



STEM EDUCATION WITH A BETTER UNDERSTANDING

EDITOR

Ján Gunčaga, Faculty of Education, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia
guncaga@fedu.uniba.sk

DESCRIPTION

According Breiner et al. (2012) acronym STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) has become very frequently used among many stakeholders in the school policy. According to El Nagdi et al. (2018) real-world problems are complex and inherently multidisciplinary. Tackling such problems requires not just the ability to use design thinking or inquiry, but also the ability to choose the best approach or combination of approaches that capitalizes on the strengths of each way of thinking. From this perspective, STEM encompasses the content, skills, and ways of thinking of each of the disciplines, but it also includes an understanding of the interactions between the disciplines and the ways they support and complement each other.

It is important for each STEM discipline in education to build notions with better understanding by each learner. For example in the case of mathematics Wittmann (1998) states, that like the education of other subjects, mathematics education requires the crossing of boundaries between disciplines and depends on results and methods of considerably diverse fields, including mathematics, pedagogy, sociology, psychology, history of science and others. The core of mathematics education also covers following activities:

- ▶ analysis of mathematical activities and of mathematical ways of thinking,
- ▶ development of local theories (for example, on mathematizing, problem solving, proving and practising skills),
- ▶ exploration of possible contents that focusses on making them accessible to learners,
- ▶ critical examination and justification of contents in view of the general goals of mathematics teaching,
- ▶ research into the pre-requisites of learning and into the teaching/learning processes,
- ▶ development and evaluation of substantial teaching units, classes of teaching units and curricula,
- ▶ development of methods for planning, teaching, observing and analysing lessons, and
- ▶ inclusion of the history of mathematics education.

Similar situation takes place in education of other subjects related to STEM school subjects (physics, biology or chemistry education).

If we like to arrange that learners better understand the notions during the STEM education, we will have to find the ways how to work with understanding barriers by learners and different kind of obstacles (see Mayer, 2005 and Sierpinska, 1987, 1990). It is forced to respect the process of gaining knowledge in education (see Hejný et al., 2006).

Visualisation through educational software can play an important role in this context (see Fuchs, Plangg, 2018 and Bender, Schreiber, 1985). Opens source software such as GeoGebra (see Hohenwarter, Lavicza, 2007) is a good tool for preparing different applets for STEM education (Micheuz et al., 2007).

ARTICLES

Calculators as Facilitators of Understanding Computational and Mathematical Contexts

Jan Guncaga, Lilla Korenova, Jozef Hvorecky
doi.org/10.1515/edu-2019-0012

Students' Concepts of the Trapezoid at the End of Lower Secondary Level Education

Zdeněk Halas, Jarmila Robová, Vlasta Moravcová, Jana Hromadová
doi.org/10.1515/edu-2019-0013

KIKS Creativity and Technology for All

Anthony Houghton, Adrian Oldknow, Kristof Fenyvesi, Jose Diego-Mantecon, Elizabeth Crilly, Zsolt Lavicza
doi.org/10.1515/edu-2019-0014

Mathematical and Coding Lessons Based on Creative Origami Activities

Natalija Budinski, Zsolt Lavicza, Kristof Fenyvesi, Miroslav Novta
doi.org/10.1515/edu-2019-0016

Linking Photography and Mathematics with the Use of Technology

Karina Amalia Rizzo, Laura Sombra del Río, Mónica Ester Manceñido, Zsolt Lavicza, Tony Houghton
doi.org/10.1515/edu-2019-0020

REFERENCES

Bender, P. & Schreiber, A. (1985). *Operative Genese der Geometrie*. HPT, B.G. Teubner: Wien, Stuttgart

Brainer, J. M., Harkness, S. S., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. M. (2012). What Is STEM? A Discussion About Conceptions of STEM in Education and Partnerships. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112, 3-11. doi:10.1111/j.1949-8594.2011.00109.x

Eckerdal, A., McCartney, R., Moström, J. E., Ratcliff, M., Sanders, K., & Zander, C. (2006). Putting Threshold Concepts into Context in Computer Science Education. In: *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, Bologna, Italy, pp. 103-107.

El Nagdi, M., Leammukda, F. & Roehrig G. (2018). Developing identities of STEM teachers at emerging STEM schools. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 5, 36 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0136-1>

Fuchs, K. J. & Plangg, S. (2018), *Computer Algebra Systeme in der Lehrer(inen)bildung*. WTM Verlag: Münster.

Hejný, M. et al. (2006). *Creative Teaching in Mathematics*. Charles University: Prague.

Hohenwarter, M. & Lavicza, Z. (2007). Mathematics teacher development with ICT: towards an International GeoGebra Institute. In: D. Küchemann (Ed.), *Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathematics*, 27(3), 49-54. University of Northampton, UK: BSRLM.

Meyer, J. H. F. & Land, R. (2005). Threshold Concepts and Troublesome Knowledge (2): linkages to ways of thinking and practising within the disciplines, *Higher Education*, 49, 373-388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-004-6779-5>

Micheuz, P., Fuchs, K. J., & Landerer, C. (2007). Mission Possible – Computers in “Anyschool”. In: *Informatics, Mathematics and ICT: a ‘golden triangle’*. International Federation for Information Processing, 6. ISBN 13:978-0-615-14623-2; Working Joint IFIP Conference: Boston.

Sierpinska, A. (1990). Some remarks on understanding in mathematics. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 3, 24-41.

Sierpinska A. (1987). Humanities students and epistemological obstacles related to limits. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 18, 371-397.

Vollrath, H.-J. (1989). Funktionales Denken. *Journal für Mathematikdidaktik*, 10(19), 3-37.

eigand, H-G. & Weth, T. (2002). *Computer im Mathematikunterricht*. Springer Spektrum: Heidelberg.

Wittmann E. C. (1998). Mathematics Education as a ‘Design Science’. In: A. Sierpinska, J. Kilpatrick (Eds.). *Mathematics Education as a Research Domain: A Search for Identity*. New ICMI Studies Series, vol 4. Springer: Dordrecht.

Wittmann, E. C. (2014), Die Ideologie der Selbstbeschränkung in der Mathematikdidaktik. *GDM-Mitteilungen*, 96, 15-18.