INTRODUCTION

The most susceptible group of patients to tuberculosis are children aged five and younger. Risk of the disease following primary infection without preventive treatment is up to 50% in children younger than 12 months. This proportion gradually declines with age and reaches about 5% at five years (Marais et al., 2004).

Unfortunately, the diagnosis of M. tuberculosis infection using the intracutaneous tuberculin skin test (also known as the Mantoux test) alone is difficult particularly in this more sensitive age group mainly because of previous BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guérin) vaccination. The Mantoux test measures the delayed type hypersensitivity response to intracutaneously introduced purified protein derivative (PPD), which represents a crude mixture of mycobacterial antigens, many of which are shared among M. tuberculosis, M. bovis BCG, and various non-tuberculous mycobacteria. BCG vaccination elicits a local cutaneous delayed type hypersensitivity response to PPD antigens in sensitised individuals and is the major agent contributing to a false positive Mantoux test result. When BCG is given during infancy, its capacity to induce a false positive Mantoux test declines with age.

Most children vaccinated as infants show a non-reactive tuberculin skin test at five years of age, but still can be false positive up to 15 years of age and even in adulthood (Karaliiide et al., 1987; Menzies and Vissandjee, 1992; Khan and Starke, 1995; Bozaykut et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002). The BCG effect on boosting of a repeated Mantoux test is particularly relevant in follow-up children who have had contact with an ATB patient (Wang et al., 2002).

Until recently, the Mantoux test was the only method for detection of M. tuberculosis infection. However, the drawbacks of test are well known (low specificity in BCG vaccinated population, low sensitivity particularly in immunosuppressed patients, errors in measuring, booster phenomenon) (Pai, 2005; Richeldi, 2006), but the decision for preventive treatment was based on this only available test. As the result, many children received unnecessary isoniazid preventive treatment, while others were not treated and had developed active tuberculosis.
available in all children, and particularly in those aged five and younger who have had contact with active tuberculosis patients.

Recent advances in *M. tuberculosis* genomics have identified a genomic segment, RD1 (region of difference 1), that is present in the *M. tuberculosis* complex, but absent from all strains of *M. bovis* BCG and almost all environmental mycobacteria (Mahairas et al., 1996). Early secretory antigenic target-6 (ESAT-6) and culture filtrate protein 10 (CFP-10) are secreted proteins encoded by RD1, and thus, specific for *M. tuberculosis*. These proteins are major targets for interferon-gamma (IFN-\(\gamma\)) secreting T-cells in *M. tuberculosis*-infected individuals. Activated blood effector T-cells (CD4 and CD8) produce a cytokine IFN-\(\gamma\) in response to previously encountered *M. tuberculosis* specific antigens.

Blood T-cell based IFN-\(\gamma\) release assays (TIGRAs) have been developed as an alternative approach to the tuberculin skin test for detection of *M. tuberculosis* infection. TIGRAs are based on the ex \(vivo\) detection of IFN-\(\gamma\) released from presensitised *M. tuberculosis*-specific T-cells in response to two immunodominant *M. tuberculosis* secreted proteins: ESAT-6 and CFP-10 (Lalvani et al., 2002; Lalvani, 2003; Richeldi, 2006).

Currently three commercial blood T-cell based assays are available: QuantiFERON-TB Gold, QuantiFERON-TB in-tube, which use the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) platform, and Lalvani ELISpot, which now is commercially available as the T-SPOT.TB (Oxford Immunotec Limited). The T-SPOT.TB test is based on enzyme-linked immunospot assay technology for the detection of T-cells producing IFN-\(\gamma\) in response to stimulation by *M. tuberculosis* specific antigens ESAT-6 and CFP-10. Current evidence suggests very high, even up to 100% diagnostic specificity of new blood TIGRAs for diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* infection, because they are not confounded by prior BCG vaccination (Brock et al., 2004; Ferrara et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006; Richeldi, 2006). The sensitivity of blood tests is also higher than the Mantoux test, and for the ELISpot test sensitivity has been reported ranging from 83 to 97% (Pathan et al., 2001; Liebeschuetz et al., 2004; Meier et al., 2005; Ferrara et al., 2006; Richeldi, 2006).

The aim of the study was to compare the performance of the Mantoux test and T-SPOT.TB test for diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* infection (active and latent) in immunocompetent BCG vaccinated children aged five and younger, and to develop recommendations for use of the T-SPOT.TB test in Latvia in this age group.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population.** This prospective cross-sectional study was performed during February 2006 and December 2007 at the Paediatric Department of State Agency of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (SATLD). SATLD serves the coun-

The study group comprised:

- all consecutive predefined children admitted into the SATLD because of suspected *M. tuberculosis* infection (active and latent);
- all consecutive outpatient predefined children from Riga and Riga district undergoing evaluation because of suspected *M. tuberculosis* infection (active and latent).

The ethics committee of the SATLD approved the study. Written consent for each patient was given by caregivers.

Sampling was stratified in two groups:

- the study group included 121 BCG-vaccinated children aged five and younger having close contact with an active tuberculosis patient (ATBP);
- the control group was composed of 64 BCG-vaccinated children aged five and younger without known contact with ATBP. They were checked for tuberculosis because of a positive Mantoux test and/or clinical suspects on tuberculosis.

Each child was examined clinically, radiologically, using the Mantoux test and T-SPOT.TB test. Inpatient children were also examined bacteriologically.

**Procedures.** The Mantoux test was performed by intracutaneous injection of 2 T.U. Tuberculin PPD RT 23 SSI. The resulting induration was measured after 72 hours and recorded in millimetre. Injection and reading of the test was carried out by trained staff inpatient and outpatient. In 174 patients the median time lag between the performance of two tests was 15.9 (0 – 90) days. All except seven were tested with Mantoux test before T-SPOT.TB test. Eleven patients of study group were tested with the Mantoux test 3 – 6 months before the T-SPOT.TB test. To avoid a boosting effect, the Mantoux test was not repeated before the T-SPOT.TB test.

Accordingly to guidelines for determining a positive tuberculin skin test reaction in recent contacts of tuberculosis case, induration 5 ≥ mm was defined as a positive test result (Anonymous, 2000).

The T-SPOT.TB test was performed in compliance with the protocol provided by the manufacturer Oxford Immunotec (Anonymous, 2006a). A sample of 4 ml venous blood was drawn from each patient. Within 1 – 4 hours after sample collection, the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated by centrifugation and seeded (2.5 × 10^5 PBMCs per well) on a plate precoated with antibody against IFN-\(\gamma\). Four wells were used for each sample: a nil control well contained the cell suspension in medium alone to test for non-specific cytokine secretion; positive control well was stimulated with phytohaemagglutinin to test for
PBMCs viability; panel A well contained peptides encompassing the antigen ESAT-6, panel B well contained peptides encompassing the antigen CFP-10. The wells were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% carbon dioxide for 16 – 20 hours. After incubation wells were washed and incubated with second conjugated antibody directed to a different epitope on the IFN-γ molecule compared to the antibody precoating the plate. Spot forming cells (SFC) were counted in each well using a magnifying glass. The result was scored as positive if panel A and/or panel B wells contained at least 5 SFC or more ≥ 2 times SFC compared with the negative control well. Test results were available after 24 hours.

T-SPOT.TB tests were carried out within a week of presentation at the hospital before any antituberculosis therapy was commenced.

Definitions. Close contact with an active lung tuberculosis patient according to the WHO was defined as child living in the same household as a source case or in frequent contact with a source case (Anonymous, 2006b).

Active lung tuberculosis patient was defined as a bacteriologically confirmed (smear and/or culture positive) lung tuberculosis patient, or a person whose diagnosis of active lung tuberculosis was based on clinical case definition or diagnosed on autopsy.

The statistical analysis was performed using the χ² test. A Yates corrected P value 0.05 was considered as significant. Odds ratios with 95% confidence interval were calculated (EpiInfo version 6, Statcalc).

RESULTS

In total, 185 BCG-vaccinated children aged five and younger were included in the investigation. BCG vaccination was documented in all 185 patients, scar or papula (in infants) was visible in 104 (86%) of study group and in 54 (84%) of control group patients.

The T-SPOT.TB test was positive in 66 (54.5%) of the study group and only in 2 (3.1%) of control group patients (P < 0.01; χ²=45.43; OR = 37.2 with 95% CI 8.4 – 159.0) (Table 1). One of the control group patients having a positive T-SPOT.TB test was a three-year-old boy diagnosed with tuberculosis pleurisy. While the tuberculosis was not confirmed bacteriologically and histologically, there was a little doubt about diagnosis, because the child had intrathoracic adenopathy associated with pleural collection, not resolving on non-specific antibacterial treatment and Mantoux test conversion during the last year. The other five-year-old control group patient having a positive T-SPOT.TB test was diagnosed with latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI). He had a Mantoux test conversion from 0 to 22 mm of induration within last year.

Significantly more positive T-SPOT.TB tests occurred in children, whose infectious source cases were sputum smear and culture positive for *M. tuberculosis* compared with those whose infectious source cases were only sputum culture positive (P < 0.05; χ²=5.44; OR = 3.46 with 95% CI 1.2 – 10.4) (Table 2).

Most T-SPOT.TB-positive children also had a positive Mantoux test — induration ≥ 5 mm in 65 (95.6%) children and induration ≥ 10 mm in 62 (91.1%) children (Table 1). Only three patients had a positive T-SPOT.TB and negative Mantoux test (Table 3). The Mantoux test (3 mm of induration) was performed for one of them within a week of diagnosing ATB in an infectious source case. The T-SPOT.TB test was performed three months later. The explanation for the negative Mantoux test might have been the short time for test conversion. Another patient had the Mantoux and T-SPOT.TB tests performed 17 months after diagnosis of ATB in an infectious source case. The Mantoux test induration was 3 mm, despite a positive T-SPOT.TB test. The third child was given a T-SPOT.TB test 2.5 months after the Mantoux test. His infectious source case was clinically healthy, diagnosed with TB by prophylactic X-ray screening.
In the study group patients the time lag in between diagnosis of ATB in a source case and performing the T-SPOT.TB test varied from 1 week to 17 months.

Distribution of diagnoses in patients of the study group is shown in Figure 1. Asymptomatic children having normal radiology and a negative T-SPOT.TB test result were assumed as not infected with *M. tuberculosis* despite a positive Mantoux test, and were diagnosed with exposure. Asymptomatic children with normal radiology having a positive T-SPOT.TB test result were assumed as infected with *M. tuberculosis* and were diagnosed with LTBI. Nine patients of 47 (19%) having radiological findings consistent with ATB were diagnosed with active tuberculosis, despite a negative T-SPOT.TB test result. Detailed analysis of those nine patients diagnosed with ATB is demonstrated in Table 4. In 8 of 9 patients diagnosed with ATB, despite a negative initial T-SPOT.TB test, follow-up with T-SPOT.TB was available on a non-regular basis, which showed positive conversion in three children after some period of treatment.

Distribution of diagnoses in patients of the study group is shown in Figure 1. Asymptomatic children having normal radiology and a negative T-SPOT.TB test result were assumed as not infected with *M. tuberculosis* despite a positive Mantoux test, and were diagnosed with exposure. Asymptomatic children with normal radiology having a positive T-SPOT.TB test result were assumed as infected with *M. tuberculosis* and were diagnosed with LTBI. Nine patients of 47 (19%) having radiological findings consistent with ATB were diagnosed with active tuberculosis, despite a negative T-SPOT.TB test result. Detailed analysis of those nine patients diagnosed with ATB is demonstrated in Table 4. In 8 of 9 patients diagnosed with ATB, despite a negative initial T-SPOT.TB test, follow-up with T-SPOT.TB was available on a non-regular basis, which showed positive conversion in three children after some period of treatment.

Additionally, 75 (62%) of 121 study group children and 2 (3.1%) of 64 control group children were diagnosed with *M. tuberculosis* infection (ATB and LTBI).

The proportion of children with a positive Mantoux test was significantly higher in the T-SPOT.TB test positive group (P < 0.01; $\chi^2 = 41.21$; OR 23.60, 95% CI 7.0 – 79.4), the Mantoux test was positive in 32 (58.2%) of the study group and 24 (38.7%) of control group patients who also had a negative T-SPOT.TB test result (Table 1). For children having a negative T-SPOT.TB test with a positive Mantoux test, boosting of the Mantoux test was observed in 21 (66%) of 32 children. These 32 children had received repeated Mantoux testing before being included in the study (in the control group 16 children were repeatedly tested, boosting occurred in eight cases; in the study group 16 children received repeated testing, boosting occurred in 13).

**DISCUSSION**

We have compared in routine clinical practise the performance of two immunological methods — Mantoux test and T-SPOT.TB test.

![Fig. 1. Diagnoses in patients of the study group (n = 121)](image-url)
CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS OF THE STUDY GROUP HAVING A NEGATIVE T-SPOT.TB RESULT DIAGNOSED WITH ACTIVE TUBERCULOSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mantoux test (size of induration in mm)</th>
<th>Characteristics of sputa of infectious source cases</th>
<th>Chest X-ray and CT findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Smear + / culture +</td>
<td>Right sided hilar adenopathy and infiltrative shadow in right 6th sg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Smear + / culture + MDR TB case</td>
<td>Deformed left hilus, nodular shadows in right 3rd and 10th sg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 9 months</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Smear + / culture +</td>
<td>Calciﬁed right bronchopulmonary and subcarinal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** 13 months</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Smear + / culture +</td>
<td>Inﬁltrative shadow in right 3rd and 4th sg, nodular shadows in right 10th and lingular sg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 months</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Smear + / culture + MDR TB case</td>
<td>Calciﬁed subcarinal and both sided bronchopulmonary lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*** 24 months</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Smear + / culture +</td>
<td>Nodular shadow in left 10th sg, calciﬁed bronchopulmonary lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 months</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Smear + / culture +</td>
<td>Nodular shadows in right 6th sg and ribbon-shaped shadows in the middle lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Smear - / culture +</td>
<td>Inﬁltrative shadow in right 6th sg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Smear - / culture +</td>
<td>Inﬁltrative shadows in right 2nd and 6th sg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The second T-SPOT.TB test became positive after 4 months of treatment
** The second T-SPOT.TB test became positive after 7 months of treatment
*** Repeated T-SPOT.TB test was negative after 2 months of treatment, but positive after 6 months of treatment

T-SPOT. TB test for diagnosis of M. tuberculosis infection in immunocompetent BCG-vaccinated children aged five and younger, who represent our general population in this age group. Over 99% of children have received BCG vaccination by the age of one year, and immunosuppressive diseases including HIV infection are rare in children in Latvia. Combining the results of Mantoux test, T-SPOT.TB test and radiological examination, active tuberculosis and latent tuberculosis infection was diagnosed in 75 (62%) children having close contact with an adult tuberculosis patient, while only 2 (3.1%) children were detected with M. tuberculosis infection in the control group without contact history (Table 1). More T-SPOT.TB positive tests occurred in the children whose infectious source cases were sputum smear and culture positive for M. tuberculosis, comparing with those whose source cases were only sputum culture positive (Table 2). These results are consistent with many previous studies, which found a significant correlation between frequency of T-SPOT.TB test positive result and history of exposure (Ewer et al., 2003; Richeldi et al., 2004; Zellweger et al., 2005).

Negative T-SPOT.TB test results for BCG-vaccinated unexposed subjects demonstrate its high specificity up to 100%, i.e. the results are expected to be negative (Lalvani et al., 2001; Pathan et al., 2001; Chapman et al., 2002; Liebeschuetz et al., 2004). In our study the specificity of T-SPOT.TB test was 96.9% in BCG vaccinated unexposed controls (T-SPOT.TB was positive in 2 out of 64 controls, Table 1). This lower specificity can be explained by the epidemiological situation in Latvia — the total tuberculosis incidence rate was 49.7 per 100,000 population in 2006, and exposure due to social contacts by unidentified ATB patients can occur. Application of the T-SPOT.TB test also demonstrated 39% (24 of 62, Table 1) false positive Mantoux test results in unexposed BCG-vaccinated controls. Our study showed that there is no need to use the T-SPOT.TB test on a regular basis in Mantoux test positive BCG-vaccinated unexposed children.

In our study the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection was based on a positive T-SPOT.TB test in asymptomatic patients after exclusion of active tuberculosis radiologically. However, the “gold standard” for diagnosis of M. tuberculosis infection has not yet been defined. It seems reasonable to consider the exposed child having a positive T-SPOT.TB test as infected, as this test detects recently activated lymphocytes with immediate effector function driven by M. tuberculosis specific antigens, and these children are candidates for preventive treatment. Moreover, only three (4.5%) of 66 exposed children with a positive T-SPOT.TB test had a negative Mantoux test, which indirectly may confirm the unlikely false positive T-SPOT.TB test results.

It is difficult to interpret the 55 study group children with a negative T-SPOT.TB test result (Table 1). Reliable exclusion of infection in all exposed children having a negative T-SPOT.TB is not possible in this group due to several reasons. One problem is “window period”, when T cells become specifically activated after infection. The estimated interval between infection and detectable test reactivity of the Mantoux test is 2 to 10 weeks (Morantz, 2006). The “window period” is not precisely established for the T-SPOT.TB test, but is commonly accepted to be the same as...
for Mantoux method. Unfortunately, neither the start of the infectiousness of the source case, nor time-point of establishment of infection in recipient can be accurately detected. On the basis on expert opinion, the beginning of the infectious period is estimated as three months before positive findings consistent with tuberculosis in clinically symptomatic sputum smear positive and negative patients, and one month in clinically healthy without cavitary disease sputum smear negative patients (Anonymous, 2005).

The time lag between diagnosis of ATB in a source case and performing of the T-SPOT.TB in our children varied from one week to 17 months. One of the limitations of our study was that the data were analysed without taking into consideration the time lag between diagnosis of tuberculosis in an infectious source case and performance of the T-SPOT.TB test in child. It can be assumed that some patients were tested with T-SPOT.TB test too early, during the “window period”, which can explain the “false” negative result. Some patients, particularly those having a negative Mantoux result, were likely not infected with tuberculosis. Nine of 55 exposed children were diagnosed with active tuberculosis despite a negative T-SPOT.TB test (Table 4). All of them had close contact to infectious source cases, seven tested positive with Mantoux test, one was culture positive for M. tuberculosis, and taking into consideration radiological findings, active tuberculosis could not be excluded at the time of diagnosis. All nine children improved radiologically on antituberculosis treatment. Eight of them were followed up with a T-SPOT.TB test on a non-regular basis, and the T-SPOT.TB test switched to positive after some period of treatment in three children (one of them bacteriologically confirmed). M. tuberculosis infection is a prerequisite for developing active tuberculosis, and immunological tests should assist for diagnosis confirming infection with M. tuberculosis. The sensitivity of ELISpot was 83% in South African children with high prevalence of HIV coinfection and malnutrition (Liebeshuetz et al., 2004). In our study 81% of active tuberculosis patients without impaired immunity were T-SPOT.TB test positive before treatment, and 87% were positive after some period of treatment. Studies have shown that M. tuberculosis-infected subjects with lower antigen loads have higher levels of ESAT-6-specific T cells, and frequencies of ESAT-6-specific CD4 T cells decay progressively with treatment (Pathan et al., 2001; Ewer et al., 2006). None of our T-SPOT.TB test negative ATB patients had advanced disease, and perhaps the antigen load was not high before treatment.

It is unclear, why the sensitivity of the first blood test was low in our study, but it is clear that a negative T-SPOT.TB test result in exposed children has to be interpreted in context with other examination results and does not reliably exclude infection with M. tuberculosis and particularly active tuberculosis. Hill et al (2006) compared ELISpot to the Mantoux test in healthy household contact children between six months and 14 years of age in a tuberculosis-endemic tropical setting (in Gambia). The authors concluded that the ELISpot assay using M. tuberculosis-specific antigens was not as sensitive for the diagnosis of M. tuberculosis infection from recent exposure as the tuberculin skin test and offered no added benefit with respect to the confounding effect of BCG vaccination. The time interval between diagnosis of tuberculosis in infectious source cases and performance of the first T-SPOT.TB tests in the three patients who became positive after treatment, varied from one week to six months. In all three cases the infectious sources were symptomatic for a long time before diagnosis of ATB. Based on our raised ideas, those three children should have converted their blood tests until initial testing and diagnosis.

Giving the results of our study, the reliability of the T-SPOT.TB test for exclusion of M. tuberculosis infection in exposed young children is unclear. On the one hand, IFN-γ production detected by ELISpot, except in the first weeks of life, is not age-dependent, probably, because of ELISpot’s high analytical sensitivity, which enables it to detect even low numbers of IFN-γ — secreting T cells (Lalvani and Millington, 2007). On the other hand, little is known about performance of TIGRAs tests in children aged five and younger. More studies are necessary to identify the optimal interval between the last time of exposure and test performance for reliable results to confirm or to exclude infection with M. tuberculosis.

Based on the study results we concluded that T-SPOT.TB test offers an excellent opportunity for confirmation of M. tuberculosis infection in exposed BCG vaccinated children, and for exclusion of M. tuberculosis infection in unexposed BCG vaccinated children as well. Simultaneous applying of T-SPOT.TB and Mantoux tests also showed boosting and false positive Mantoux test results in BCG vaccinated children. In immunocompetent BCG vaccinated children aged five years of younger, the T-SPOT.TB test has to be used in primary contact children evaluation, particularly those having induration of Mantoux test ≥ 10 mm, and for follow-up contact children whose primary tests did not confirm infection with M. tuberculosis.
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T-SPOT.TB UN MANTOUX TESTI M.tuberculosis INFEKCIJAS DIAGNOSTIKĀ BCG VAKCINĒTIEM BĒRNĪM LĪDZ PIECU GADU VECUMAM

Izmainoto intrakutāno Mantoux testu, M. tuberculosis (MT) infekcijas diagnostika BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin) vakcinētiem bērniem līdz piecu gadu vecumam ne vienmēr ir iespējama, jo pozitīvs Mantoux tests var būt gan inficēšanās ar MT, gan iepriekšējās BCG vakcīnācijas rezultāts. Alternatīvā "ex vivo" T-SPOT.TB metode MT infekcijas diagnostiskā pamata uz interferona-γ izdalošo T ārnu skaita noteikšanu pēc to inkubācijas ar MT specifiskajiem antigeniem – ESAT-6 un CFP-10, līdz ar to BCG vakcīnācijā rezultātu neiespējama. Pētījuma mērķis bija novērtēt, kuru BCG vakcinētu bērnu līdz piecu gadu vecumam izmeklēšanā bez Mantoux metodes papildus un nepieciešams pielietot T-SPOT.TB testu: bērniem, kuriem ir zināms kontakts ar aktīvās tuberkulozes (ATB) slimnieku, vai bērniem, kuriem nav zināms kontakts ar ATB slimnieku. Kopā prospektīvā skersgrupu pētījuma tika iekļauti 185 bērni, kuru izmeklēšanā tika izmantoti Mantoux un T-SPOT.TB testi: no tiem 121 pētāmā grupa (ar zināmu kontaktu ar ATB slimnieku), bet 64 kontroles grupa (bez zināma kontakta ar ATB slimnieku). T-SPOT.TB tests bija pozitīvs 66 (54,4%) pētāmas grupas un 2 (3,1%) kontroles grupas bērniem (P < 0,01). Mantoux tests indurācijā ≥ 10 mm bija 62 (91%) no 68 T-SPOT.TB testa pozitīvajiem bērniem, un 34 (29,1%) no 117 T-SPOT.TB testa negatīvajiem bērniem (P < 0,01). Mantoux testa indurācijas palielināšanās tika konstatēta tien 21 (66%) no 32 bērniem, kuriem šīs tests bija izdārīts attālākā pirms iekļaušanas pētījumā. Pētījuma rezultāti pamato T-SPOT.TB testa pielietošanas lieetēri MT infekcijas diagnostikā, primāri izmeklējot bērnu pēc kontakta ar ATB slimnieku, kā arī to kontakta bērnu turpmākajā novērošanā, kura primārās izmeklēšanas rezultāti bijuši neinformēti MT infekcijas diagnostikai.