Guidelines for Reviewers

As with all peer-review journals, it is vital to perform the quality control of reviewing submitted manuscripts. Without the knowledge of specialists, we could not fulfill our mission. We are very grateful to our Reviewers for the time and effort they spend evaluating manuscripts for Open Military Studies.

RESEARCH DATA AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Journal's data sharing policy encourages the authors to place Data Availability Statement (DAS) as a separate section between the Acknowledgements and References. DAS is not mandatory but when present it should include information on where data supporting the results reported in the article can be found including, where applicable, hyperlinks to publicly archived datasets analyzed or generated during the study. Where research data are not publicly available, this must be stated in the manuscript along with any conditions for accessing the data.

Peer reviewers are encouraged to check the manuscript’s Data Availability Statement if it is present in the article. Where applicable, they should consider if the authors have complied with the journal's policy on the availability of research data, and whether reasonable effort has been made to make the data that support the findings of the study available for replication or reuse by other researchers. Peer reviewers are entitled to request access to underlying data (and code) when needed for them to perform their evaluation of a manuscript.

GENERAL EXPECTATIONS

While preparing the reports, we ask our Referees to:

- comment on the originality of the presented work,
- comment on whether the manuscript presents the most recent literature on the topic discussed,
- evaluate the authors' experimental or theoretical approach to the discussed problem(s),
- evaluate the reliability of the results and validity of the conclusions,
- comment on technical aspects of the paper, such as the statistical analyses,
- inform us whether we should consider the manuscript further and what should be done in order to make it publishable (if that is possible),
- describe the significance that the work has for the scientific community.

Please note that accepted papers will undergo language editing by native English speakers. Incorrect grammar, style or punctuation should not constitute sufficient reason to reject a paper if it is still intelligible for the reviewer and its content warrants publication from a scientific point of view.

CONFIDENTIALITY

Please do not distribute copies of the manuscript or use results contained in it without the authors' permission. However, please feel free to show it to knowledgeable colleagues and to consult them about the review. Suggestions for alternative referees are helpful to the editors and would be appreciated.

SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS

Research Papers - manuscripts submitted to the journal should:

- contain original work - which is not published elsewhere in any medium by the authors or anyone else.
- be focused on the core aims and scope of the journal
- be clearly and concisely written - should contain all of the essential components of a scientific paper, should be written in a clear, easy-to-understand manner, and should be brief while still thoroughly explaining methods and results.

TECHNICALITIES

Please provide your report within specified deadline or inform the Editor as soon as possible if you are not able to do so. You can submit your review via online submission system Editorial Manager at http://www.editorialmanager.com/openms/ or email to the Editorial Office of this journal. In the specific cases (upon special arrangement with the Editor) reports can be returned as a hard copy via mail or fax.