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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery may be indicated when certain anatomic variations impede the normal drainage of the paranasal sinuses through the ostiomeatal complex. We aimed at studying the drainage system of the maxillary sinus which consists of the maxillary infundibulum, the main ostium of the maxillary sinus, the ethmoidal infundibulum and the hiatus semilunaris inferior.

MATERIAL AND METHODS. The study was performed retrospectively on cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans of 60 subjects (N=120 maxillary sinuses). The anatomical pattern of the maxillary sinus drainage was studied on coronal scans.

RESULTS. As related to different morphological possibilities in the supero-lateral limit of the maxillary sinus drainage system, five different patterns were defined: in type I (55%) there was no pneumatization in that situs, in type II (18%) there was an infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus placed above the sinus ostium, in type III (14%) an ethmoidal recess of the maxillary sinus was expanded within the ethmoid bone, above the ethmoidal infundibulum, in type IV (3%) there were Haller cells above the sinus ostium, while in type V (10%) there were non-infraorbital ethmoid air cells above and draining into the ethmoidal infundibulum.

CONCLUSION. It appears that CBCT is a reliable tool to make an anatomical distinction of the variable pattern of pneumatization impeding a normal drainage of the maxillary sinus, between maxillary sinus- and ethmoid-derived air-filled spaces.
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INTRODUCTION

Functional endoscopic sinus surgery is based on the hypothesis that the ostiomeatal complex is the key area in the pathogenesis of chronic sinusitis¹. Certain anatomic variants are considered as determinants of sinus disease, such as the concha bullosa, agger nasi cell, variations of the uncinate process, Haller cells, paradoxical middle turbinate or posterior nasal septal deviations²,³. However, excepting the Haller cells, these structures are not direct anatomical constituents of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Failure in endoscopic sinus surgery is determined by residual air cells and adhesions in the ethmoid area, or by the maxillary or frontal sinus ostium stenosis⁴.

In this regard, a sound anatomical knowledge of the ostiomeatal complex and of the main drainage system of the maxillary sinus is imperative for rhinologists. We aimed at studying the possibilities of anatomic variation at that level, strictly related to the drainage pathway from the maxillary sinus to the middle nasal meatus, thus excluding more distanced variations, such as those of the middle turbinate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) study was performed retrospectively on a randomized lot of 60 subjects which were scanned for various dental procedures. The subjects were scanned using a CBCT ma-
chine – iCat (Imaging Sciences International), and the CT data were analysed using the iCatVision software and the application 3DVR v5.0.0.3, for the three-dimensional reconstructions, the specific protocol being previously described. The different anatomical patterns were studied on bidimensional multiplanar reconstructions (MPRs) in the axial, coronal and sagittal planes.

RESULTS

We assessed on coronal sections the anatomic structures which ensure the main drainage of the maxillary sinus: the maxillary infundibulum, the main ostium of the maxillary sinus, the ethmoidal infundibulum and the hiatus semilunaris inferior (Figure 1). These are represented schematically in Figure 2.

We observed variable pneumatizations located in the supero-lateral wall of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus, and we classified them (Figure 3) as follows: (a) type I: absence of any pneumatization (Figure 4); (b) type II: pneumatization represented by an infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus, placed internally to the infraorbital canal and expanding medially, eventually, as prelacrimal, or retrolacrimal recess, that means anterior or posterior, respectively, to the nasolacrimal canal (Figure 5); (c) type III: pneumatization expanding from the maxillary sinus, not in the orbit floor as in type II, but within the ethmoid (Figure 6), in the medial wall of the orbit (maxillo-ethmoidal or ethmoidal recess) and posterior to the nasolacrimal canal; (d) type IV: Haller cell (infraorbital ethmoid air cell) (Figure 5, Figure 7); (e) type V: non-Haller ethmoid air cell placed supero-lateral to the drainage system of the maxillary sinus and draining into the ethmoidal infundibulum (Figure 8).
Figure 4 Coronal MPR, inverted image. Lack of pneumatization (type I) of the supero-lateral limit of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Figure 5 Coronal MPR, inverted image. Types II (right side) and IV (left side) of pneumatization of the supero-lateral limit of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Figure 6 Coronal MPR, inverted image. Type III of pneumatization of the supero-lateral limit of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Figure 7 Coronal MPR, inverted image. Type IV of pneumatization of the supero-lateral limit of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Figure 8 Coronal MPR, inverted image. Type V of pneumatization of the supero-lateral limit of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus.

Figure 9 Prevalence of pneumatization types (N=120)
From the total of 120 maxillary sinuses evaluated, 66 (55%) had no pneumatization in the supero-lateral border of the drainage system of the maxillary sinus (type I). In 22 sinuses (18%) we found a type II pattern of pneumatization. In 16 (14%) we observed a type III, in 4 (3%) a type IV and in 12 (10%) cases we observed a type V (Figure 9). Analysis of the type’s distribution with gender (Figure 10) showed that in males the types I and III were more frequent, while in females the type I was the most frequent. In 60 sinuses we found a bilateral symmetry of type I of pneumatization (Figure 11).

DISCUSSIONS

The ostium of the maxillary sinus is located on the highest part of the medial wall of the sinus, thus being poorly placed from the point of view of free drainage. The drainage is further restricted, as the sinus does not open directly within the middle nasal meatus, but through the ethmoidal infundibulum and the hiatus semilunaris inferior. While the hiatus semilunaris inferior is located between the uncinate process and the ethmoidal bulla, the hiatus semilunaris superior is located between the ethmoidal bulla and the middle turbinate, communicating with the suprabullar and retrobular recesses, respectively. Additional restrictions of the maxillary sinus drainage are determined by intimately related pneumatizations which occur in types II-V we described here.

The maxillary sinus extensions are the palatine, infraorbital, alveolar, frontal, conchal and zygomatic recesses. Haller cells are ethmoid air cells displaced into the orbit floor, which play a well-stated role in the pathogenesis of rhinosinusitis. However, the theory of mechanical obstruction by Haller cells is disputed. Although it is well established that Haller cells impede
the maxillary sinus drainage, less attention was paid to the supero-medial extensions of the maxillary sinus we found here (types II and III). First, the infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus is placed in the anatomical situs of a Haller cell, though it does not communicate with the middle meatus, but with the maxillary sinus. Second, the ethmoidal recess of the maxillary sinus, which is not infraorbital as it is not located in the orbit floor, nor is an ethmoid air cell, communicates with the maxillary sinus. Care should be also taken when diagnosing a Haller cell which is the most inferior infraorbital ethmoid air cell near the maxillary sinus ostium. So, inferior but not infraorbital ethmoid air cells we found in type V are not Haller cells and are closely related to the ethmoidal infundibulum, and not to the maxillary sinus ostium. In CBCT, a Haller cell and an infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus have similar appearances on coronal MPRs; distinction between these should be made on sagittal MPRs, the sinus recesses opening into the sinus, while the ethmoid air cells drain in the ethmoidal infundibulum, or directly in the middle meatus (Figure 12). The infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus should be viewed as a false Haller cell. In this regard, studies evaluating the prevalence of Haller cells, without excluding the false ones, should be considered with caution.

**Figure 12** True (black connector) and false (white connector) Haller cells are distinguished on axial (A), sagittal (B) and coronal (C) MPRs. The false Haller cell proved being in fact an infraorbital recess of the left maxillary sinus (B). The true Haller cell is opened (A) in the middle meatus. On coronal MPR (C) the Haller cell and the infraorbital recess of the maxillary sinus appear similar.
CONCLUSIONS

Cone Beam Computed Tomography is a reliable tool to evaluate on a case-by-case basis the drainage system of the maxillary sinus which, in turn, is subjected to individual anatomic variation. Such anatomic variations override the definitions in the Vesalian anatomy, as previously discussed17-20.
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