Erythrodermic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: Two case reports
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Abstract
Primary cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCLs) are Non-Hodgkin lymphomas where skin may be the only involved organ for a long time. The erythrodermic form of CTCL, including Sezary syndrome, with increased IgE concentration, eosinophilia and intense pruritus, may suggest atopic dermatitis, in the lack of evidence for diagnosis of T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder. After vigorous research, two patients with erythroderma, increased IgE and eosinophilia, were not diagnosed with CTCL. Adult atopic dermatitis was diagnosed, based on clinical examination and histopathologic analysis of the skin sample. Therapy with oral cyclosporin A (CsA) and systemic corticosteroids were initiated, but the improvement was minimal and short-lived. Disease progression was noted in both patients, after a month of cyclosporine therapy: malaise, subfebrile and febrile temperatures, and development of generalized skin nodules were evident. In the first patient (aged 30) repeated examinations confirmed presence of Sezary cells in peripheral blood samples, dominant T-cell clone in the skin, peripheral blood and bone marrow, whereas the last repeated histopathologic analysis revealed T-lymphoproliferative skin disorder. In the second patient, (aged 44) primary cutaneous CD30+ T-cell lymphoma was diagnosed, based on histopathologic analysis of the newly appearing skin nodule. Differential diagnosis of erythroderma is always difficult, since clinical, histopathologic and immunophenotypic findings are frequently insufficient to differentiate between inflammatory and lymphomatous erythroderma. Treatment with cyclosporin A always demands careful evaluation of the course of the disorder.
generalized erythematolivid nodules, with more intense erythroderma. Repeated peripheral blood smear revealed younger lymphatic cells (5% were suspicious for Sezary cells). In the peripheral blood and skin, a dominant T-cell clone was detected by T-cell receptor-γ gene rearrangement analysis. A dominant T-cell clone was also detected in the bone marrow. CD4/CD8 ratio was increased to 7.8. Chest and abdominal multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) revealed axillary lymphadenopathy up to 3.5 cm in diameter, while chest and retroperitoneal lymph nodes were not enlarged. Repeated skin biopsy was performed, and histopathological analysis was consistent with T-lymphoproliferative disorder - Sezary syndrome (Figure 2.). Based on repeated hemoculture test, staphylococcal sepsis was also diagnosed, so intravenous sulfametoxazol trimetoprim was administrated. Based on these findings, diagnosis of Sezary syndrome was established in stage IIIB: T4 (confluence of erythema covering ≥80% body surface area) N1 (clinically abnormal peripheral lymph nodes; histopathology Dutch grade 1) M0 (no visceral organ involvement) B2 (high blood tumor burden: positive clone, increased CD4/CD8), according to revised classification of mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome (1). The patient was referred to a hematologist for increased IgE concentration - 5650 IU/L. Other complete blood count (CBC) parameters, electrolytes, urea, creatinine, total bilirubine, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gama glutamyl transpeptidase (γGT), IgG, IgA, IgM, were within normal range. ELISA HIV test was negative. Increased number of leukocytes was evident (14x10^9/L), in the peripheral blood smear, but Sezary cells were not found. Chest X-ray findings and abdominal and pelvic ultrasounds were normal. Histopathologic analysis of the skin sample specimens revealed immune inflammatory response and secondary neutrophilic spongiosis consistent with non-specific inflammatory dermatosis. Cytologic analysis of the left supraclavicular enlarged lymph node smear revealed a non-specific lymphoid hyperplasia. T-cell receptor-γ gene rearrangement analysis of skin and blood specimens showed polyclonal T-cell population. Due to lack of evidence for the diagnosis of T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder, the diagnosis of adult atopic dermatitis was established, and therapy with cyclosporin A (CsA) oral solution (5 mg/kg/bw), and methylprednisolone 40 mg/d i.v. was initiated, with chloropyramine i.m. and topical corticosteroid therapy.

Three weeks later, the patient referred for check-up with signs of disease progression: malaise, more enlarged peripheral lymph nodes, fever (39.1°C)
marrow specimens, immunophenotypization of peripheral blood lymphocytes were done, but the nature of the presenting erythroderma was not elucidated. Due to the lack of evidence for diagnosis of T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder, it was concluded that the diagnosis could be an adult form of atopic dermatitis, so a trial of CsA oral solution (5 mg/kg/bw) with methylprednisolone (40 mg/d) i.v. was commenced, with systemic antihistamine and topical corticosteroids and emollients.

Three weeks later, the patient became febrile (38°C), with worsened peripheral lymphadenopathy (enlarged lymph nodes in the right groin were evident). Also, disseminated livid papules up to 5 mm in diameter, some with necrotic surface appeared, while erythroderma aggravated during the second admission (Figures 3a and 3b). Leukocytosis (14.51x10⁹/L) and eosinophilia (9%), with platelets count of 590x10⁹/L and ESR 67 mm/h were also present. The chemocultures remained sterile. The repeated peripheral blood smear confirmed leukocytosis and eosinophilia, while on flow-cytometry, CD4/CD8 index was within normal range. Histopathologic analysis of the enlarged lymph node specimen in the right groin revealed dermatopathic lymphadenopathy again. However, histopathologic analysis of the livid papule with necrotic surface led to polychemotherapy. He was treated with four cycles of cyclophosphamide, doxorubicine, vincristine, prednisolone (CHOP) chemotherapeutical protocol, that led to regression of erythroderma, but lymphadenopathy still remained unchanged, so treatment with second-line therapy with cytarabine, cisplatin, etoposide and methylprednisolone, was in course at the last follow-up.

Case 2
A male patient, aged 44, was admitted to our Department with generalized dry, scaly and itchy livid skin, and lichenification on the elbows, knees and ankles. At the age of 26, his skin turned dry, scaly and itchy, and at the age of 39 his skin condition worsened, with pronounced livid erythema and scaling of over 95% of the skin surface. He was treated by several dermatologists for generalized ichthyosis of unknown cause. Repeated histopathologic findings, in the last 15 years, were non-specific and inconclusive. There was no other evidence of atopy in personal and family history. Topical corticosteroid therapy and emollients showed no benefit. The patient turned chronically subfebrile 4 months before admission, and generalized peripheral lymphadenopathy occurred.

On admission, increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) 38 mm/h, leukocytosis 13.91x10⁹/L, eosinophilia 8.9%, thrombocytosis 604x10⁹/L, and increased concentration of IgE (3200 IU/L) were established. Other parameters of CBC, blood biochemistry, AST, ALT, γGT, LDH, carcinoembrionic antigens (CEA), alpha fetoprotein antigens (AFP), amylase and immunoglobulins (G, A, M) were within normal range. ELISA tests for anti-HIV and anti-Borrelia burgdorferi antibodies were negative. Chest X-ray and abdominal and pelvic ultrasound findings were normal. Histopathologic analysis of skin specimens and hyperkeratotic skin specimens revealed chronic inflammation and reparative changes suggestive of hypersensitive reaction. Histopathological analysis of the enlarged right axillary lymph node specimen was consistent with dermatopathic lymphadenopathy. Examinations to establish a lymphoproliferative disease, including bone marrow biopsy, histopathological analysis, peripheral blood smear, T-cell receptor-γ gene rearrangement analysis of the skin, peripheral blood and bone
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Figure 3.b. Close-up view at livid, scaly skin of the abdomen, with erosions at places of previous necrotic papules

to the following diagnosis: primary cutaneous CD30+ T-cell lymphoma (Figures 4a and 4b). The patient was referred to a hematologist who prescribed bleomycin, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicine, vincristine, prednisolone (B-CHOP) polychemotherapy. After six cycles of (B-CHOP) polychemotherapy, the skin lesions regressed, as well as lymphadenopathy, but the peculiar livid color of the skin was still evident on the last follow-up (Figure 5).

Discussion

Erythroderma is defined as an erythematous dermatitis involving of at least 90% of the cutaneous surface. It is a severe skin manifestation of several cutaneous disorders, including cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). If the

Figure 4. b. At least 20% of cells are CD30+ large cells with polymorphic nuclei and small nucleoli (immunoperoxidase staining, DAB chromogen, contrastained with hematoxylin, x100)

Figure 5. Regression of papulonecrotic lesions, with remaining of livid color of the skin in the second patient
diagnosis of a preexisted skin disorder was previously established, such as psoriasis, atopic dermatitis and pityriasis rubra pilaris, there are no doubts about the nature of erythroderma or its treatment. Considering the fact that CTCL is a slow-developing disorder, evolving skin changes and, often repeated, histopathologic findings of erythrodermic skin may lead to the diagnosis. In some cases, there are difficulties to differentiate between inflammatory dermatosis and skin lymphoma by clinical and histopathological features. Thus correlation of clinical appearance, immunohistochemistry and T-cell receptor-γ gene rearrangement analyses are needed. Sometimes, even that is not enough, so regular follow-ups and repeated analyses are necessary to detect the true nature of erythroderma and other skin changes of CTCL. It can be said that the final diagnosis of CTCL is possible during the course of the disease, when the tumor load is sufficient to be detected by vigorous research.

In the first patient, the diagnosis of Sezary syndrome, the most frequent form of erythrodermic CTCL, was diagnosed only after repeated analyses to identify the cause of erythroderma, one month after the initiation of cyclosporine treatment. The other patient was diagnosed with primary cutaneous CD30+ T-cell lymphoma which manifested with erythroderma and necrotic skin nodules that appeared late during the course of the disease. Primary CD30+ CTCL are most frequently manifested as primary cutaneous CD30+ anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL), lymphomatoid papulosis, or borderline cases. Primary cutaneous CD30+ ALCL is manifested with multiple, often ulcerating, skin papules and nodules, like in our patient. On histopathological analysis, the majority of cells have anaplastic appearance, but in 20-25% cases non-anaplastic cells are present, which was the case in our patient (3). Erythroderma is not a typical feature of primary cutaneous CD30+ CTCL. In our patient, the preexisting, long-lasting erythroderma may be considered as secondary to the slowly developing T-cell dyscrasia, that could not be detected earlier by repeated histopathological analyses. Previously published cases of primary cutaneous ALCL with prolonged erythrodermic prodrome support this observation (4, 5).

Administration of CsA demands careful evaluation of the course of the disease. There is no evidence that CsA, used for the treatment of erythrodermic adult atopic dermatitis, caused lymphoproliferative disorders in these two cases, because the therapy lasted only a few weeks. According to previous reports, in adult atopic dermatitis patients, lymphoproliferative disease developed after at least 6 months of cyclosporine A treatment (6, 7). Also, in a large study, CTCL was not found to be more frequent in patients with atopic dermatitis, although in another study increased prevalence of lymphoma (especially cutaneous lymphoma) was found among patients with atopic dermatitis treated with topical corticosteroids (8, 9). Published case reports suggest that in rare cases CTCL may develop in atopic dermatitis patients who never received cyclosporine A therapy (10).

In conclusion, correlation of the clinical appearance, and, often repeated, histopathologic analysis of the skin, enlarged peripheral lymph nodes and bone marrow, together with peripheral blood smears, T-cell receptor-γ gene rearrangement analysis of the skin, peripheral blood and bone marrow specimens and immunophenotypization of peripheral blood lymphocytes, are useful in the diagnosis of patients with erythroderma without previously existing dermatosis, because the lymphomatous nature of presenting erythroderma may be elucidated (2).
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Eritrodermalni kutani limfom T-ćelija - prikaz dva slučaja

Sažetak
Uvod: Primarni kutani limfomi T-ćelija su Non-Hočkinovi limfomi kod kojih koža može biti dugo jedini zahvaćeni organ. U nedostatku nalaza koji bi potvrdili limfoproliferativno oboljenje T-ćelija, eritrodermijski oblik ovih limfoma (uključujući i Sezarijev sindrom), uz visoke koncentracije IgE, eozinoflijiju i izrazit svrab može da podseća na atopijski dermatitis.

Prikaz slučaja: Dva bolesnika, hospitalizovana zbog eritrodermije, kod kojih opsežnim ispitivanjima nije dokazano limfoproliferativno oboljenje, pod dijagnozom eritrodermijskog adultnog atopijskog dermatitisa lečeni su oralnim ciklosporinom uz sistemskie kortikosteroide, sa privremenim i minimalnim poboljšanjima. Bolest je kod oba bolesnika progredirala u vidu pogoršanja opštega stanja, febrilnosti i pojave generalizovanih eritemolividnih nodusa. Kod prvog bolesnika ponovljenim ispitivanjima postavljena je dijagnoza Sezarijevog sindroma na osnovu histopatološkog nalaza kože koji je upućivao na T-limfoproliferativno oboljenje, nalaza Sezarijevih ćelija u razmazu periferne krvi i nalaza monoklonske populacije T-limfocita u koži, perifernoj krvi i kostnoj srži. Kod drugog bolesnika histopatološkom analizom jednog od novonastalih nodusa detektovan je periferni T-ćelijski limfom.

Zaključak: Diferencijalna dijagnoza između inflamatorne dermatoze i eritrodermijskog primarnog T-ćelijskog limfoma uvek je teška i zahteva pažlije prilaženje tehnike zahteva ponavljanja ispitivanja sa ciljem dokazivanja mogućeg limfoma. Primena ciklosporina u terapiji uvek zahteva pažljivo praćenje toka bolesti.
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