

Guidelines for Reviewers

1. GENERAL EXPECTATIONS

As a peer-reviewed journal, all submitted manuscripts to Click Chemistry undergo qualitative review by a standard refereeing process. Without the knowledge and intellectual input of specialists in their fields we could not fulfill our mission. Therefore, we are extremely grateful to our Reviewers for taking the time and effort to evaluate manuscripts for Versita.

While preparing their reports, we ask our Referees to:

- comment on originality of the presented work with respect to its relevance and potential impact on the current state-of-the-art in the field.
- evaluate the authors' hypotheses, justification of the research, and their approach taken;
- comment on technical and experimental aspects of the paper;
- evaluate validity of the obtained results and accuracy of the withdrawn conclusions;
- summarize in a final evaluation of the paper whether it should be considered for publication as is, with minor or major further revisions.

Please note that accepted papers will undergo language editing by native English speakers post technical revision but prior to final publication. Incorrect grammar, style or punctuation is deemed an insufficient reason to reject a paper if its scientific content warrants its publication as long as the original submission is comprehensible for the Reviewer.

2. SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS

Submitted manuscripts should:

- with the exception of review articles, contain original work – the main result should not be published elsewhere, in any medium, neither by the authors nor somebody else;
- focus on the core aims and scope of the Click Chemistry journal;
- contain all essential features of a complete scientific paper and be written in a clear, concise, easy to understand manner readable for a wide audience of scientists;
- be clearly and grammatically written, in an easily readable style.

3. CONFIDENTIALITY

Versita kindly requests Reviewers to refrain from:

- distributing copies of submitted manuscripts,
- using results contained within submitted manuscripts without the corresponding authors' prior approval.

Reviewers are permitted to consult knowledgeable colleagues during the reviewing process, however, to maintain professional discretion when doing so. Should a Reviewer feel unqualified following acceptance to review a paper, suggestions for alternative referees are encouraged to help the Editors expedite revision in a timely process.

4. TECHNICALITIES

Please provide your report within specified deadline or inform the Editor if you are not able to do so. You can submit your review either via our on-line Paper Processing System Editorial Manager or via email to the Editor of the respective field. In the specific cases (upon special arrangement with the Editor) reports can be returned as a hard copy via mail or fax.