of the project were the study of radon concentration in selected thermal waters and thermal spas in V4 countries, to get information about radon seasonal variations and to evaluate the effective dose from radon inhalation for spas-workers. Indoor radon has been measured using passive track detectors RAMARN. The rooms have been chosen for radon measurements: a balneotherapy room, a room with a pool and a physician office. The survey lasted for one year. This paper presents the preliminary results of the survey performed for 3 months, from March to May 2014. Effective dose for staff and visitors will be calculated in further studies.

Results and discussion

In the first period of radon monitoring in spas (from March to May 2014) high differences in measured values of indoor radon activity concentration in the air among V4 countries were found. The frequency distribution of indoor radon air levels in spas is depicted in Fig. 1.

In the majority of investigated rooms (56.4%) the indoor radon concentration was less than 200 Bq·m⁻³. In 27.3% of rooms, radon concentration

### Localities of measurements and methods

Each participant in the project selected several spas in their own country for indoor radon and radon concentration in water monitoring. The survey was performed in three spas in Hungary and the same in Poland, in one spa in Czech Republic and four spas in Slovakia. Totally radon was measured in 11 spas.

### Table 1. Sampling and measuring methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Methods of radon in water measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Water sample is collected into a pre-evacuated glass cell (125 ml) with a rubber stopper using a needle; radon from the glass cell is transferred into a pre-evacuated glass-type Lucas cell (600 ml); Lucas cells measured in scintillator (LUK 1, LUK 4A); counting in equilibrium (more than 3.5 h after the transfer; 400 s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ionization chamber method (ICH): 500 ml of the sample is transferred to the degassing vessel – radon is expelled from the water sample by the AlphaPUMP in a closed gas cycle; radon concentration in air is measured in the ionization chamber of AlphaGUARD PQ2000PRO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Liquid scintillation counting (LSC): The water sample is transferred directly from the source to the 20 ml glass vial with scintillator, using special glass pipette; water sample in the vial with scintillator is closed and mixed for a few minutes to extract radon from water to the scintillator; counting in equilibrium; vials are measured in liquid scintillation counter (30 min).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Radon from the 100 ml sample is transferred by nitrogen (10 min) to a 300A Pylon scintillation cell (270 ml); Pylon AB-5 with a 300A Pylon scintillation cell and Pylon WG1001 degassing system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Before the measurement 7 ml of the water sample is transferred to the degassing vessel by using a burette; quantitative vacuum method is used for the transport of radon from degassing vessel to the Lucas cell (125 ml); Lucas cell (brass cylindrical vessels with the flat glass bottom, produced by EMPOS Praha, CZ); for counting, the chambers are connected with scintillation probe and electronics in CAMAC standard; counting in equilibrium (more than 3.5 h after the transfer).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary results of radon survey in thermal spas in V4 countries varied between 200 and 400 Bq·m⁻³. Indoor radon concentration in 16.4% cases exceeded 400 Bq·m⁻³, what is the reference value of work places recommended in legislation in V4 countries. The highest measured indoor radon activity was approximately 12 000 Bq·m⁻³.

In general, for measuring spas in V4 countries, the highest indoor radon level was observed in the pool areas (Fig. 2). However, relatively increased radon levels were also found in dressing rooms for the spa staff. For example, in one Slovak spa, radon levels were observed 460 and 8100 Bq·m⁻³ in two staff’s rooms. The increased radon activity concentration in the air of this type of rooms can be explained by the age of the buildings and related building construction and ventilation system and conditions, moreover these rooms were often situated nearby the pool areas.

An international intercomparison measurement of radon in water using different instruments and methods was realized in Czech spa [11]. The first intercomparison measurements showed some variability results, although all values fell within three standard deviations. Differences of reported values were probably caused by differences in the primary calibration, but partly too not simultaneously sampling: sampling from different depths below the free water level and from an inhomogeneous distribution of radon in the water in reservoirs. Problems with the tightness of sample bottles were observed. The dispersion of data was larger when samples of running water were taken from the inlet to the bath (13–15%) than in cases, when the samples were taken from the reservoir or from the bath (10–11%).

Water samples for the assessment of radon activity concentration in thermal waters were collected from the pools, baths, water taps, as well as from the water conduit which directly supplies thermal water to the spa. The number of samples depended on the amount of thermal springs used in balneotherapy. In Fig. 3, the number of water samples according to the value of radon activity concentration in water is shown. Totally were collected 43 samples of thermal waters. The number was different from country to country, for Hungary and Poland 2 samples of water for each spa, for Slovakia and Czech Republic 4 samples of water for each spa were collected, but in one Slovak spa 11 samples of water were collected.

Figure 3 depicts a wide range of measured radon activities. The high radon levels are not generally related to a one specific water source. In one case, increased radon (373.1 Bq/l) was found in water collected directly from a borehole; however, in another spa water with similar radon concentration (383.9 Bq/l) was found in a water sample collected from a bath. In drinking water samples, collected from the fountains accessible both for patients and spa visitors, radon concentration ranged between 10 and 104 Bq/l.

Indoor radon activity concentrations in the spas were compared with radon activities measured in water. We were evaluated water samples collected from the taps in baths (Fig. 4a) and water sampled directly from the pools (Fig. 4b), drinking water fountains and springs were not included. The correlation between radon in waters in baths and indoor radon levels was observed ($r = 0.78$).

The less significant influence of radon in pool waters on indoor radon levels was observed ($r = 0.51$), because thermal pool areas were different in size and the amount of water used.

The comparison of radon activity in water samples collected directly from running water from the tap and then from water from the same source in the bath often proved a decrease in radon activity concentration in water taken from the tap. The decrease was caused by the radon release from flowing water. Also the technique how a bath was filled was important. The difference in radon activities was minimal (from 0 to 1%) when the bath was filled by the water inlets on the bottom of the bath, not from water taps. In these cases, radon release from water was negligible. In most cases approximately
from 34 to 69% of radon was released from the water when the bath was filled from water taps and it can significantly contribute to the increased radon levels measured in the pool and bath areas of the spa.

**Conclusion**

The aims of the radon research were to harmonize the measurements of indoor radon in spas in V4 countries and to find workplaces with possible radon risk. The first results indicate the importance of radon monitoring. The distribution of indoor radon levels in selected spas differed among V4 countries. The highest radon activity concentration was found in rooms close to the pools, where also radon levels exceeding 1000 Bq·m⁻³ were measured.

A large interval of radon activities measured in thermal water was observed. In 20.9% of the water samples radon activity concentration higher than 100 Bq/l was found.

The correlation of radon in waters in baths with indoor radon levels was observed. The possible effect of radon activity in the pool water on increased indoor radon levels was ambiguous; also geological settings must be taken into consideration, as well as the construction system of a building. It can be concluded that thermal water utilization in a balneotherapy did not prove a radiological hazard from this therapeutic method in agreement with the results other authors [6, 7].
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