Dumisani V. Kama*, Alice Brink, Roger Alberto and Andreas Roodt

Crystal structure of hexacarbonyl-(μ_2 -methanoato- $k^2O:O'$)-(μ_2 -bis(di-*p*-tolylphosphino)cyclohexylamine- $\kappa^2P:P'$)dirhenium(I), $C_{42}H_{45}NO_8P_2Re_2$

The molecular structure is shown in the figure. Table 1 contains crystallographic data and Table 2 contains the list of the atoms including atomic coordinates and displacement parameters.

Table 1: Data collection and handling.

Crystal:	Colourless cube
Size:	0.30 × 0.22 × 0.10 mm
Wavelength:	Mo K α radiation (0.71073 Å)
μ :	6.17 mm ⁻¹
Diffractometer, scan mode:	Xcalibur, ω
$\theta_{\max}$, completeness:	28.0°, >99%
$N(hkl)_{\text{measured}}$, $N(hkl)_{\text{unique}}$, R_{int} :	20362, 4842, 0.049
Criterion for I_{obs} , $N(hkl)_{\text{gt}}$:	$I_{\text{obs}} > 2 \sigma(I_{\text{obs}})$, 4325
$N(\text{param})_{\text{refined}}$:	280
Programs:	CrysAlis ^{PRO} [1], SHELX [2, 3], Diamond [4], Olex2 [5]

<https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2019-0632>

Received August 28, 2019; accepted October 16, 2019; available online November 9, 2019

Abstract

$C_{42}H_{45}NO_8P_2Re_2$, monoclinic, $C2/c$ (no. 15), $a = 24.1612(7)$ Å, $b = 13.0229(3)$ Å, $c = 14.9180(4)$ Å, $\beta = 121.383(3)^\circ$, $V = 4007.2(2)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $R_{\text{gt}}(F) = 0.0235$, $wR_{\text{ref}}(F^2) = 0.0596$, $T = 173(2)$ K.

CCDC no.: 1959602

Source of material

N,N-Bis(di-*p*-tolylphosphino)cyclohexylamine: Cyclohexylamine (230 μ L, 2.011 mmol) and triethylamine (2.2 mL, 15.8 mmol) were dissolved in a dried DCM (15 mL) and the reaction mixture was then stirred under an ice bath. Chloro-di(*p*-tolyl)phosphine (2.0 mL, 8.85 mmol) was then added to the reaction. The ice bath was removed after 30 min and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for an additional 12 h. The product was extracted with DCM and a white solid product (0.565 g, 54%) was obtained after solvent evaporation. ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ_H [ppm] 2.23 (3H, s, 1 CH₃), 6.51 (2 H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2 CH), 6.77 (2 H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2 CH), 7.30 (20 H, m, 20 CH). ³¹P NMR (161.97 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C, H₃PO₄): δ_P 68.60 (s).

Title complex: The product was obtained as a by-product of the reaction, (NEt₄)₂[Re(CO)₃(Br)₃] (53.1 mg, 0.0688 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL methanol, mixed with *N,N*-bis(di-*p*-tolylphosphino) cyclohexylamine (34.1 mg, 0.0649 mmol) dissolved in DCM (5 mL). The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 2 h whereafter the solvent was evaporated. The resulting white solid was filtered and washed with methanol then dissolved in dichloromethane and layered with methanol and left to recrystallize. The amount of crystals obtained was too small for us to perform other characterization techniques besides single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses.

*Corresponding author: **Dumisani V. Kama**, University of the Free State, Chemistry Department, Nelson Mandela Drive, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa, e-mail: Tomkama112@gmail.com. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5790-2396>

Alice Brink and Andreas Roodt: Department of Chemistry, University of the Free State, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa

Roger Alberto: Department of Chemistry, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse, 190, 8057, Zürich, Switzerland

Table 2: Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²).

Atom	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Re1	0.58118(2)	0.47544(2)	0.30458(2)	0.01828(5)
P1	0.57253(4)	0.28372(6)	0.30657(6)	0.01877(16)
O1	0.66629(15)	0.4689(2)	0.2088(3)	0.0434(7)
O2	0.70610(13)	0.4610(2)	0.5205(2)	0.0424(7)
O3	0.61366(14)	0.70554(19)	0.3340(2)	0.0410(7)
O4	0.50841(11)	0.48377(15)	0.34506(17)	0.0197(5)
N1	0.500000	0.2205(3)	0.250000	0.0287(9)
C1 ^a	0.5086(4)	0.1046(5)	0.2371(7)	0.0241(17)
H1 ^a	0.556581	0.094189	0.279693	0.029*
C2 ^b	0.4838(5)	0.0431(6)	0.2942(8)	0.038(2)
H2A ^b	0.435990	0.048198	0.256494	0.046*
H2B ^b	0.501635	0.071465	0.365639	0.046*
C3 ^b	0.5035(6)	−0.0687(7)	0.3017(9)	0.044(3)
H3A ^b	0.550857	−0.074524	0.351472	0.052*
H3B ^b	0.481587	−0.109112	0.330528	0.052*
C4 ^a	0.4871(6)	−0.1144(7)	0.1976(9)	0.053(3)
H4A ^a	0.505993	−0.184083	0.209492	0.063*
H4B ^a	0.439407	−0.121072	0.152260	0.063*
C5 ^c	0.5115(5)	−0.0512(6)	0.1421(8)	0.047(3)
H5A ^c	0.495894	−0.080693	0.071679	0.057*
H5B ^c	0.559528	−0.053007	0.181971	0.057*
C6 ^c	0.4889(4)	0.0589(6)	0.1306(7)	0.036(2)
H6A ^c	0.441146	0.061558	0.084157	0.043*
H6B ^c	0.508098	0.100007	0.097694	0.043*
C7	0.63491(18)	0.4684(2)	0.2450(3)	0.0269(7)
C8	0.65878(18)	0.4640(2)	0.4412(3)	0.0295(8)
C9	0.59822(17)	0.6212(3)	0.3189(3)	0.0266(7)
C10	0.51434(17)	0.5646(3)	0.4137(3)	0.0286(7)
H10A	0.555539	0.557644	0.480574	0.043*
H10B	0.478362	0.560876	0.426108	0.043*
H10C	0.513205	0.630810	0.381605	0.043*
C11	0.61475(15)	0.2327(2)	0.4400(2)	0.0218(6)
C12	0.59915(15)	0.2794(3)	0.5081(2)	0.0249(7)
H12	0.573836	0.340372	0.486723	0.030*
C13	0.61998(17)	0.2384(3)	0.6068(3)	0.0284(7)
H13	0.607712	0.270622	0.651011	0.034*
C14	0.65837(19)	0.1513(3)	0.6413(3)	0.0337(8)
C15	0.6754(2)	0.1069(3)	0.5748(3)	0.0426(10)
H15	0.702661	0.048100	0.597864	0.051*
C16	0.65379(19)	0.1458(3)	0.4752(3)	0.0339(8)
H16	0.665750	0.112920	0.430889	0.041*
C17	0.6830(2)	0.1061(3)	0.7492(3)	0.0486(11)
H17A	0.730077	0.115346	0.792625	0.073*
H17B	0.672644	0.032746	0.742582	0.073*
H17C	0.662210	0.141122	0.782249	0.073*
C21	0.61410(15)	0.2363(2)	0.2422(2)	0.0213(6)
C22	0.58046(19)	0.2376(3)	0.1329(3)	0.0371(9)
H22	0.535541	0.253762	0.094648	0.044*
C23	0.6115(2)	0.2159(3)	0.0793(3)	0.0397(9)
H23	0.587404	0.217767	0.004730	0.048*
C24	0.67668(18)	0.1913(3)	0.1314(3)	0.0301(8)
C25	0.70951(17)	0.1906(3)	0.2400(3)	0.0340(8)
H25	0.754196	0.172912	0.278104	0.041*
C26	0.67974(16)	0.2145(3)	0.2948(3)	0.0275(7)
H26	0.704463	0.216029	0.369383	0.033*
C27	0.7106(2)	0.1713(3)	0.0718(3)	0.0454(10)
H27A	0.721327	0.236923	0.052334	0.068*
H27B	0.681879	0.131837	0.008227	0.068*
H27C	0.750423	0.132338	0.116296	0.068*

^aOccupancy: 0.5, ^bOccupancy: 0.496(10), ^cOccupancy: 0.504(10).

Experimental details

H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H = 0.95 and 0.98 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ and $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ respectively. The highest peak (1.48 e. Å^{−3}) is located 0.42 Å away from C29 and the deepest hole (−1.28 e. Å^{−3}) is located 0.3 Å away from C29.

Comment

Dirhenium(I) hexacarbonyl complexes exhibit properties such as high carbonyl ligand kinetic stability due to their ‘low lying metal to ligand charge transfer’ (MLCT) state. For this reason, dirhenium(I) hexacarbonyl complexes consisting of bridging bis(chelating) ligands have enjoyed significant attention in various fields such as photoluminescence, anti-cancer pharmaceuticals and catalysis [5–10]. However, these attributes are already well known in the chemistry of halogenated/aqueous tricarbonyl (*fac*-[M(CO)₃]⁺) complexes of the group 7 transition elements (*M* = Mn, Tc and Re) [11, 12]. By way of comparison, it is however evident that there is still much outstanding research on dirhenium complexes [6–19]. Nonetheless, it has been suggested in literature that two heavy metals bridged with a chelating ligand enhances the emissive properties of the metal orbitals involved, suggesting that di-nuclear species might offer a greater advantage over their mono-nuclear counter parts. This is particularly more advantageous for fields such as photoluminescence [6]. The versatility of diphosphinoamine (PNP) bis(chelating) ligands have on the other hand fueled the interest in developing highly selective homogeneous catalysts. The strong electron donating ability of the diphosphinoamine (PNP) ligands makes it easy for these chelating ligands to be coordinated to various transition metals [19]. Thus, in the above-mentioned complex we have the advantage of having two bridged heavy metals, but we also have a chelating ligand that can easily be tuned both sterically and electronically. This complex was obtained serendipitously as a byproduct during the synthesis and crystallization of *fac*-[Re{bis(di-*p*-tolylphosphino)cyclohexylamine}(CO)₃Br].

The title complex crystallizes in the monoclinic crystal system in the *C2/c* space group, with four formula units (*Z* = 4) in the unit cell. The asymmetric unit contains half of the title complex while the other half is generated through the (1 − *x*, +*y*, 1/2 − *z*) symmetry. A comparison of the core geometry (Re–O–Re–O; across the two Re(I) centres and the two bridging methanolato ligands) indicates that the title complex adopts an almost planar geometry with a bent angle of only 7.35° around the O–O axis which is significantly smaller when compared to the two similar structures found in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.39, November 2017). These exhibit bent angles of 27.10° and 35.69° (CCDC

Ref. codes ZIYSAB and CIHXOG), respectively [20, 21]. This difference is likely due to the steric demand exerted by the methanolate groups on the geometrical core of the complex. All the other bond lengths and bond angles are comparable to that reported in literature.

Acknowledgements: Financial assistance from SASOL, South African National Research Foundation (NRF), Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) and the University of the Free State Research Fund is hereby gratefully acknowledged. The Grant holders acknowledge that opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed herein are that of the author(s) and that SASOL and/ or the NRF and the SNF accepts no liability whatsoever in this regard.

References

1. Oxford Diffraction Ltd.: CrysAlis^{Pro}. Agilent Technologies, Abingdon, United Kingdom (2014).
2. Sheldrick, G. M.: SHELXT – integrated space-group and crystal structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr.* **A71** (2015) 3–8.
3. Sheldrick, G. M.: Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr.* **C71** (2015) 3–8.
4. Brandenburg, K.: DIAMOND. Visual Crystal Structure Information System. Version 3.0c Crystal Impact, Bonn, Germany (2005).
5. Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H.: OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **42** (2009) 339–341.
6. Wang, J.-H.; Wolff, M.; Eychenne, R.; Mallet-Ladeira, S.; Benoist, E.: Dirhenium(II) hexacarbonyl complexes bridged by 1,2,3-triazole ligand: synthesis, structural and spectroscopic characterization. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **466** (2017) 551–558.
7. Shtemenko, A. V.; Chifotides, H. T.; Yegorova, D. E.; Shtemenko, N. I.; Dunbar, K. R.: Synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of the dirhenium complex $Re_2(i-C_3H_7COO)_4Cl_2$ and its interactions with the DNA purine nucleobases. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **153** (2015) 114–120.
8. Adams, R. D.; Dhull, P.: Formyl C-H activation in *N,N*-dimethylformide by a dirhenium carbonyl complex. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **849–850** (2017) 228–232.
9. Kee, C. L.; Zhou, F.; Su, H.; Yan, Y. K.: Formation of "A-frame" dirhenium(II) hexacarbonyl complexes by *trans*-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethylene and bis(bidentate) ligands. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **792** (2015) 211–219.
10. Liu, Y.; Li, B.; Cong, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fan, D.; Shi, L.: Optical oxygen sensing materials based on a novel dirhenium(II) complex assembled in mesoporous silica. *J. Lumin.* **131** (2011) 781–785.
11. Alberto, R.; Schibli, R.; Waibel, R.; Abram, U.; Schubiger, A. P.: Basic aqueous chemistry of $[M(OH)_2(CO)_3]^+$ ($M = Re, Tc$) directed towards radiopharmaceutical application. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **192** (1999) 901–919.
12. Sulieman, S.; Can, D.; Mertens, J.; N'Dongo, H. W. P.; Liu, Y.; Schmutz, P.; Bauwens, M.; Spingler, B.; Alberto, R.: Cyclopentadienyl-based amino acids (Cp-aa) as phenylalanine analogues for tumor targeting: syntheses and biological properties of $[(Cp-aa)M(CO)_3]$ ($M = Mn, Re, ^{99m}Tc$). *Organometallics* **31** (2012) 6880–6886.
13. Ghosh, S.; Khatun, M.; Haworth, D. T.; Lindeman, S. V.; Siddiquee, T. A.; Bennet, D. W.; Hogarth, G.; Nordlander, E.; Kabir, S. E.: Activation of tri(2-furyl)phosphine at a dirhenium centre: formation of phosphide-bridged dirhenium complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **694** (2009) 2941–2948.
14. Schutte, M.; Kemp, G.; Visser, H. G.; Roodt, A.: Tuning the reactivity in classic low-spin *d6* rhenium(II) tricarbonyl radiopharmaceutical synthon by selective bidentate ligand variation (L, L' -Bid; $L, L' = N, N', N, O$, and O, O' donor atom sets) in *fac*- $[Re(CO)_3(L, L'$ -Bid)(MeOH)]_n complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **50** (2011) 12486–12498.
15. Schutte, M.; Roodt, A.; Visser, H. G.: Coordinated aqua vs methanol substitution kinetics in *fac*- $Re(II)$ tricarbonyl tropolonato complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **51** (2012) 11996–12006.
16. Brink, A.; Visser, H. G.; Roodt, A.: Activation of rhenium(II) toward substitution in *fac*- $[Re(N, O'$ -Bid)(CO)₃(HOCH₃)] by Schiff-base bidentate ligands (*N, O'*-Bid). *Inorg. Chem.* **52** (2013) 8950–8961.
17. Mokolokolo, P. P.; Frei, A.; Tsosane, M. S.; Kama, D. V.; Schutte-Smith, M.; Brink, A.; Visser, H. G.; Meola, G.; Alberto, R.; Roodt, A.: Nuclearity manipulation in Schiff-base *fac*-tricarbonyl complexes of Mn(II) and Re(II). *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **471** (2018) 249–256.
18. Frei, A.; Sidler, D.; Mokolokolo, P. P.; Braband, H.; Fox, T.; Spingler, B.; Roodt, A.; Alberto, R.: Kinetics and mechanism of CO exchange in *fac*- $[MBr_2(solvent)(CO)_3]^-$ ($M = Re, Tc-99$). *Inorg. Chem.* **55** (2016) 9352–9360.
19. Frei, A.; Mokolokolo, P. P.; Bolliger, R.; Braband, H.; Tsosane, M. S.; Brink, A.; Roodt, A.; Alberto, R.: Self-assembled multinuclear complexes incorporating Tc-99m. *Chem. Eur. J.* **24** (2018) 10397–10402.
20. Cloete, N.; Visser, H. G.; Engelbrecht, I.; Overett, M. J.; Gabrielli, W. F.; Roodt, A.: Ethylene tri- and tetramerization: a steric parameter selectivity switch from X-ray crystallography and computational analysis. *Inorg. Chem.* **52** (2013) 2268–2270.
21. Mague, J. T.; Lin, Z.: Rhenium(II) complexes of bis(difluorophosphino) methylamine. the crystal and molecular structure of hexacarbonyldi- μ -bromo- μ -bis(difluorophosphino) methylaminedirhenium(II). *J. Coord. Chem.* **34** (1995) 45–53.