Antifeedant/Insecticidal Terpenes from Asteraceae and Labiatae Species Native to Argentinean Semi-arid Lands
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To validate the potential as added-value resources of Asteraceae and Labiatae species of Argentinean semi-arid lands, we have selected 13 of their major terpenoids belonging to several chemical classes and tested their insect antifeedant and toxic activity on the herbivorous insects Spodoptera littoralis and Leptinotarsa decemlineata. The antifeedant effects of the test compounds were structure- and species-dependent. The most active antifeedant to L. decemlineata was the eudesmane sesquiterpene γ-castic acid (13), followed by the labdane diterpene 6-acetyltrocatic acid (8), the clerodane diterpenes 6-acetyltrocatic acid (11) and tессaric acid (10) (eudesmane and eremophilane type, respectively). S. littoralis was only affected by the clerodanes and showed the strongest response to salviarin (3) and 5, followed by hawtriwaic acid (6) and 12-epi-bacchotricuneatin A (2). Orally injected S. littoralis larvae were negatively affected by 5. Most of the diterpenes had selective cytotoxic effects to insect-derived SF9 cells with the clerodane 1 being the most active, followed by the eudesmane costic acid (12), the only cytotoxic sesquiterpene. None of these compounds was cytotoxic to mammalian CHO cells.
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Introduction

Plants that produce significant yields of relatively high valued products, such as pharmaceuticals, biologically active materials, and essential oils, and have low water requirements are likely new crop candidates for arid lands (Thompson, 1990). Plant species of the families Asteraceae and Labiatae are known for their content in diterpenes and sesquiterpenes. Sesquiterpenes display extensive structure variety and different skeletal types and have been reported to serve as toxic or feeding deterrents to herbivore insects (Fraga, 2004). Among the diterpenes, the clerodanes are a large chemical group and a rich source of natural insect antifeedants and attractants (Gebbink et al., 2002; Nishida et al., 2004).

As part of our ongoing assessment of plant species native to the central-western semi-arid region of Argentina, we have reported on Labiatae and Asteraceae species containing antifeedant and toxic diterpenes and sesquiterpenes against two stored-products pests (Pungitore et al., 2004; Cifuente et al., 2002; García et al., 2003a, b; Enriz et al. 2000; Sosa et al., 1994).

To further validate the added-value of these plants based on their content in active phytochemicals, we have selected 13 of their major terpenoids belonging to several chemical classes (Fig. 1). Some have reported antifeedant action on the stored-product pests Tenebrio molitor (1–5, 7, 10, 11; Sosa et al., 1994; García et al., 2003a; Cifuente et al., 2002) and Tribolium castaneum (6, 10–13; Juan et al., 2004; García et al., 2003b). We have investigated their antifeedant and toxic effects against the herbivorous insect models Spodoptera littoralis (Boisduval) and Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say). We have also tested the selective cytotoxicity of these compounds on insect SF9 cells derived from S. frugiperda pupal ovarian tissue and mammalian chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells.

Materials and Methods

General experimental procedures

MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] was from Sigma-Aldrich.
Cell viability was measured in an SLT Lab Instruments (Salzburg, Austria), microplate reader.

**Compounds**

Bacchotricuneatin A (1) (Fig. 1) was isolated from the aerial parts of *Baccharis spicata* (Lam.) Beill. (Gallardo et al., 1996). 12-Epi-bacchotricuneatin A (2) and hawtriwaic acid (6) were isolated from *Laennecia sophiifolia* (Kunth) G. L. Nesom. (Simirgiotis et al., 2000). Salvarin (3) was isolated from *Salvia reflexa* Hornem. (Nieto et al., 1996). Butanolide (4) was isolated from the aerial parts of *B. triangularis* Haumann. (Gianello and Giordano, 1989). 6-Acetylteucjaponin B (5) was isolated from *Teucrium nudicaule* H. (Gallardo et al., 1996) and bartemidiolide (7) from *B. artemisioides* H. et A. (Tonn et al., 1988). The ent-labdane 8 (2α,3α-dihydroxyacativic acid) was isolated from *B. petiolata* D. C. (Gianello et al., 1990). Solidagone (9) was isolated from *Solidago chilensis* Meyen (Asteraceae) (Gutierrez et al., 1981). Tessaric acid (10) was isolated from *Tessaria absinthioides* H. et A. (Kurina et al., 1997). Illicic acid (11) was isolated from the aerial parts of *Flourensia oolepis* Blake and its derivatives costic acid (12) and γ-costic acid (13) were obtained by chemical transformations (Donadel et al., 1998).

**Insect bioassays**

Laboratory colonies of *S. littoralis* and *L. decemlineata* were reared on artificial diet and potato foliage, respectively, and maintained at (22 ± 1) °C, relative humidity > 70% with a photoperiod of 16 h:8 h (L:D) in a growth chamber.

**Choice feeding assays**

These experiments were conducted with newly emerged sixth-instar *S. littoralis* larvae and adult *L. decemlineata*. *Capsicum annuum* or *Solanum tuberosum* leaf disks (1 cm²) were treated on the upper surface with 10 µl of the test substance. Two treated and two control disks were arranged alternatively on five agar-coated petri dishes (9 cm diameter). Three insects were placed in each dish and allowed to feed in a growth chamber (environmental conditions as described above). Each experiment was repeated three times. Feeding was terminated after the consumption of 50–75% of the control disks. Percentage feeding inhibition (%FI) was calculated as described by Reina et al. (2001). Compounds with an FI > 70% were tested in a dose-response experiment (dose series between 50.00 and 0.08 µg/cm²) to calculate their relative potency (EC₅₀ values, the effective dose for 50% feeding reduction) which was determined from linear regression analysis (STATGRAPHICS Plus) (%FI on log dose).

**Oral cannulation**

This experiment was performed with preweighed newly molted *S. littoralis* L6-larvae. Each experiment consisted of 20 larvae orally dosed with 20 µg of the test compound in 4 µl of DMSO (treatment) or solvent alone (control) as described by Reina et al. (2001). At the end of the experiments (72 h), larval consumption and growth were calculated on a dry weight basis. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA1) on biomass gains with initial biomass as covariate (covariate p > 0.05) showed that initial insect weights were similar among all treatments. A second analysis (ANCOVA2) was performed on biomass gains with food consumption as covariate to test for post-ingestive effects.

**Cytotoxicity**

Sf9 cells derived from *S. frugiperda* pupal ovarian tissue (European Collection of Cell Cultures, ECC) and mammalian Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO, a gift from Dr. Pajares, I. C. Biomédicas, CSIC) were grown as previously described (González-Coloma et al., 2002b). Cell viability was analyzed by an adaptation of the MTT colorimetric assay method (Mossman, 1983). The active compounds were tested in a dose-response experiment to calculate their relative potency (LD₅₀ values, the effective dose to give 50% cell viability) which was determined from linear regression analysis (% cell viability on log dose).

**Results and Discussion**

The antifeedant effects of the test compounds (Fig. 1) were structure- and species-dependent (Table I). Overall, *L. decemlineata* (CPB) was sensitive to all the chemical classes and responded to a larger number of compounds than *S. littoralis* (61% and 31%, respectively), according to their different feeding adaptations (oligophagous vs. polyphagous). However, *S. littoralis* only responded to the clerodane diterpenes and had a 10-times stronger response to the active compounds than CPB (EC₅₀ values ranging between 0.5–11.0
and 0.03–1.5 µg/cm² for CPB and *S. littoralis*, respectively), as previously shown for nor-diterpene alkaloids (González-Coloma *et al.*, 2004). The most active CPB antifeedant was compound 13 (EC₅₀ = 0.5 µg/cm²), followed by 8, 5 (EC₅₀ < 2 µg/cm²), 1, 7 (EC₅₀ < 4 µg/cm²), 11 (EC₅₀ < 7 µg/cm²), 4 and 10 (EC₅₀ < 11 µg/cm²). *S. littoralis* showed the strongest response to 3 and 5 (EC₅₀ < 0.05 µg/cm²), followed by 6 (EC₅₀ < 0.5 µg/cm²) and 2 (EC₅₀ < 2 µg/cm²).

The antifeedant response of *L. decemlineata* to the clerodanes showed a different pattern from that of *S. littoralis*, with the exception of the epoxide 5. Both insect species showed a C-12-stereo-dependent antifeedant response to 1 (R) and 2 (S) with opposite patterns. This stereo-dependence of the antifeedant action could also explain the activity of 3 on *S. littoralis*. The lack of activity of 4 on the lepidopteran emphasizes the importance of the side chain, while the strong activity of 5 further
Table 1. Antifeedant effects of the test compounds on adult L. decemlineata and S. littoralis L6 larvae. Consumption (ΔI) and biomass gain (ΔB) of orally injected S. littoralis L6 larvae, expressed as percentage of the control. Cytotoxic effects on S. frugiperda SF9 and mammalian CHO cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Chemical classa</th>
<th>EC50 [µg/cm²]b</th>
<th>S. littoralis</th>
<th>ΔB</th>
<th>ΔI</th>
<th>SF9</th>
<th>CHO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. decemlineata</td>
<td>S. littoralis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>3.5 (1.9, 6.7)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.57 (2.87, 4.45)c</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>≅ 50</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4, 5.7)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01, 0.15)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>64.71 (40.14, 104.33)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.3 (5.7, 18.6)</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>39.95 (20.37, 78.36)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7, 4.9)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01, 0.01)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26.06 (13.72, 49.50)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.47 (0.46, 0.48)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27.29 (19.33, 38.62)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2 (0.8, 11.9)</td>
<td>≅ 50</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>33.14 (21.71, 50.59)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LD</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4, 6.4)</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>72.36 (32.30, 133.25)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>64.01 (45.41, 90.24)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ERS</td>
<td>10.7 (3.6, 32.5)</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EUS</td>
<td>6.7 (2.6, 17.3)</td>
<td>≅ 50</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.78 (9.14, 15.18)</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1, 2.8)</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a CD, clerodane diterpenes; LD, labdane diterpenes; ERS, eremophilane sesquiterpene; EUS, eudesmane sesquiterpenes.

b Effective antifeedant and cytotoxic doses (EC50 and ED50).

c 95% Confidence limits.

* Significantly different from the control, P < 0.05, LSD test.

confirms the importance of the 4,18-epoxide for the clerodane diterpenes (Simmonds et al., 1989; Enriz et al., 1994, 2000; González-Coloma et al., 2000; Bruno et al., 2000).

There have been reports on the antifeedant effects of salviarin (3) and 6-acetylteucjaponin B (5) on the lepidopteran S. littoralis (Simmonds et al., 1996; Coll and Tandron, 2004). However, most of the reports on the antifeedant effects of the compounds tested here have been on the coleopterans T. molitor (1–5, 7, 10, 11; Sosa et al., 1994; García et al., 2003a; Cifuente et al., 2002) and T. castaneum (6, 10–13; Juan et al., 2004; García et al., 2003b). The diterpenes 1 and 5 were the most active antifeedants to T. molitor, followed by the sesquiterpenes 10 and 11. T. castaneum responded to diterpene 6 and eudesmanes 11 and 12, with 13 being inactive. From a total of seven compounds active on Tenebrio spp., three were also active on L. decemlineata (1, 10, 11; Sosa et al., 1994; García et al., 2003a; Cifuente et al., 2002) and T. castaneum (6, 10–13; Juan et al., 2004; García et al., 2003b). The diterpenes 1 and 5 were the most active antifeedants to T. molitor, followed by the sesquiterpenes 10 and 11. T. castaneum responded to diterpene 6 and eudesmanes 11 and 12, with 13 being inactive. From a total of seven compounds active on Tenebrio spp., three were also active on L. decemlineata (1, 10, 11; Sosa et al., 1994; García et al., 2003a; Cifuente et al., 2002) and T. castaneum (6, 10–13; Juan et al., 2004; García et al., 2003b). The diterpenes 1 and 5 were the most active antifeedants to T. molitor, followed by the sesquiterpenes 10 and 11. T. castaneum responded to diterpene 6 and eudesmanes 11 and 12, with 13 being inactive. From a total of seven compounds active on Tenebrio spp., three were also active on L. decemlineata (1, 10, 11; Sosa et al., 1994; García et al., 2003a; Cifuente et al., 2002) and T. castaneum (6, 10–13; Juan et al., 2004; García et al., 2003b).
shown to be a highly selective K-opioid receptor agonist in the human brain (Yan and Roth, 2004). However, more research is needed on the identification of the neurochemical effects of neo-clerodane diterpenes on insect neuroreceptors.

Most diterpenes and one sesquiterpene had selective cytotoxic effects on insect-derived Sf9 cells (none of these compounds was cytotoxic to mammalian CHO cells) (Table I). The selectivity between insect and mammal cells might be related to membrane factors. Similarly, several furanoid labdane diterpenes showed selective cytotoxic effects to Sf9 and mosquito C6/36 cells vs. mammalian Vero cells (Kitakoop et al., 2001). This cytotoxicity indicates a mode of action other than neurotoxic. Compound 1 was the most active (ED50 < 4), followed by 12 (ED50 < 12), 5, 6 (ED50 < 28), 4, 7 (ED50 < 40), 3, 8 and 9 (ED50 < 75) (Table I). The lack of activity of 2 indicates the stereo-dependency of this effect when compared to 1, similar to the stereo-dependent antifeedant action of these compounds on L. decemlineata. Furthermore, the lack of cytotoxicity of 13, with a C4, C5 double bond in the A-ring also demonstrates an elevated molecular selectivity of action when compared to 12. Among these cytotoxic compounds, 5 also had an antifeedant effect and was toxic to S. littoralis; therefore its insecticidal effects could be the result of neurotoxicity and/or cytotoxicity. The lack of insect toxicity of the other cytotoxic compounds could be the result of metabolic detoxification or excretion. Mice hepatic P450 enzymes bioactivate furane-neo-clerodane diterpenes such as teucrin A (Lekehal et al., 1996), indicating that these compounds are potential P450 oxidases substrates.

A large number of clerodane and labdane diterpenes are cytotoxic to mammalian tumoral cell lines (Shen et al., 2004; Oberlies et al., 2002; Prakash et al., 2002; Hayashi et al., 2002; Ahsan et al., 2003; Scio et al., 2003; among others) and their effects might be partially attributed to DNA-damaging (De Carvalho et al., 1998), induction of apoptosis (Dimas et al., 2001) or membrane modifying effects (Asili et al., 2004). Among the few known cytotoxic eudesmane sesquiterpenes, ilicic acid (11) has been reported to have weak cytotoxicity on mammalian tumoral cell lines (Xiao et al., 2003). The selective cytotoxicity of the diterpenes studied here suggests membrane-dependent-modifying effects rather than a more generalized cytotoxic action.

In summary, Argentinean Asteraceae and Labiatae species contain terpene antifeedants that act on a broad spectrum of insects with divergent feeding adaptations, with 6-acetylteucjaponin B (5), isolated from T. nudicaule, being active on all the species tested. These compounds also have selective cytotoxic effects to insect-derived Sf9 cells with the clerodanes diterpenes (CDs) being active on all the species tested. Therefore, plants producing CDs (Teucrium, Baccharis and Salvia spp.) are potential added-value crops for Argentinean semi-arid lands. However, more research is needed in order to establish molecular and metabolomic libraries of local populations of the productive species prior to their selection for multiplication and field-adaptation.
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